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Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to explore the geometry and establish the slope stability of tautological vector bundles on Hilbert schemes of points on smooth surfaces. By establishing stability in general we complete a series of results of Schlickewei and Wandel who proved the slope stability of these vector bundles for Hilbert schemes of 2 points or 3 points on K3 or abelian surfaces with Picard group restrictions. In exploring the geometry we show that every sufficiently positive semistable vector bundle on a smooth curve arises as the restriction of a tautological vector bundle on the Hilbert scheme of points on the projective plane. Moreover we show the tautological bundle of the tangent bundle is naturally isomorphic to the log-tangent sheaf of the exceptional divisor of the Hilbert-Chow morphism.

Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to explore the geometry of tautological bundles on Hilbert schemes of smooth surfaces and to establish the slope stability of these bundles.

Let $S$ be a smooth complex projective surface, and denote by $S^{[n]}$ the Hilbert scheme parametrizing length $n$ subschemes of $S$. This parameter space carries some natural tautological vector bundles: if $L$ is a line bundle on $S$ then $L^{[n]}$ is the rank $n$ vector bundle whose fiber at the point corresponding to a length $n$ subscheme $\xi \subset S$ is the vector space $H^0(S, L \otimes O_\xi)$. These tautological vector bundles have attracted a great deal of interest. Lehn [Leh99] first computed the cohomology of the tautological bundles. Later Danila [Dan01] and Scala [Sca09] identified the induced symmetric group representations on the cohomology of the tautological bundles. Ellingsrud and Strømme [ES93] showed the Chern classes of the bundles $O_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{[n]}$, $O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^{[n]}$, and $O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)^{[n]}$ generate the cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^{2[n]}$. Nakajima gave an interpretation of the McKay correspondence by restricting the tautological bundles to the G-Hilbert scheme which is nicely exposited in [Nak99, §4.3]. Recently Okounkov [Oko14] formulated a conjecture about special generating functions associated to the tautological bundles.

Given the importance of the tautological bundles it is natural to explore how different geometric aspects of vector bundles transform to their tautological bundles. For instance, we ask when the tautological bundle of a stable bundle is also stable. In [Sch10], [Wan14], and [Wan13] this question has been answered positively for Hilbert schemes of 2 points or 3 points on a K3 or abelian surface with Picard group restrictions. Our first result establishes the stability of these bundles for arbitrary $n$ and any surface.

**Theorem A.** If $L$ is a nontrivial line bundle on $S$, then $L^{[n]}$ is slope stable with respect to natural Chow divisors on $S^{[n]}$.

More precisely, an ample divisor on $S$ determines a natural ample divisor on $\text{Sym}^n(S)$, and the pullback via the Hilbert-Chow morphism gives one such natural Chow divisor on $S^{[n]}$, which is not ample but is big and semistable. More generally, we prove that if $E \not\cong O_S$ is any slope stable
vector bundle on $S$ with respect to some ample divisor then $E^{[n]}$ is slope stable with respect to the corresponding Chow divisor. Although Theorem A only gives stability with respect to a strictly big and nef divisor, we are able to deduce stability with respect to nearby ample divisors via a perturbation argument on the nef cone.

If $S$ is any smooth surface, there is a divisor $B_n$ in $S^{[n]}$ which consists of nonreduced subschemes. The pair $(S^{[n]}, B_n)$ gives a natural closure of the space of $n$ distinct points in $S$. The vector fields on $S^{[n]}$ tangent to $B_n$ form the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$. Our second result says the sheaf $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$ is naturally isomorphic to the tautological bundle associated to the tangent bundle on $S$.

**Theorem B.** For any smooth surface $S$ there exists a natural injection:

$$\alpha_n : (T_S)^{[n]} \to T_{S^{[n]}},$$

and $\alpha_n$ induces an isomorphism between $(T_S)^{[n]}$ and $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$.

The analogous statement also holds for smooth curves. In general the sheaves $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$ are only guaranteed to be reflexive as $B_n$ is not a simple normal crossing divisor. However, Theorem B shows $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$ is locally free, that is $B_n$ is a free divisor. Buchweitz, Ebeling, and Graf von Bothmer [BEGvB09a] have already shown that $B_n$ is a free divisor using different methods.

Using Aubin and Yau’s theorem [Aub76] we obtain the corollary:

**Corollary C.** If a surface $S$ has ample canonical bundle, then the log tangent bundle $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$ is polystable with respect to the big and nef canonical divisor $K_{S^{[n]}}$.

Finally, we explore the geometry of the tautological bundles when the surface is the projective plane. We prove the tautological bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2^{[n]}$ are rich enough to capture all semistable rank $n$ bundles on curves.

**Theorem D.** If $C$ is a smooth projective curve and $E$ is a semistable rank $n$ vector bundle on $C$ with sufficiently positive degree, then there exists an embedding $C \to \mathbb{P}^2^{[n]}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^{[n]}|_C \cong E$.

The proof of Theorem A follows the approach taken by Mistretta [Mis06] who studies the stability of tautological bundles on the symmetric powers of a curve. The idea is to examine the tautological vector bundles on the cartesian power $S^n$ and show there are no $S_n$-equivariant destabilizing subsheaves. This strategy is more effective for surfaces because the diagonals in $S^n$ have codimension 2. The map in Theorem B arises from pushing forward the normal sequence of the universal family. The proof of Theorem D is constructive, using the spectral curves of Beauville, Narasimhan, and Ramanan [BNR89].

In Section 1 we give the proof of Theorem A. In Section 2 we prove Theorem B and deduce Corollary C. In Section 3 we prove Theorem D. In Section 4 we give the perturbation argument, deducing the tautological bundles are stable with respect to ample divisors.

