

THE IRREDUCIBILITY OF THE PRIMAL COHOMOLOGY OF THE THETA DIVISOR OF AN ABELIAN FIVEFOLD

ELHAM IZADI AND JIE WANG

ABSTRACT. We prove that the primal cohomology of the theta divisor of a very general principally polarized abelian fivefold is an irreducible Hodge structure of level 2.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Prym varieties associated to a Lefschetz pencil	3
2. Numerical calculations	5
3. General facts about the Clemens-Schmid exact sequence	11
4. Local monodromy representations near N_0	13
5. Local monodromy near the boundary Δ	15
6. Global monodromy	18
References	21

INTRODUCTION

Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension $g \geq 4$ with smooth theta divisor Θ . By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem and Poincaré Duality (see, e.g., [IW15]) the cohomology of Θ is determined by that of A except in the middle dimension $g - 1$. The primitive cohomology of Θ , in the sense of Lefschetz, is

$$H_{pr}^{g-1}(\Theta) := \text{Ker} \left(H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup\theta|_{\Theta}} H^{g+1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \right).$$

The primal cohomology of Θ is defined as (see [IW15] and [ITW])

$$\mathbb{K} := \text{Ker}(j_* : H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{g+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}))$$

where $j : \Theta \rightarrow A$ is the inclusion. This is a Hodge substructure of $H_{pr}^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z})$ of rank $g! - \frac{1}{g+1} \binom{2g}{g}$ and level $g - 3$ while the primitive cohomology $H_{pr}^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z})$ has full level $g - 1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C30 ; Secondary 14D06, 14K12, 14H40.

The first author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

The primal cohomology is therefore a good test case for the general Hodge conjecture. The general Hodge conjecture predicts that $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is contained in the image, via Gysin push-forward, of the cohomology of a smooth (possibly reducible) variety of pure dimension $g - 3$ (see [IW15]). This conjecture was proved in [IS95] and [ITW] in the cases $g = 4$ and $g = 5$. When $g = 4$, it also follows from the proof of the Hodge conjecture in [IS95] that for (A, Θ) generic, \mathbb{K} is an irreducible Hodge structure (isogenous to the third cohomology of a smooth cubic threefold). When $g = 5$, the cohomology of the variety whose cohomology contains \mathbb{K} is no longer irreducible and the irreducibility of \mathbb{K} no longer follows from the proof of the Hodge conjecture.

Our main result is the somewhat unexpected (see [KW, 2.9])

Theorem 0.1. For a very general ppav A of dimension 5 with smooth theta divisor Θ . The primal cohomology \mathbb{K} of Θ is an irreducible Hodge structure of level 2.

As explained in [IW15], the above theorem considerably simplifies the proof of the Hodge conjecture in [ITW]: it is no longer necessary to show that the image of the Abel-Jacobi map in [ITW] contains all of \mathbb{K} , only that it intersects \mathbb{K} non-trivially.

If A is replaced by a projective space and Θ by a smooth hypersurface, then the primitive and the primal cohomology coincide. The primitive cohomology of a general hypersurface is irreducible (see, e.g., [Lam81, 7.3]).

Our strategy, explained below, for proving Theorem 0.1 is to use the Mori-Mukai proof [MM83] of the unirationality of \mathcal{A}_5 .

Let T be an Enriques surface and

$$f : S \longrightarrow T$$

the K3 étale double cover corresponding to the canonical class (which is 2-torsion) $K_T \in \text{Pic}(T)$. Let H be a very ample line bundle on T with $H^2 = 10$. A general element in the linear system $|H| \cong \mathbb{P}^5$ is a smooth curve of genus 6 and such smooth curves are parametrized by the Zariski open subset $|H| \setminus \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is the dual variety of the embedding of T in $|H|^*$. For each element $u \in |H| \setminus \mathcal{D}$, we obtain a nontrivial étale double cover $D_u := f^{-1}(C_u) \rightarrow C_u$. Associating to such a cover its Prym variety $P(D_u, C_u)$ defines a morphism from $|H| \setminus \mathcal{D}$ to \mathcal{A}_5 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |H| \setminus \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{R}_6 \\ \mathcal{P}_H \searrow & & \swarrow \mathcal{P} \\ & \mathcal{A}_5 & \end{array}$$

Mori and Mukai [MM83] showed that as we vary (T, H) in moduli, the family of maps \mathcal{P}_H dominates \mathcal{A}_5 .

