

Sheaves of modules:

Throughout, X will denote a noetherian scheme and \mathcal{O}_X will denote its structure sheaf.

(1) A sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X s.t., for all open sets $U \subset X$, the set $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is a module over the ring $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ in such a way that the module structures are compatible with the restriction morphisms for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{O}_X . I.e., \forall open $V \subset U \subset X$ and sections $a \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, we have

$$(as)|_V = a|_V s|_V.$$

(2) A morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of sheaves s.t. $\varphi(U): \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules $\forall U \subset X$.

(3) The kernel, cokernel, and image of a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is again an \mathcal{O}_X -module. The quotient of two \mathcal{O}_X -modules is an \mathcal{O}_X -module (cokernel of an inclusion morphism).

(4) The direct sums, direct products, direct limits and inverse limits of \mathcal{O}_X -modules are again \mathcal{O}_X -modules.

(presheaves are already sheaves, need noetherian for the direct limit, see Section 1 ex.)

(5) Tensor products: suppose given \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} .

The tensor product $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf
$$U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{G}(U)$$
 This is an \mathcal{O}_X -module.

(6) The sheaf $\mathcal{H}om$: given two \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} ,

the set $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{morphisms of } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-modules} \\ \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \end{array} \right\}$

is a module over $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$.

the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \longmapsto \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$

the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om$ is an \mathcal{O}_X -module.

(\rightarrow) An \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} is quasi-coherent if there exists an open cover of X by affine subschemes $U = \text{Spec } A$ s.t. \exists an A -module M with $\mathcal{F}|_U \cong \tilde{M}$.

Recall: \tilde{M} is the sheaf on $\text{Spec } A$ s.t. \forall basic open $\text{Spec } A[f^{-1}]$, $\tilde{M}(\text{Spec } A[f^{-1}]) = M[f^{-1}]$. One proves that

then this holds for all open affine subspaces of X .

A quasi-coherent sheaf is called coherent if, in addition, M is a finite A -module.

(8) An \mathcal{O}_X -module is called free if it is isomorphic, as an \mathcal{O}_X -module, to a direct sum of sheaves isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_X (sometimes also called trivial).

\mathcal{F} is locally free if X has a covering by open sets U s.t. $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is free.

An isomorphism $\mathcal{F}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus I}$ is called a trivialization of \mathcal{F} on U .

The rank of a locally free sheaf is the number of copies of \mathcal{O}_U in a trivialization, it can be finite or

infinite. The rank of a locally free sheaf is constant on each connected component of X .

Locally free sheaves are quasi-coherent because trivial sheaves are. They are coherent when they have finite rank.

Gluing data: Suppose \mathcal{F} is locally free of finite rank n .

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_i$$

$$\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_i} \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus n}$$

on $U_i \cap U_j$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i \cap U_j} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_i} & \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}^{\oplus n} \\ \parallel & & \cong \downarrow \varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1} \\ \mathcal{F}|_{U_i \cap U_j} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_j} & \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}^{\oplus n} \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1} : \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j} \xrightarrow{\oplus n} \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

$$a_{kl} : \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j} \quad a_{kl} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)$$

the matrix is invertible: it is called a transition matrix of \mathcal{F} on $U_i \cap U_j$.

(9) An invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} is a locally free sheaf of rank 1.

If we put $\mathcal{L}^{-1} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)$, then there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_X$.

More generally, there is always a homomorphism of

\mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$

on any U : $\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{F}(U), \mathcal{O}_U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$

$$s \otimes l \longmapsto l^{\vee}(s)$$

at the level of presheaves, factor it through the

sheaf $\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. When \mathcal{F} is locally free of rank 1, we can show it is an isomorphism.

The set of invertible sheaves modulo isomorphism forms a group with identity element \mathcal{O}_X , called the Picard group of X .

Important example: Choose an algebraically closed field k .

$$X = \mathbb{P}_k^n = \text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)$:

$$\mathbb{P}_k^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i \quad U_i = \text{Spec } k\left[\frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i}\right]$$

$$= \{p \mid p_i \neq 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{U_i}(m) = \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \underbrace{x_i^{-m}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{formal generator}}}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j} \xrightarrow{\varphi_i^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)|_{U_i \cap U_j} \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}} x_i^{-m} \xrightarrow{\left(\frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)^m} \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}} x_j^{-m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1} = \text{multiplication by } \left(\frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)^m$$

$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)$ is obtained by gluing these sheaves.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)^{-1} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})$$

Claim: In general, if \mathcal{L} has trivializations

$\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ with transition functions

$f_{ij} = \varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$ on $U_i \cap U_j$, then \mathcal{L}^{-1} has

trivializations $\mathcal{L}^{-1}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ with transition functions $g_{ij} = (f_{ij})^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}$.

Fact: $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m), m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

To show this we will in fact show

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)) \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{homogeneous polynomials of} \\ \text{degree } m \text{ in } X_0, \dots, X_n \end{array} \right\} \cup \{0\}$$

Proof: $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m))$
 for each i , $s|_{U_i} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)|_{U_i}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{U_i}(X_i^{-m}))$

$$s|_{U_i} \longleftrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{U_i}) = k\left[\frac{X_0}{X_i}, \dots, \frac{X_n}{X_i}\right]$$

$$s|_{U_i} \longleftrightarrow f_i\left(\frac{X_0}{X_i}, \dots, \frac{X_n}{X_i}\right) \text{ polynomial}$$

$$\frac{P_i(X_0, \dots, X_n)}{X_i^m} \leftarrow \text{homogeneous of degree } m$$