Throughout we work over the complex numbers. If $X$ is a variety of dimension $d$ and $E$ is a vector bundle on $X$, then for any divisor class $H \in N^1(X)$ we define the *slope of $E$ with respect to $H$* to be the rational number:

$$\mu_H(E) := \frac{c_1(E) \cdot H^{d-1}}{\text{rank}(E)}.$$  

We say $E$ is *slope (semi)stable with respect to $H$* if for all subsheaves $\mathcal{F} \subset E$ of intermediate rank:
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1. Stability of Tautological Bundles

In this section we prove that the tautological bundle of a stable vector bundle \( E \) is stable with respect to natural Chow divisors on \( S^{[n]} \). Thus we deduce Theorem A when \( E \) is a nontrivial line bundle. We start by defining the essential objects in the study of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces.

Let \( S \) be a smooth complex projective surface. We write \( S^{[n]} \) for the Hilbert scheme of length \( n \) subschemes of \( S \). We denote by \( Z_n \) the universal family of \( S^{[n]} \) with projections:

\[
S \times S^{[n]} \supset Z_n \rightarrow S.
\]

For a fixed vector bundle \( E \) on \( S \) of rank \( r \) we define

\[
E^{[n]} := p_2^*(p_1^*E).
\]

It is the tautological vector bundle associated to \( E \) and has rank \( rn \). The fiber of \( E^{[n]} \) at a point \([\xi] \in S^{[n]}\) can be naturally identified with the vector space \( H^0(S, E|_\xi) \).

The symmetric group on \( n \) elements \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) naturally acts on the cartesian product \( S^n \), and we write \( \sigma_n \) for the quotient map:

\[
\sigma_n : S^n \rightarrow S^n/\mathfrak{S}_n =: \text{Sym}^n(S).
\]

There is also a Hilbert-Chow morphism:

\[
h_n : S^{[n]} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n(S)
\]

which is a semismall map [dCM02, Definition 2.1.1].

We wish to view \( E^{[n]} \) as an \( \mathfrak{S}_n \)-equivariant sheaf on \( S^n \). Recall that if \( G \) is a finite group that acts on a scheme \( X \), and if \( F \) is a coherent sheaf on \( X \) then a \( G \)-equivariant structure on \( F \) is given by a choice of isomorphisms:

\[
\phi_g : F \rightarrow g^*F
\]

for all \( g \in G \) satisfying the compatibility condition \( h^*(\phi_g) \circ \phi_h = \phi_{gh} \). Following Danila [Dan01] and Scala [Sca09] we study the tautological bundles on \( S^{[n]} \) by working with \( \mathfrak{S}_n \)-equivariant sheaves on \( S^n \). For our purposes it is enough to study \( E^{[n]} \) equivariantly on the open subset of distinct points in \( S^{[n]} \).

We write \( \text{Sym}^n(S)_o \) for the open subset of \( \text{Sym}^n(S) \) of distinct points. Likewise given a map \( f : X \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n(S) \) we write \( X_o \) for \( f^{-1}(\text{Sym}^n(S)_o) \). By abuse of notation given another map
Given a torsion-free coherent sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( S^n \) we define a torsion-free coherent sheaf on \( S^n \) by
\[
(\mathcal{F})_{S^n} := j_*(\sigma^*_{n,o}(\mathcal{F})).
\]
where \( j \) is the inclusion \( : S^n_o \rightarrow S^n \). The sheaf \( (\mathcal{F})_{S^n} \) can be thought of as a modification of \( \mathcal{F} \) along the exceptional divisor of \( h_n \).

The pullback \( \sigma^*_{n,o}(-) \) is left exact as the map \( \sigma_{n,o} \) is étale; thus the functor \( (-)_{S^n} \) is left exact. If \( \mathcal{F} \) is reflexive, the normality of \( S^n \) implies the natural \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-equivariant structure on the reflexive sheaf \( \sigma^*_{n,o}(\mathcal{F}) \) pushes forward uniquely to an \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-equivariant structure on \( (\mathcal{F})_{S^n} \).

Let \( q_i \) denote the projection from \( S^n \) onto the \( i \)th factor. Given a vector bundle \( \mathcal{E} \) on \( S^n \) there is an \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-equivariant vector bundle on \( S^n \) defined by
\[
\mathcal{E}^{\text{equiv}} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} q^*_i(\mathcal{E}).
\]
We have given two natural \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-equivariant sheaves on \( S^n \) associated to \( \mathcal{E} \). In fact they are equivalent.

**Lemma 1.1.** Given a vector bundle \( \mathcal{E} \) on \( S^n \) there is an isomorphism:
\[
(\mathcal{E}^{[n]})_{S^n} \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text{equiv}}
\]
of \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-equivariant vector bundles on \( S^n \).

**Proof.** Consider the fiber square:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z_{n,o} & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_{n,o}} & S^n_o \\
\sigma_{n,o} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_{n,o} \\
Z_{n,0} & \xrightarrow{p_{2,o}} & S^n_o
\end{array}
\]

Every map in the fiber square is an étale map between \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-schemes (the \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-action on \( Z_{n,o} \) and \( S^n_o \) is trivial). We write \( \Gamma_i \) for the subscheme of \( S^n_o \times S \) that is the graph of the map \( q_{i,o} : S^n_o \rightarrow S \). The scheme \( F \) is equal to the disjoint union \( \bigsqcup \Gamma_i \) and is a subscheme of \( S^n_o \times S \). The restriction \( p_{1,o} \circ \sigma'_{n,o} \vert \Gamma_i \) is the projection \( \Gamma_i \rightarrow S \). So there is an equivariant isomorphism
\[
p'_{2,o,*}(\sigma'_{n,o}^*(\mathcal{E}^{[n]})) \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text{equiv}}.
\]