The ppav (A, Θ) with singular theta divisor form the Andreotti-Mayer divisor N_0 in \mathcal{A}_5 ([Bea77]). The divisor N_0 has two irreducible components θ_{null} and N'_0 ([Deb92],[Mum83]) (as divisors, $N_0 = \theta_{null} + 2N'_0$). The theta divisor of a general point $(A, \Theta) \in \theta_{null}$ has a unique node at a two-torsion point while the theta divisor of a general point in N'_0 has two distinct nodes x and $-x$.

The primal cohomologies of the theta divisors form a variation of (polarized) Hodge structures over $\mathcal{U} := |H| \setminus (\mathcal{D} \cup \overline{\mathcal{P}_H^{-1}(N_0)})$. Inspired by [Lam81, 7.3], we prove Theorem 0.1 via a detailed study of the monodromy representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \langle, \rangle)$$

where \langle, \rangle is the natural polarization on $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ induced by the intersection pairing on $H^4(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})$.

1. PRYM VARIETIES ASSOCIATED TO A LEFSCHETZ PENCIL

1.1. **A pencil of double covers.** We denote by

$$\tau : S \longrightarrow S$$

the fixed point free covering involution such that $S/\tau \cong T$. By [Nam85, Prop. 2.3] the invariant subspace of the involution τ^* acting on the Néron Severi group $NS(S)$ is equal to $f^*(NS(T))$. Since the pullback

$$f^* : NS(T) \longrightarrow NS(S)$$

is injective, we deduce that $f^*(NS(T))$ is a rank 10 primitive sublattice in $NS(S)$. It follows that the Picard number of S is greater than or equal to 10. By [Nam85, Prop. 5.6], when T is general in moduli,

$$(1.1) \quad NS(S) = f^*NS(T).$$

Hypothesis: Throughout this paper, we will assume T satisfies (1.1).

Suppose $l \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \subset |H|$ is a Lefschetz pencil, i.e., it is transverse to the dual variety \mathcal{D} . Then the singular curves of the pencil consist of finitely many irreducible nodal curves. Denote by $\tilde{T} := Bl_{10}T$ (resp. $\tilde{S} := Bl_{20}S$) the blow-up of T (resp. S) along the base locus of l (resp. f^*l). We obtain a family of étale double covers parametrized by l :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{T} \\ & \searrow \pi' & \swarrow \pi \\ & & l. \end{array}$$

Proposition 1.1. There are 42 singular fibers in the family $\tilde{T} \xrightarrow{\pi} l$.

Proof. We use the formula

$$\chi_{top}(\tilde{T}) = \chi_{top}(T) + 10 = \chi_{top}(\mathbb{P}^1)\chi_{top}(C) + N,$$

where C is a smooth fiber in the pencil and N is the number of singular fibers. We obtain $N = 42$. □

Denote by C_t the fiber over $t \in l$ of π and D_t the corresponding étale double cover in \tilde{S} and $\{s_i \in l : i = 1, \dots, 42\}$ the 42 points where π is singular.

Proposition 1.2. For any $t \in l$, the étale double cover D_t of C_t is an irreducible curve.

Proof. Suppose D_t is reducible for some t . If C_t is smooth, D_t must be the trivial cover. If C_t has one node, D_t is either the trivial cover or the Wirtinger cover. In either case, the involution ι permutes the two components D_t^1 and D_t^2 of D_t . By (1.1), the class of D_t^i in $NS(S)$ is ι invariant, thus D_t^1 and D_t^2 have the same class in $NS(S)$ and $H = 2D_t^1$. However, since $H^2 = 10$, the class of H in $NS(T)$ is not 2-divisible, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 1.3. For a singular fiber $C_{s_i} = C_{pq} := \frac{C}{\{p \sim q\}}$ in the pencil l , the étale double cover $D_{s_i} := D_{pq}$ is obtained by glueing p_i with q_i for $i = 1, 2$ on a nontrivial étale double cover D of C , where $p_i, q_i \in D$ are the inverse images of $p, q \in C$ respectively.

