

## Random Walk Algorithms: Lecture 2

David A. Meyer

*In which we digress to discuss the non-primality of 1, thereby reviewing complex numbers, and then introduce some basic notions of probability.*

### Digression

The question which arose as we constructed an algorithm for primality testing in the previous lecture, namely whether or not 1 is a prime number, is interesting both historically and mathematically [1,2]: According to Sir Thomas Heath, for the ancient Greeks, 1 was a *unit*, not a number [3, p.69], and *a fortiori*, not a prime number. This conception held for almost two millennia, but by the time Simon Stevin's 1585 treatise on decimal notation and arithmetic, *De Thiende*, was translated into English in 1608, many accepted "The sixth Definition. A Whole number is either a unitie, or a compounded multitude of unities." [4, p.A3v]. Once 1 was a number, it could be considered a prime number, and for the next few hundred years, some mathematicians did so (Christian Goldbach being a famous example [5]), while others did not (Carl Friedrich Gauß counted 168 primes less than 1000 [6]).

In fact, it was Gauß in his second paper on quartic reciprocity [7] who introduced what we now call the Gaussian integers—complex numbers of the form  $a + bi$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ —and the modern ideas which led to 1 again being considered a unit, still a number, but not a prime number: Recall that a commutative *ring* like the integers has two operations, addition and multiplication. A *unit* in a ring has a multiplicative inverse in the ring (so for  $\mathbb{Z}$ , 1 and  $-1$  are the only units). Two important features of prime numbers are that they are, in modern terminology:

1. *irreducible*: not the product of two non-units;
2. *prime*:  $a|bc \Rightarrow a|b$  or  $a|c$ .

For *integral domains* (commutative rings in which the product of non-zero elements is non-zero), prime implies irreducible. The converse, is not always true, however; only for *unique factorization domains* (every element has a unique factorization as a product of irreducible elements, as integers do, by the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) does irreducible imply prime. The following example is *not* a unique factorization domain:

EXAMPLE. Consider the set of quadratic integers  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . The norm on  $\mathbb{C}$  defines a norm on  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ :

$$\|a + b\sqrt{-5}\| = \sqrt{(a + ib\sqrt{5})(a - ib\sqrt{5})} = \sqrt{a^2 + 5b^2}.$$

Notice that since  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the possible values for the norm of an element in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  are rather sparse:  $\{0, 1, 2, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{6}, 3, \dots\}$ . Recall that the norm of complex numbers satisfies

$\|wz\| = \|w\| \cdot \|z\|$ . We can use this property to see that 1 and  $-1$  are the only units in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , since they are the only elements with norm 1, and there are no fractional norm values. Thus  $2 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is irreducible, since again by the paucity of norm values, it cannot be the product of two non-units. But 2 is not prime since  $2|6 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ , each of these factors has norm  $\sqrt{6}$ , and  $\sqrt{6}/2$  is not a norm value, so 2 does not divide either of them.

## Probability

When we flip a coin, we describe the process as “it lands head up with probability  $p$ ”, where if  $p = 1/2$  we say it is a fair coin. In principle, if we knew the initial position, velocity, angular velocity, mass, position of landing surface, elastic properties of the coin and the surface, gravitational field, air resistance, *etc.*, we could compute how the coin would land. In practice, we cannot know all these details, so we summarize our *ignorance* by saying that the coin will land head up with *probability*  $p$ , *i.e.*, a fraction  $p$  of the time.

DEFINITION. The set of *outcomes* or *sample space* is written  $\Omega$ . (For a coin flip,  $\Omega = \{\text{head}, \text{tail}\}$ , which we will sometimes abbreviate  $\{H, T\}$ .) We will consider cases where  $\Omega$  is countable. An *event* is a subset of  $\Omega$ .

DEFINITION. For countable  $\Omega$ , a *discrete probability distribution* is a map  $\text{Pr} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , with the property that

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \text{Pr}(\omega) = 1.$$

This map extends to events:

$$\text{Pr}(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} \text{Pr}(\omega).$$

EXAMPLE. For the coin flip we discussed above,  $\text{Pr}(\text{head}) = p$ ;  $\text{Pr}(\text{tail}) = 1 - p$ . Now suppose we flip a coin twice. Then  $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$ . A general probability distribution on  $\Omega$  is defined by four nonnegative real numbers:  $\text{Pr}(HH)$ ,  $\text{Pr}(HT)$ ,  $\text{Pr}(TH)$  and  $\text{Pr}(TT)$ , summing to 1. Let

$$A = \{HH, HT\}$$

$$B = \{HH, TH\},$$

*i.e.*,  $A$  is the event that the first flip is head up and  $B$  is the event that the second flip is head up.

HH	HT
TH	TT

DEFINITION. The *conditional probability* of event  $B$ , given event  $A$ , is

$$\text{Pr}(B \mid A) = \frac{\text{Pr}(A \cap B)}{\text{Pr}(A)},$$

which is the relative fraction of  $B$  within  $A$ .

DEFINITION. Events  $A$  and  $B$  are *independent* if  $\Pr(B \mid A) = \Pr(B)$ , or equivalently, using the definition of conditional probability above, if  $\Pr(A \cap B) = \Pr(A) \Pr(B)$ .

EXAMPLE. If events  $A$  and  $B$  in the example above are independent, then  $\Pr(HH) = p$ ,  $\Pr(HT) = p(1 - p) = \Pr(TH)$ , and  $\Pr(TT) = (1 - p)^2$ .

## References

- [1] C. K. Caldwell and Y. Xiong, “What is the smallest prime?”, *Journal of Integer Sequences* **15** (2012) Article 12.9.7.
- [2] E. Lamb, “Why isn’t 1 a prime number? And how long has it been a number?”, Roots of Unity blog, *Scientific American* (2 April 2019); <https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/roots-of-unity/why-isnt-1-a-prime-number/>.
- [3] T. Heath, *A History of Greek Mathematics, Vol. 1. From Thales to Euclid* (Oxford: Clarendon Press 1921).
- [4] S. Stevin, *Disme: The Art of Tenths, or, Decimall Arithmetike, Teaching how to performe all Computations whatsoever, by whole Numbers without Fractions, by the foure Principles of Common Arithmetike: namely, Addition, Substraction, Multiplication, and Division*, published in English with some additions by R. Norton (London: S. Stafford 1608).
- [5] C. Goldbach, “*Lettre XLIII à Euler*” (7 June 1742) in P.-H. Fuss, ed., *Correspondance Mathématique et Physique de Quelques Célèbres Géomètres du XVIIIème Siècle, Tome I* (St. Pétersbourg: L’Académie Impériale des Sciences 1843) 125-129.
- [6] C. F. Gauß, “*Tafel der Frequenz der Primzahlen*”, in *Werke, Band II* (Göttingen: Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften 1876) 435-443.
- [7] C. F. Gauß, “*Theoria residuorum biquadraticorum. Commentatio secunda*”, *Commentationes societatis regiae scientiarum Gottingensis recentiores VII* (1832) in *Werke, Band II* (Göttingen: Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften 1876) 93-148.