As the fiber square is made of flat proper \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-maps there is a natural \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-equivariant isomorphism:
\[
p'_{2,o,*}(\sigma'_{n,o}^*(\mathcal{E}^{[n]})) \cong \sigma_{n,o}^*(p_{2,o}^*(\mathcal{E}^{[n]}))).
\]
The latter sheaf is \( (\mathcal{E}^{[n]})_{S^n,o} \). Finally, any isomorphism between vector bundles on \( S^n_o \) uniquely extends to an isomorphism between their pushforwards along \( j \). Therefore there is a natural \( \mathcal{G}_n \)-equivariant isomorphism \( (\mathcal{E}^{[n]})_{S^n} \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text{equiv}}. \)
Given an ample divisor $H$ on $S$ there is a natural $\mathfrak{S}_n$-invariant ample divisor on $S^n$ defined as:

$$H_{S^n} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i^*(H).$$

This is the Chow divisor that appears in Theorem A. Fogarty [Fog73, Lemma 6.1] shows every divisor $H_{S^n}$ descends to an ample Cartier divisor on $\text{Sym}^n(S)$. Pulling back this Cartier divisor along the Hilbert-Chow morphism gives a big and nef divisor on $S^{[n]}$ which we denote by $H_n$. If $H$ is effective then $H_n$ can be realized set-theoretically as

$$H_n = \{ \xi \in S^{[n]} \mid \xi \cap \text{Supp}(H) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** If $\mathcal{F}$ is a torsion-free sheaf on $S^{[n]}$ then

$$(n!) \int_{S^{[n]}} c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot (H_n)^{2n-1} = \int_{S^n} c_1((\mathcal{F})_{S^n}) \cdot (H_{S^n})^{2n-1}.$$

**Proof.** This is a straightforward calculation using $S^{[n]}_0$, $\text{Sym}^n(S)_o$, and $S^n_0$. \qed

In the following lemma we assume Proposition 4.7 which says the pullback of a stable bundle to a product is stable with respect to a product polarization. For the sake of the exposition we give the proof of Proposition 4.7 in Section 4.

**Lemma 1.3.** If $\mathcal{E} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_S$ is slope stable on $S$ with respect to an ample divisor $H$ then there are no $\mathfrak{S}_n$-equivariant subsheaves of $\mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}$ that are slope destabilizing with respect to $H_{S^n}$.

**Proof.** Let $0 \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}$ be an $\mathfrak{S}_n$-equivariant subsheaf. We can find a (not necessarily equivariant) slope stable subsheaf $0 \neq \mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$ which has maximal slope with respect to $H_{S^n}$. Fix $i$ so that the composition:

$$\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow q_i^* \mathcal{E}$$

is nonzero. By Proposition 4.7 we know that each $q_i^* \mathcal{E}$ is slope stable with respect to $H_{S^n}$. A nonzero map between slope stable sheaves can only exist if

1. the slope of $\mathcal{F}'$ is less than the slope of $q_i^* \mathcal{E}$, or
2. $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow q_i^* \mathcal{E}$ is an isomorphism.

In case (1), $\mu_{H_{S^n}}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu_{H_{S^n}}(\mathcal{F}') < \mu_{H_{S^n}}(q_i^* \mathcal{E}).$ By symmetry, $\mu_{H_{S^n}}(q_i^* \mathcal{E}) = \mu_{H_{S^n}}(q_j^* \mathcal{E})$ for all $i$ and $j$. Thus $\mu_{H_{S^n}}(q_i^* \mathcal{E}) = \mu_{H_{S^n}}(\mathcal{E}^{\oplus n})$ and $\mathcal{F}$ does not destabilize $\mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}$.

In case (2), we know $\mathcal{F}' \cong q_i^* \mathcal{E}$. Because $\mathcal{E} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_S$, the pullbacks $q_i^* \mathcal{E}$ and $q_j^* \mathcal{E}$ are not isomorphic unless $i = j$. As all the $q_j^* \mathcal{E}$ have the same slope and are stable with respect to $H_{S^n}$, $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}', q_j^* \mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $j \neq i$. In particular all the compositions

$$\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow q_j^* \mathcal{E}$$

are zero for $j \neq i$. Thus $\mathcal{F}'$ is a summand of $\mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}$. So $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_n$-equivariant subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}$ which contains one of the summands. But $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts transitively on the summands so $\mathcal{F}$ contains all the summands, hence $\mathcal{F}$ does not destabilize $\mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}$. \qed

Now we prove Theorem A in full generality.
Theorem 1.4. If $\mathcal{E} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_S$ is a vector bundle on $S$ which is slope stable with respect to an ample divisor $H$, then $\mathcal{E}^{[n]}$ is slope stable with respect to $H_n$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}^{[n]}$ be a reflexive subsheaf of intermediate rank. It is enough to consider reflexive sheaves because the saturation of a torsion free subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}^{[n]}$ is reflexive of the same rank and its slope cannot decrease. By Lemma 1.2, the slope of a torsion-free sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to $H_n$ is up to a fixed positive multiple the same as the slope of $((\mathcal{F})_S^n)$ with respect to $H_{S^n}$. In particular

$$\mu_{H_n}(\mathcal{F}) < \mu_{H_n}(\mathcal{E}^{[n]}) \iff \mu_{H_{S^n}}(((\mathcal{F})_S^n)) < \mu_{H_{S^n}}(\mathcal{E}^{[n]}_S).$$

Now $((\mathcal{F})_S^n)$ is naturally an $\mathfrak{S}_n$-equivariant subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}^{[n]}$. Thus by Lemma 1.3

$$\mu_{H_{S^n}}(((\mathcal{F})_S^n)) < \mu_{H_{S^n}}(\mathcal{E}^{[n]}_S).$$

Therefore, $\mu_{H_n}(\mathcal{F}) < \mu_{H_n}(\mathcal{E}^{[n]})$ for all torsion-free subsheaves of intermediate rank, and $\mathcal{E}^{[n]}$ is stable with respect to $H_n$. $\square$

2. The tautological tangent map

For any smooth surface $S$ (not necessarily projective), the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ is a smooth closure of the space of $n$ distinct points in $S$. The boundary $B_n$ is the locus of nonreduced length $n$ subschemes of $S$. We are interested in vector fields which are tangent to the boundary $B_n$.