Proof. The étale double cover D_{pq} of C_{pq} is determined by a 2-torsion point in $\text{Pic}^0(C_{pq})$. The statement follows immediately from the irreducibility of D_{s_i} and the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(C_{pq})_2 \xrightarrow{\nu^*} \text{Pic}^0(C)_2 \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\nu : C \rightarrow C_{pq}$ is the normalization map and the kernel of ν^* is generated by the point of order 2 corresponding to the Wirtinger cover. \square

1.2. The compactified Prym variety. We describe the compactified Prym variety for the cover $D_{pq} \rightarrow C_{pq}$ as in Corollary 1.3. The semiabelian part G_{pq} of the Prym variety is the identity component $\text{Ker}^0(Nm_{pq})$ of $\text{Ker}(Nm_{pq}) \subset \text{Pic}^0(D_{pq})$ in the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(Nm_{pq}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(Nm) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}^0(D_{pq}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}^0(D) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow Nm_{pq} & & \downarrow Nm \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}^0(C_{pq}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}^0(C) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0. \end{array}$$

It follows immediately that the group scheme G_{pq} is a \mathbb{C}^* -extension of the Prym variety $(B, \Xi) := \text{Prym}(D, C)$:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow G_{pq} \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Let $p : P^\nu \rightarrow B$ be the unique \mathbb{P}^1 bundle containing G_{pq} and write $P^\nu \setminus G_{pq} = B_0 \amalg B_\infty$, where B_0 and B_∞ are the zero and infinity sections of P^ν .

The compactified ‘rank one degeneration’ P is constructed as follows (c.f. [Mum83, §1]).

(1) On P^ν , we have the linear equivalence $B_0 - B_\infty \sim_{lin} p^{-1}(\Xi - \Xi_b)$ for a unique $b \in B$. Thus

$$B_0 + p^{-1}\Xi_b \sim_{lin} B_\infty + p^{-1}\Xi.$$

(2) Let $L^\nu := \mathcal{O}_{P^\nu}(B_0 + p^{-1}\Xi_b)$. Then $L^\nu|_{B_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_B(\Xi)$ and $L^\nu|_{B_\infty} \cong \mathcal{O}_B(\Xi_b)$. Via the Leray spectral sequence for p , we see that $h^0(P^\nu, L^\nu) = 2$ and $B_0 + p^{-1}\Xi_b, B_\infty + p^{-1}\Xi$ span $|L^\nu|$.

(3) The compactified Prym variety P is constructed from P^ν by identifying the zero section $B_0 \xrightarrow{p} B$ with the infinity section $B_\infty \xrightarrow{p} B$ via translation by $b \in B$. We also denote by $\nu : P^\nu \rightarrow P$ the normalization morphism.

(4) The line bundle L^ν descends to a line bundle L on P , i.e., $\nu^*L \cong L^\nu$. The linear system $|L|$ is a point.

(5) The theta divisor $\Upsilon \subset P$ is the unique divisor in $|L|$.

Remark 1.4. The \mathbb{P}^1 bundle $P^\nu \rightarrow B$ contains an open subset $P^\nu \setminus B_\infty$ (resp. $P^\nu \setminus B_0$), which is isomorphic to the total space of $N_{B_0|P^\nu} \cong \mathcal{O}_{B_0}(B_0) \cong \mathcal{O}_B(\Xi - \Xi_b)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_B(\Xi_b - \Xi)$). We conclude that $P^\nu \cong \mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{O}_B(\Xi - \Xi_b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(\Xi_b - \Xi))$. In particular $G_{pq} \rightarrow B$ and $P^\nu \rightarrow B$ are **topologically trivial** \mathbb{C}^* and \mathbb{P}^1 bundles, respectively.

