

Lec 25 3/10/2021

Thm (Galois)

Let F be a field of char 0.

Let $f \in F[x]$ is solvable by radicals

iff $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ is solvable

where K is the splitting field of f over F .

Ex. Let $f = 2x^5 - 10x + 5 \in \mathbb{Q}(x)$.

Then f is irreducible by Eisenstein

with prime 5. Let $K =$ splitting field of f over \mathbb{Q} . We're going to show $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_5$, which is not solvable

So f is not solvable by radicals.

If $\alpha \in K$ is a root of f , $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha): \mathbb{Q}] = 5$, since $f = \text{minpoly}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$.

Note also if $\sigma \in G$, σ permutes the roots of f , $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5\}$, and is determined by that permutation, since $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5)$

$$\text{So } G \subseteq S_5.$$

$5 \mid |G|$ since $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ is a mult. of 5.

So G has an element of order 5 (Cauchy) which must be a 5-cycle.

We claim f has 3 real roots.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note } f' &= (2x^5 - 10x + 5)' \\ &= 10x^4 - 10 \end{aligned}$$

real roots of f' are ± 1

So graph of f looks like

$$\text{and } f(-1) = 13 \quad f(1) = -3.$$

Calculus. $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are real, say the other 2 roots α_4, α_5 are complex and $\alpha_5 = \bar{\alpha}_4$ since $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$.

If $\tau \in \text{Aut}(K)$ is complex conjugation,

$$\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i \quad i \leq i \leq 3$$

$$\tau(\alpha_4) = \alpha_5 \quad \tau(\alpha_5) = \tau(\alpha_4).$$

τ restricts to an aut of k .

$$\text{and } \tau|_k \in G = (45)$$

Now check — any subgroup of S_5 containing a 5-cycle and a 2-cycle is all of S_5 .

$$\text{So } G = S_5.$$

Alg. closed. fields.

Def. A field k is alg. closed.

if for all $f \in k[x]$, ^{nonconstant} then f has a root in k .

Thm. if k is alg. closed then for all nonconstant f , f actually splits in $k[x]$ as $f = c(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$.
(factor thm + induction.)

Def. If F is a field, $F \subseteq k$ a field extension, k is an algebraic closure of F if k/F is algebraic

and K is alg. closed.

Lemma. Let $F \subseteq K$ be an ^{algebraic} extension.
TFAE.

- ① K is alg. closed. (i.e. an alg. closure of F)
- ② if $K \subseteq L$ is algebraic, then $L = K$.
- ③ If $f \in F[x]$, then f splits in $K[x]$.

Pf. Assume ③. Suppose $K \subseteq L$ is alg.
Then $F \subseteq L$ is algebraic. If $\alpha \in L$
Then $\text{minpoly}_{F}(\alpha) \in F[x]$ splits in
 $K[x]$. So $\alpha \in K$, so ② holds.

If ② holds, given $f \in K[x]$ let
 $K \subseteq L$ be splitting field for f over K .
Then L/K is algebraic, so $K = L$.
So f splits over K already, so ③.

① \Rightarrow ③ is obvious.

Thm. If F is a field, F has an algebraic closure \bar{K} .

Pf.

1. It's enough to find $F \subseteq L$ where L is alg. closed. If we have that, take

$$K = \{ \alpha \in L \mid \alpha \text{ is alg. over } F \} \subseteq L.$$

Then K/F is alg. And if $f \in K[x]$

then f splits over L , so the splitting field of f over K inside L , say \bar{E}

$$\bar{E} \subseteq K \subseteq \bar{E} \subseteq L \text{ has } \bar{E}/K \text{ algebraic,}$$

so \bar{E}/F is alg, so $K = \bar{E}$, so f splits over K , and K is alg. closed.

So $F \subseteq K$ is an alg. closure.

2. We need to find an alg. closed L .

(trick due to Emil Artin)

For every ^{nonconstant} poly $f \in F[x]$, define a variable x_f and a ring $R = F[x_f \mid f \in F[x]]$

Let I be the ideal generated by all polys $\{f(x_f) \mid f \in F[x]\}$.

$I \neq R$: if not, $1 \in I$ so

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i f_i(x_{f_i}) \quad g_i \in R,$$

for some distinct $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F[x]$.

Take K to be the splitting field over F of $f_1 f_2 \dots f_n$. So each f_i has a root in K , say α_i .

Define a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi: R = F[x_f \mid f \in F[x]] & \longrightarrow & K \\ a \in F & \longrightarrow & a \\ x_{f_i} & \longmapsto & \alpha_i \\ x_g & \longmapsto & 0 \\ & & g \neq f_i \end{array}$$

Then

$$1 = \phi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(g_i) f_i(\alpha_i) = 0.$$

a contradiction. $\therefore I \neq R$.

3. Choose a maximal ideal M of R with $I \subseteq M \subsetneq R$. (Zorn)

Then $L_1 = R/M$ is a field

$F \hookrightarrow F[x] = R \longrightarrow R/M = L_1$
is injective (F a field) so we can
think of $F \subseteq L_1$.

Now if $f \in F[x]$ is non constant,
then $x_f + M \in R/M = L_1$ is a
root of f since $f(x_f + M) =$
 $f(x_f) + M$ but $f(x_f) \in I \subseteq M$
so $f(x_f) + M = 0 + M = 0$.

So every non constant $f \in F[x]$ has
a root in L_1 .

Finally, similarly there is $L_1 \subseteq L_2$
s.t. every $f \in L_1[x]$ has a root in L_2 .

Define $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq L_3 \subseteq \dots$ inductively.

Let $L = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} L_i$. Then L is alg.

closed:

If $g \in L[x]$, then every coefficient
of g lies in some L_i , so $g \in L_n[x]$

Then g has a root in $L_{n+1} \subseteq L$

↳ every $n \geq 0$ ^{nonempty} $L(x)$ has a root in L

↳ L is alg. closed.