Definition 2.1. If $D$ is a codimension 1 subvariety of $X$ a smooth variety, then the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields, denoted $\text{Der}_C(-\log D)$, is the subsheaf of $TX$ consisting of vector fields which along the regular locus of $D$ are tangent to $D$.

When $D$ is smooth, $\text{Der}_C(-\log D)$ is just the elementary transformation of the tangent bundle along the normal bundle of $D$ in $X$, in particular it is a vector bundle. Even when $D$ is singular $\text{Der}_C(-\log D)$ is reflexive by definition, so it is enough to define $\text{Der}_C(-\log D)$ away from the singular locus (or any codimension 2 set in $X$) of $D$ and then pushforward.

For Hilbert schemes of points on a surface we can naturally understand $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$ as the tautological bundle of the tangent bundle on the surface.

Theorem B. For any smooth connected surface $S$ there exists a natural injection:

$$\alpha_n : (T_S)^{[n]} \rightarrow T_{S^{[n]}},$$

and $\alpha_n$ induces an isomorphism between $(T_S)^{[n]}$ and $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$.

At a point $[\xi] \in S^{[n]}$ the map $\alpha_n|_{[\xi]}$ can be interpreted as deformations of $\xi$ coming from tangent vectors of $S$. We expect that the degeneracy loci of $\alpha_n$ give a interesting stratification of $S^{[n]}$.

Before proving Theorem B we prove a general lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth varieties and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a branched covering with reduced branch locus $B \subset Y$. If $\delta \in H^0(Y, TY)$ is a vector field on $Y$ whose pullback $f^*\delta \in H^0(X, f^*TY)$ is in the image of

$$df : H^0(X, TX) \rightarrow H^0(X, f^*TY),$$

then $\delta \in H^0(Y, \text{Der}_C(-\log B))$. 
Proof. It is enough to check that is tangent to at points $p \in B$ outside of a codimension 2 subset in $Y$. Let $p \in B$ be a general point and $q$ a ramified point in the fiber of $f$ over $p$. We can choose local analytic coordinates $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ centered at $p$ and coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ centered at $q$ such that

$$
f^*(y_1) = x_1^m \\
f^*(y_i) = x_i \ (i > 1).
$$

That is $y_1$ is a local equation for $B$ and $x_1$ is a local equation for the reduced component of ramification containing $q$. Then the derivative $df$ maps

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \mapsto mx_1^{m-1}f^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mapsto f^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\right) \ (i > 1).
$$

Now $f^*\delta$ is in the image of $df$. Expanding locally, $f^*\delta = f^*(g_1) + \ldots + f^*(g_n)$. Thus $x_1^{m-1}$ divides $f^*(g_1)$. So $y_1$ divides $g_1$ and $\delta$ is in $H^0(Y, \operatorname{Der}_C(-\log B))$.

\[\square\]

Proof of Theorem B. As in §1 we use $Z_n \subset S \times S^{[n]}$ to denote the universal family of the Hilbert scheme of points. Applying relative Serre duality to the main result of [Leh98] shows the tangent bundle of $S^{[n]}$ is given by $T_{S^{[n]}} = p_{2*}\operatorname{Hom}(I_{Z_n}, O_{Z_n})$. The normal sequence for $Z_n$ gives a map:

$$p_1^*T_S \oplus p_2^*T_{S^{[n]}} \cong T_{S \times S^{[n]} | Z_n} \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} (I_{Z_n}/I_{Z_n}^2)^{\vee} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(I_{Z_n}, O_{Z_n}).$$

Thus after pushing forward the first summand we get a map:

$$\alpha_n : (T_S)[n] := p_{2*}(p_1^*T_S) \rightarrow p_{2*}\operatorname{Hom}(I_{Z_n}, O_{Z_n}) = T_{S^{[n]}}.$$  

To prove that $\alpha_n$ maps $(T_S)[n]$ isomorphically onto $\operatorname{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$ we first restrict to the open set $U \subset S^{[n]}$ parametrizing subschemes $\xi \subset S$ where $\xi$ contains at least $n - 1$ distinct points. The complement of $U$ has codimension 2 so by reflexivity it is enough to prove the theorem on $U$. Moreover the open set

$$V := p_2^{-1}U \subset Z_n$$

is smooth so we are in a situation where we can apply Lemma 2.2. There is a map:

$$p_2^*(T_S)[n] |_V \rightarrow p_2^*\alpha_n |_V \oplus -\phi |_V$$

where $\phi$ is the natural map coming from pulling back a pushforward. The composition:

$$\beta \circ (p_2^*\alpha_n |_V \oplus -\phi |_V)$$

is identically zero. Therefore, the pullback of each local section of $(T_S)[n] |_U$ lies in $T_{Z_n} |_V$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $(T_S)[n]$ is contained in $\operatorname{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$. Now we can think of $\alpha_n$ as having codomain $\operatorname{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$. The map is an isomorphism of $(T_S)[n]$ and $\operatorname{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$ away from $B_n$ and they both have the same first Chern class. Therefore, $\alpha_n$ could only fail to be an isomorphism in codimension greater than 2. But both sheaves are reflexive, and any isomorphism
between reflexive sheaves away from codimension 2 on a normal variety extends uniquely to an isomorphism on the whole variety. □