Proposition 1.5. For a general rank one degeneration, the normalization Υ^ν of the theta divisor is isomorphic to $Bl_{\Xi \cap \Xi_b} B \subset P^\nu$, the theta divisor $\Upsilon \subset P$ is obtained from Υ^ν by identifying the proper transforms of Ξ and Ξ_b .

Proof. Let σ_0, σ_∞ be elements of $H^0(P^\nu, L^\nu)$, such that $div(\sigma_0) = B_0 + p^{-1}\Xi_b$ and $div(\sigma_\infty) = B_\infty + p^{-1}\Xi$. After rescaling, we may assume, under the natural identification $B_0 \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{p} B_\infty$, that $\sigma_0|_{B_\infty}$ and $\sigma_\infty|_{B_0}$ differ by translation by b . Then $\sigma_0 + \sigma_\infty$ descends to a section of L . Since $(\sigma_0 + \sigma_\infty)|_{B_0}$ vanishes precisely on Ξ and $(\sigma_0 + \sigma_\infty)|_{B_\infty}$ vanishes precisely on Ξ_b , we conclude that for $u \in B \setminus (\Xi \cap \Xi_b)$, $0 \neq (\sigma_0 + \sigma_\infty)|_{p^{-1}(u)} \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$. Thus $\Upsilon^\nu := div(\sigma_0 + \sigma_\infty)$ maps one-to-one to B away from $\Xi \cap \Xi_b$. On the other hand, the base locus of the pencil $|L^\nu|$ is clearly $p^{-1}(\Xi \cap \Xi_b)$. Thus $\Upsilon^\nu = m[Bl_{\Xi \cap \Xi_b} B]$, for some integer m , as divisors in P^ν . Since $(\sigma_0 + \sigma_\infty)|_{B_0}$ is reduced, $m = 1$. \square

2. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

The family of compactified Prym varieties defines a morphism $\rho : l \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_5$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_5$ is the partial compactification of \mathcal{A}_5 parametrizing ppav (A, Θ) of dimension 5 and their rank 1 degenerations. This space is a quasi-projective variety and is essentially the blow-up of the open set $\mathcal{A}_5 \amalg \mathcal{A}_4$ in the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification \mathcal{A}_5^* along its boundary \mathcal{A}_4 ([Igu67]). The coarse moduli space of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_5$ is the union of \mathcal{A}_5 and a divisor Δ parametrizing rank 1 degenerations. Mumford [Mum83] computed the class of the closure of θ_{null} and N'_0 in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_5$ to be

$$(2.1) \quad [\theta_{null}] = 264\lambda - 32\delta,$$

$$(2.2) \quad [N'_0] = 108\lambda - 14\delta,$$

$$(2.3) \quad [N_0] = [\theta_{null}] + 2[N'_0] = 480\lambda - 60\delta,$$

where λ is the first Chern class of the Hodge bundle Λ and δ is the class of Δ .

Lemma 2.1. The degree of $\rho^*\lambda$ is 6.

Proof. The pull-back of the Hodge bundle Λ to l fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_*\omega_{\tilde{T}/l} \longrightarrow \pi'_*\omega_{\tilde{S}/l} \longrightarrow \rho^*\Lambda \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\omega_{\tilde{T}/l}$ and $\omega_{\tilde{S}/l}$ are the relative dualizing sheaves. Thus $c_1(\rho^*\lambda) = c_1(\pi'_*\omega_{\tilde{S}/l}) - c_1(\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{T}/l})$. We directly compute that the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\tilde{T}/l} = K_{\tilde{T}} \otimes \pi^*K_l^{-1}$ has self intersection number $(\omega_{\tilde{T}/l})^2 = 30$. Applying Mumford's relation [ACG11, Chapter 13.7] on \overline{M}_6 , we see that $c_1(\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{T}/l}) = \frac{30+42}{12} = 6$. Similarly, we compute $c_1(\pi'_*\omega_{\tilde{S}/l}) = 12$ and therefore $c_1(\rho^*\lambda) = 6$. \square

Corollary 2.2. In the pencil l , counting with multiplicities, there are 240 fibers with theta divisor singular at a unique two-torsion point and 60 fibers with theta divisor singular at two points.

Proof. We directly compute $l \cdot [\theta_{null}] = l \cdot (264\lambda - 32\delta) = 240$ and $l \cdot [N'_0] = l \cdot (108\lambda - 14\delta) = 60$. \square

To prove the smoothness of the total spaces A and Θ , we first need the following.

Lemma 2.3. For l and T generic, the image of l in \mathcal{A}_5 meets N_0 transversely everywhere.

Proof. We need to prove that the image of l in \mathcal{A}_5 is not tangent to N_0 . Let t be a point of l whose image lies in N_0 and let P_t be the Prym variety of the cover $f_t := f|_{D_t} : D_t \rightarrow C_t$. By [Mum74], the singular point of the theta divisor Θ_t of P_t corresponds to an invertible sheaf M of canonical norm on D_t such that, either $h^0(M) \geq 4$, or $h^0(M) = 2$ and there exists an invertible sheaf N on C_t with $h^0(M \otimes f_t^*N^{-1}) > 0$.

We first eliminate the case $h^0(M) \geq 4$. Consider the image of l in \mathcal{R}_6 . By [SV85], the branch divisor of the Prym map $P : \mathcal{R}_6 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_5$ is N'_0 . The inverse image of N'_0 in \mathcal{R}_6 is the union of the ramification divisor R and the anti-ramification divisor R' . By [FGSMV14, Theorem 6.5] we have $h^0(M) \geq 4$ if and only if the double cover $D_t \rightarrow C_t$ belongs to R . Using the formula in [FGSMV14, Corollary 7.3] for the divisor class of R we compute that the degree of R on the image of l is 0. Since l is generic, it does not lie in R hence it does not intersect R .

It also follows from the above argument that the Prym map is everywhere of maximal rank on the image of l in \mathcal{R}_6 . In particular, by [DS81], the curve C_t is not hyperelliptic or trigonal.

We therefore have $M = f_t^*N(B)$ for an effective divisor B on D_t and a line bundle N on C_t of degree 4 or 5 such that $h^0(N) = 2$. By [FGSMV14, Proposition 7.1], when N has degree 4, the cover $D_t \rightarrow C_t$ belongs to the antiramification divisor R' . When N has degree 5, M is a singular point of order 2 on Θ_t , hence the cover $D_t \rightarrow C_t$ belongs to the inverse image of θ_{null} in \mathcal{R}_6 . Let α be the point of order 2 associated to the double cover $D_t \rightarrow C_t$, in other words, α is the restriction of the canonical sheaf of T to C_t . Since $h^0(M) = 2$, we have $h^0(N \otimes \alpha) = 0$. Furthermore, since M has canonical norm, we have $N^2(\overline{B}) \cong \omega_{C_t}$ where $\overline{B} := f_{t*}B$.

Let $q_t \in S^2H^1(\mathcal{O}_{P_t})^*$ be an equation for the quadric tangent cone to the theta divisor Θ_t of P_t at the singular point M or $K_{D_t} \otimes M^{-1}$. Then, using the heat equation, it is easily seen, see, e.g., [Mum75, p. 87], that under the identification $T_t\mathcal{A}_5 \cong S^2H^1(\mathcal{O}_{P_t})$, q_t is also an equation for the tangent space to N_0 at t .

Identifying the cotangent space to P_t with the space $H^0(K_{C_t} \otimes \alpha)$, the codifferential of the Prym map is identified with the multiplication map

$$S^2H^0(K_{C_t} \otimes \alpha) \longrightarrow H^0(K_{C_t}^2)$$

(see [Bea77, p. 178]) where we identify the cotangent space to the moduli stack \mathcal{R}_6 with that of the moduli stack \mathcal{M}_6 via the natural projection. Since this map is an isomorphism, the quadric q_t is determined by its zeros on the Prym-canonical image of C_t in $\mathbb{P}H^0(K_{C_t} \otimes \alpha)^* = \mathbb{P}H^1(\mathcal{O}_{P_t})$.