Proof of Corollary C. As a reminder, a vector bundle is polystable if it is a direct sum of stable bundles of the same slope. The theorem of Aubin and Yau [Aub76] proves the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics for canonically polarized manifolds. This implies that the tangent bundle is polystable with respect to the canonical bundle (see [Kob87, Theorem 8.3], this is the easy direction of the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem [Don85]). Thus we have $T_S$ is either stable or a direct sum of line bundles of the same canonical degree. In the first case Corollary C follows directly from Theorem A and Theorem B.

For the second case let $T_S \cong \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2$. First we point out that taking tautological bundles respects direct sums, that is:

$$(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})|_n \cong \mathcal{E}|_n \oplus \mathcal{F}|_n$$

We then note that neither $\mathcal{L}_1$ or $\mathcal{L}_2$ are trivial so their tautological bundles are stable by Theorem A. And if two line bundles on $S$ have equal degrees with respect to the canonical bundle then their tautological bundles also have equal degrees with respect to $K_{S[n]}$. Thus by Theorem B, $\text{Der}_C(-\log B_n)$ is a direct sum of stable bundles of the same slope with respect to $K_{S[n]}$, proving Corollary C.

Remark 2.3 (On the rank of $\alpha_n$). The restriction of $\alpha_n$ to any point $[\xi] \in S[n]$ is precisely the map from $H^0(S, T_S|_\xi) \to \text{Hom}(I_\xi, O_\xi)$ in the normal sequence of $\xi \subset S$. In a collaboration with D. Bejleri [BS16] we relate the rank of $\alpha_n$ to the dimension of the tangent space of the fibers of the Hilbert-Chow morphism. In particular we show that if $\xi \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is cut out by monomials and $P_\xi$ denotes the fiber of the Hilbert-Chow morphism at $\xi$, then:

$$\dim T_{[\xi]} P_\xi = 2n - \text{rank}(\alpha_n|_{[\xi]}).$$

Moreover we give an explicit combinatorial formula to compute $\text{rank}(\alpha_n|_{[\xi]})$ at these monomial subschemes.

3. Spectral curves and tautological bundles

In this section we prove every sufficiently positive, rank $n$, semistable vector bundle on a smooth projective curve arises as the pull back of $O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^{|n|}$ along an embedding of the curve in $\mathbb{P}^{|n|}$. To prove the theorem we need the spectral curves of [BNR89]. For completeness we recall the construction.

Let $\pi : D \to C$ be an $n : 1$ map between smooth irreducible projective curves and let $\mathcal{E}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_C$-module. If $D$ can be embedded into the total space of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $C$:

$$\mathbb{L} := \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\text{Sym}^* (\mathcal{L}')) \xrightarrow{\pi} C$$

with $\pi = \pi_\mathbb{L}|_D$ then this gives a presentation:

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_D \cong \text{Sym}^* (\mathcal{L}')/(x^n + s_1 x^{n-1} + \ldots + s_n)$$

for $x^n + s_1 x^{n-1} + \ldots + s_n \in H^0(\mathcal{L}_n, (\pi_\mathbb{L})^n)$. Here we write $x \in H^0(\mathbb{L}, \pi_\mathbb{L}^* (\mathcal{L}))$ for the coordinate section of $\pi_\mathbb{L}^* (\mathcal{L})$. To give $\mathcal{E}$ the structure of a $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_D$-module we need to specify a multiplication map $m : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}' \to \mathcal{E}$ (equivalently $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}$) which satisfies the relation $m^n + s_1 m^{n-1} + \ldots + s_n = 0.$
Every \( \mathcal{L} \)-twisted endomorphism \( m : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \) has an associated \( \mathcal{L} \)-twisted characteristic polynomial, which is a global section \( p_m(x) \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, (\pi_* \mathcal{L})^\otimes n) \). A global version of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem says that \( m \) automatically satisfies its \( \mathcal{L} \)-twisted characteristic polynomial. In particular, if the zero set of \( p_m(x) \) is \( D \) then \( \mathcal{E} \) can naturally be thought of as a \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D \)-module. Fixing \( s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, (\pi_* \mathcal{L})^\otimes n) \) which cuts out the integral curve \( D \), \cite[Proposition 3.6]{BNR89} gives the beautiful correspondence:

\[
\phi \colon \left\{ \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ a vector bundle and } p_m(x) = s \right\} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{invertible sheaves } \mathcal{M} \text{ on } D \}.
\]

The correspondence going from right to left is given by taking the coordinate section of \( \mathcal{M} \), which is a global section of \( \mathcal{M} \) when a section of \( \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L} \) is smooth and irreducible.

To prove Theorem D we need the following Key Lemma which provides sufficient conditions for when a section of \( \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \) produces a smooth spectral curve.

**Key Lemma.** If \( C \) is a smooth connected genus \( g \) curve, \( \mathcal{E} \) is a rank \( n \) semistable vector bundle on \( C \), and \( \mathcal{L} \) is an ample line bundle on \( C \) with \( \deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2g \), then the spectral curve associated to a generic section of \( \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \) is smooth and irreducible.

The method of proof of the Key Lemma involves a standard analysis of the *discriminant locus* where a section of \( \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \) has eigenvalues with multiplicity \( \geq 2 \). Before proving the Key Lemma we show that Theorem D follows immediately.

**Proof of Theorem D.** Let \( C \) be a smooth projective genus \( g \) curve and \( \mathcal{E} \) a rank \( n \) semistable vector bundle on \( C \). Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be a line bundle on \( C \) of degree \( \geq 2g \). By the Key Lemma if

\[
m : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}
\]

is a general \( \mathcal{L} \)-twisted endomorphism then the resulting \( \mathcal{L} \)-twisted characteristic polynomial is smooth and irreducible.

Thus, by the correspondence \( \phi \) there is a line bundle \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( D \) such that \( \pi_* \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{E} \). The genus of \( D \) is \( g_D = \left( \binom{g}{2} \right) \deg(\mathcal{L}) + n(g - 1) + 1 \) and is independent of \( \mathcal{E} \). However, the degree of \( \mathcal{M} \) is \( \deg(\mathcal{E}) + \left( \binom{g}{2} \right) \deg(\mathcal{L}) \) and does depend on the degree of \( \mathcal{E} \). In particular, if

\[
\deg(\mathcal{E}) \geq \left( \binom{g}{2} \right) \deg(\mathcal{L}) + r(2g - 2) + 3
\]

then \( \mathcal{M} \) is very ample and 3 general sections of \( \mathcal{M} \) give a map \( \phi : D \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) such that the induced maps \( \pi \times \phi : D \to C \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) and \( \psi_{\pi,\phi} : C \to \mathbb{P}^2[n] \) are embeddings. Under the embedding \( \psi_{\pi,\phi} \) the restriction of \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^[n] \) to \( C \) is precisely \( \mathcal{E} \), proving Theorem D. \( \square \)

We now proceed with the proof of the Key Lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** If \( X \subset \mathbb{E} \) of a globally generated vector bundle \( \mathbb{E} \) over a smooth curve \( C \) has codimension \( \geq 2 \) then a generic section of \( \mathbb{E} \) avoids \( X \). If \( X \subset \mathbb{E} \) is a reduced divisor then a generic section of \( \mathbb{E} \) meets \( X \) transversely.

**Proof.** This is an elementary dimension count using generic smoothness in characteristic 0 and the incidence correspondence:

\[
I = \{(w, e_x, x) \in W \times \mathbb{E} \times C \mid w(x) = e_x\} \subset W \times \mathbb{E},
\]

where \( W \) is a subspace of sections of \( \mathbb{E} \to C \) that globally generate \( \mathbb{E} \). The key point is the projection from \( I \to \mathbb{E} \) is an affine bundle, so the total space of \( I \) is smooth. \( \square \)
If $\mathbb{H}$ is the total space of $\mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{L} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L}^\otimes n$ then there is a map $\epsilon : \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{C}$ which sends an $\mathcal{L}$-twisted endomorphism to the coefficients of its characteristic polynomial. There is a reduced and irreducible divisor in $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathcal{C}$ which consists of characteristic polynomials which have multiple roots. Let $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{H}$ be the scheme-theoretic inverse of $\mathbb{U}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** $\mathbb{V}$ is reduced and irreducible. If a section $s : C \to \mathbb{H}$ meets $\mathbb{V}$ transversely and avoids the locus in $\mathbb{V}$ of with more than 1 repeated eigenvalue or an eigenvalue of multiplicity $\geq 3$, then the corresponding spectral curve is smooth.

**Proof.** First, local trivialization of $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{U}$, $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{L}$ implies it is enough to check on a fiber. Over a point $x \in C$ we have $\mathbb{H}|_x \cong \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(k)$, $\mathcal{C}|_x \cong \mathbb{A}^n$, $\mathbb{V}|_x$ is the locus of matrices whose eigenvalues have multiplicity $\geq 2$, and $\mathbb{U}|_x$ is the discriminant locus. Irreducibility of $\mathbb{V}|_x$ follows from [Arn71, §5.6] and the fact that it is reduced follows from the observation that $d\epsilon|_{x,M}$ has maximal rank for a general matrix $M \in \mathbb{U}|_x$. For the last statement in the lemma it suffices to verify smoothness for an eigenvalues cover associated to a 1-dimensional family of matrices which meets the discriminant locus transversely at matrices with exactly 1 repeated eigenvalue, this is a straightforward local calculation. □

**Proof of Key Lemma.** Semistability of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\deg \mathcal{L} \geq 2g$ implies $\mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is globally generated. By Lemma 3.1 and the first part of Lemma 3.2 a generic section $s$ of $\mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ meets $\mathbb{V}$ transversely and avoids the locus with more than 1 repeated eigenvalue or an eigenvalue of multiplicity of $\geq 3$. By the second part of Lemma 3.2 the associated spectral curve is smooth. By construction of the spectral curve $C_s$ we have:

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_s} \cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-(n-1)}.$$ 

As we assumed $\mathcal{L}$ is ample, $H^0(C_s, \mathcal{O}_{C_s}) = H^0(C, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_s}) = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is 1-dimensional. Thus $C_s$ is connected and smooth, so it is irreducible. □

4. Perturbation of Polarization and Stability

The goal of this section is to prove (in Proposition 4.7) that the pullback of a stable bundle to a product is stable with respect to a product polarization. Proposition 4.7 was important in the proof of Theorem A. We also prove that stability of the tautological bundles with respect to the natural Chow divisors implies stability with respect to nearby ample divisors. Our approach to proving both of these facts involves considering stability with respect to numerical classes of curves so that we can apply ideas of convexity. In particular our approach follows ideas appearing recently in [GT13] and [GKP14] and we recommend looking at these articles to see how these ideas can be developed further and systematically.

Throughout this section denote by $X$ a normal complex projective variety of dimension $d$. Let $\gamma \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real curve class and $\mathcal{E}$ be a torsion-free sheaf on $X$. For any sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ on $X$, we denote by $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{Q})$ the closed locus where $\mathcal{Q}$ is not locally free.

**Definition 4.1.** The **slope of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\gamma$**, denoted by $\mu^\gamma(\mathcal{E})$, is the real number:

$$\mu^\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \gamma}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

**Remark 4.2.** Fixing an ample class $H \in N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ it is true that $\mu_H(\mathcal{E}) = \mu^{H^{d-1}}(\mathcal{E})$. Nonetheless, to distinguish the concepts we use subscripts to denote slope with respect to an ample divisor and superscripts to denote slope with respect to a curve class.
Definition 4.3. We say $\mathcal{E}$ is slope (semi)stable with respect to $\gamma$ if for all torsion-free quotients of intermediate rank $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0$:

$$\mu^\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mu^\gamma(\mathcal{Q}).$$

A benefit of working with slope (semi)stability with respect to curves rather than divisors is that we can apply ideas of convexity.

Lemma 4.4. If $\gamma, \delta$ are classes in $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is semistable with respect to $\gamma$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is stable with respect to $\delta$ then $\mathcal{E}$ is stable with respect to $a\gamma + b\delta$ for $a, b > 0$.

If $C \subset X$ is an irreducible curve we would like to relate the stability of $\mathcal{E}|_C$ and the stability of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to the class of $C$. However if $\mathcal{Q}$ is a coherent sheaf and $C$ meets $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{Q})$ it is possible that $c_1(\mathcal{Q}|_C) \neq c_1(\mathcal{Q})|_C$. Thankfully we can say something if $C$ is not entirely contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{Q})$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0$ be a torsion-free quotient which destabilizes $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to the curve class $\gamma$. Suppose $C \subset X$ is a smooth irreducible closed curve which represents $\gamma$, avoids $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{E})$, and avoids the singularities of $X$. If $C$ is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{Q})$ then $\mathcal{E}|_C$ is not stable on $C$.

Proof. First, we can reduce to the surface case by choosing a normal surface $S \subset X$ containing $C$ such that $S$ is smooth along $C$, $S$ meets $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{Q})$ properly, and $S$ meets $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{E})$ properly. This is possible because when the dimension of $X$ is greater than 3 a generic, high-degree hyperplane section containing $C$ is normal, smooth along $C$, and meets both $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{E})$ properly. Once such a surface is chosen

$$c_1(\mathcal{Q})|_S = c_1(\mathcal{Q}|_S) = c_1(\mathcal{Q}|_S/\text{Tors}(\mathcal{Q}|_S))$$

$$c_1(\mathcal{E})|_S = c_1(\mathcal{E}|_S) = c_1(\mathcal{E}|_S/\text{Tors}(\mathcal{E}|_S))$$

because both $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{Q}) \cap S$ and $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{E}) \cap S$ are zero-dimensional. Thus

$$\mathcal{E}|_S/\text{Tors}(\mathcal{E}|_S) \to \mathcal{Q}|_S/\text{Tors}(\mathcal{Q}|_S) \to 0$$

is a torsion-free quotient on $S$ which destabilizes $\mathcal{E}|_S/\text{Tors}(\mathcal{E}|_S)$ with respect to the class of $C$. So we have reduced the proposition to the case $X$ is a surface.

Let $X$ be a surface. It is enough to show $c_1(\mathcal{Q}|_C) = c_1(\mathcal{Q})|_C$. The restriction $c_1(\mathcal{Q})|_C$ is computed via the derived pullback:

$$c_1(\mathcal{Q})|_C = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i c_1(\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_C)),$$

where the $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ are thought of as modules on $C$ (see [Ful98, §15.1] for the smooth case). Further, $C$ is a Cartier divisor on $X$, so $\mathcal{O}_C$ has a two term locally free resolution. So the $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ vanish for $i > 2$ and $\text{Tor}_2^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$ because $\mathcal{Q}$ is torsion-free. Therefore

$$c_1(\mathcal{Q})|_C = c_1(\text{Tor}_0^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_C)) = c_1(\mathcal{Q}|_C).$$

So $\mathcal{E}|_C$ is not slope stable. □

An immediate corollary is the following coarse criterion for checking slope stability with respect to $\gamma$. 


Corollary 4.6. Let $\pi : C_T \to T$ be a family of smooth irreducible closed curves in $X$ with class $\gamma$. For $t \in T$ we write $C_t$ to denote $\pi^{-1}(t)$. Suppose $E$ is a vector bundle on $X$ such that $E|_{C_t}$ is stable for all $t \in T$. If the curves in $C_T$ are dense in $X$ then $E$ is stable with respect to the curve class $\gamma$.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that $E$ is not stable with respect to $\gamma$. Then there exists a torsion-free quotient $E \to Q \to 0$ with $\mu^\gamma(Q) \leq \mu^\gamma(E)$. As $Q$ is torsion-free, $\text{Sing}(Q)$ has codimension $\geq 2$. The curves in $C_T$ are dense in $X$ so there is a $t \in T$ such that $C_t$ is not contained in $\text{Sing}(Q)$. Then Proposition 1.6 guarantees that $E|_{C_t}$ is not stable which contradicts our hypothesis. \hfill $\Box$

Proposition 4.5 can be adjusted so that Corollary 4.6 also holds if stability is replaced by semistability. As a consequence we prove the following basic result about slope stable vector bundles, which we have already used in the proof of Theorem A.

Proposition 4.7. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth projective varieties of dimension $d$ and $e$ respectively. Let $H_X$ be an ample divisor on $X$ (resp. $H_Y$ ample on $Y$) and let $p_1$ (resp. $p_2$) denote the projection from $X \times Y$ to $X$ (resp. $Y$). If $E$ is a vector bundle on $X$ which is slope stable with respect to $H_X$ then $p_1^*(E)$ is slope stable on $X \times Y$ with respect to the ample divisor $p_1^*(H_X) + p_2^*(H_Y)$.

Proof. By [MR84, Theorem 4.3] if $k \gg 0$ and $C$ is a general curve which is a complete intersection of divisors linearly equivalent to $kH_X$ then $E|_C$ is stable. Let $F \subset |kH_X|^{d-1}$ be the open subset of the cartesian power of the complete linear series of $kH_X$ defined as

$$F := \left\{(H_1, \ldots, H_d) \in |kH_X|^{d-1} \mid C = H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_d \text{ is a smooth complete intersection curve and } E|_C \text{ is stable}\right\} \subset |kH_X|^{d-1}.$$

We write $C_F$ for the natural family of smooth curves in $X$ parametrized by $F$. Likewise the fiber product $C_F \times_F (F \times Y)$ is naturally a family of smooth curves in $X \times Y$ parametrized by $F \times Y$. The image of $C_F \times_F (F \times Y)$ in $X \times Y$ is dense, and for any $(f, y) \in F \times Y$ the restriction of $p_1^*(E)$ to $C_{(f, y)}$ is stable. Therefore by Corollary 1.7 $p_1^*(E)$ is stable with respect to the numerical class of $C_{(f, y)}$ which we denote by $\gamma$.

For $l \gg 0$ the divisor $lH_Y$ is very ample on $Y$ and a general complete intersection of divisors linearly equivalent to $lH_Y$ is smooth. Let $G \subset |lH_Y|^{e-1}$ be the open subset of the cartesian power of the complete linear series of $lH_Y$ defined as

$$G := \left\{(H_1, \ldots, H_{e-1}) \in |lH_Y|^{e-1} \mid H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{e-1} \text{ is a smooth complete intersection curve}\right\} \subset |lH_Y|^{e-1}.$$

As before there is a natural family $D_G$ of smooth curves in $Y$ parametrized by $G$. The fiber product $D_G \times_G (X \times G)$ is a family of smooth curves in $X \times Y$ parametrized by $X \times G$. For $(x, g) \in X \times G$ the restriction of $p_1^*(E)$ to $D_{(x, g)}$ is a direct sum of trivial bundles thus the restriction is semistable. Therefore by applying Corollary 1.7 in the semistable case, $p_1^*(E)$ is semistable with respect to the curve class of $D_{(x, g)}$ which we write $\delta$.

Finally,

$$(p_1^*H_X + p_2^*H_Y)^{d+e-1} = \left(d + e - 1 \right) \frac{(H_Y)^e}{k^{d-1}} \cdot \frac{H_X^d}{l^{e-1}} \cdot \delta.$$

Therefore by Lemma 4.4 $p_1^*(E)$ is slope stable with respect to $p_1^*(H_X) + p_2^*(H_Y)$. \hfill $\Box$

This completes the proof of Theorem A. We now give a proof of the perturbation argument. The idea is to use [GKP14, Theorem 3.4] on openness of stability along with the fact that the natural Chow divisors are left in the sense of [dCM02, Definition 2.1.3].
Proposition 4.8. Let $H$ be a nef divisor and $A$ an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ a normal complex projective variety. Suppose $E$ is a rank $r$ torsion-free sheaf on $X$ which is slope stable with respect to the class of $H^{d-1}$. Assume

$$- \cap H^{d-2} : N^1(X)_\mathbb{R} \to N_1(X)_\mathbb{R}$$

$$\xi \mapsto \xi \cdot H^{d-2}$$

is an isomorphism, then $E$ is stable with respect to $H + \epsilon A$ for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small.

This implies we can perturb our Chow polarization to obtain stability of tautological bundles with respect to nearby ample divisors.

Corollary 4.9. If $E$ is a vector bundle on $S$ a smooth projective surface which is stable with respect to $H$ an ample divisor, then $E^{[n]}$ is stable with respect to an ample divisor near the Chow divisor $H_n$.

Proof of Corollary. By [dCM02, Theorem 2.3.1] we know $H_n$ is lef, so $E^{[n]}$ and $H_n$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3.8. Therefore $E^{[n]}$ is stable with respect to ample divisors close to $H_n$. \hfill \Box

Proof of Proposition 4.8. Identifying the tangent space of a vector space with the vector space, the derivative of the $(d-1)$st power map $N^1(X)_\mathbb{R} \to N_1(X)_\mathbb{R}$ at $H$ is given by

$$- \cap (d-1)H^{d-2} : N^1(X)_\mathbb{R} \to N_1(X)_\mathbb{R}.$$

The assumption that the intersection with $H^{d-2}$ map is an isomorphism implies the $(d-1)$st power map is locally an isomorphism.

It follows from [GKP14, Theorem 3.4] that there is a nonempty convex open set $U \subset N_1(X)_\mathbb{R}$ whose closure contains $[H^{d-1}]$ such that for all $\gamma \in U$, $E$ is stable with respect to $\gamma$. More precisely if $\delta \in N_1(X)_\mathbb{R}$ represents the $(d-1)$st power of an ample divisor then $E$ is stable with respect to the perturbed curve class $[H^{d-1}] + \epsilon \cdot \delta$ for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small. By estimating the $(d-1)$st power map by its derivative (which is an isomorphism at $H$) and by our ability to perturb linearly towards ample curve classes we see that for small enough $\epsilon$, $(H + \epsilon A)^{d-1}$ maps into $U$. Therefore for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small $E$ is stable with respect to $H + \epsilon A$. \hfill \Box
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