By, e.g., [SV06, p. 11], locally around M , an equation s of the theta divisor of JD_t has an expansion of the form

$$s = x^2 - yz + Q^2 + \text{higher order terms}$$

where x, y, z are suitable analytic coordinates centered at M and Q is homogeneous of degree 2. By [Mum74], the second degree term $x^2 - yz$ vanishes on the tangent space to P_t . Therefore q_t is the restriction of Q to $T_0P_t = H^1(\mathcal{O}_{P_t})$. By [KS88, p. 8], the trace of Q^2 on the canonical image of D_t is the divisor

$$2R_{f_t^*N} + 2B + 2\tau B$$

where $R_{f_t^*N} = f_t^*R_N$ is the ramification divisor of the pencil $|f_t^*N|$. Therefore the trace of Q on D_t is $f_t^*R_N + B + \tau B$. For a point $p \in C_t$ with inverse images p' and p'' in D_t , the Prym-canonical image of p is the intersection of the span $\langle p' + p'' \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(K_{D_t})^*$ with the τ -anti-invariant subspace $\mathbb{P}H^0(K_{C_t} \otimes \alpha)^*$. If u and v are homogeneous coordinates on $\langle p' + p'' \rangle$ with respective zeros p' and p'' , then $\tau^*u = v$, and $u - v$, $u + v$ are, respectively, the τ -anti-invariant and τ -invariant coordinates on $\langle p' + p'' \rangle$. Assume that either p is a ramification point of $|N|$ or, if B is nonzero, a point of the support of $\bar{B} := f_{t*}B$. Since Q contains p' and p'' , it restricts to a multiple of $uv = \frac{1}{4}((u+v)^2 - (u-v)^2)$ on $\langle p' + p'' \rangle$. The restriction of Q to $\mathbb{P}H^0(K_{C_t} \otimes \alpha)^*$ is obtained by setting its τ -invariant coordinates to 0. Therefore the restriction of Q to $\mathbb{P}H^0(K_{C_t} \otimes \alpha)^*$ vanishes on the Prym-canonical image of p whose equation on $\langle p' + p'' \rangle$ is $u - v$.

Therefore the divisor of zeros of q_t on C_t is $\frac{1}{2}f_{t*}(f_t^*R_N + B + \tau B) = R_N + \bar{B} \in |K_{C_t}^2|$.

Next consider the tangent bundle sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{C_t} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_T|_{C_t} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_t}(C_t) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The connecting homomorphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_t}(C_t)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{T}_{C_t}) = H^0(K_{C_t}^2)^*$$

is the Kodaira-Spencer map of the family of curves parametrized by $|\mathcal{O}_T(C_t)|$. It is given by cup-product with the extension class $\epsilon \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_{C_t}(-C_t))$ of the tangent bundle sequence. To show that

the image of a generic line l is not tangent to N_0 , we need to show that the hyperplane defined by q_t in $H^1(\mathcal{T}_{C_t})$ does not contain the image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_t}(C_t))$. In other words, we need to show that $q_t \cup \epsilon \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_t}(C_t))^* = H^1(\alpha)$ is not zero.

Let b and r_N be sections with respective divisors of zeros \overline{B} and R_N so that $q_t = b \cup r_N$. An argument entirely analogous to that on page 252 of [Voi92] shows that $r_N \cup \epsilon$ is the extension class for the extension

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow K_{C_t} \otimes N^{-1} \otimes \alpha \longrightarrow 0$$

where $E := F|_{C_t}$ is the restriction of the Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundle F on T defined by the natural exact sequence

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow F^* \longrightarrow H^0(N) \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using the fact that M has canonical norm, we obtain $N^2(\overline{B}) \cong K_{C_t}$ so that $K_{C_t} \otimes N^{-1} \otimes \alpha \cong N(\overline{B}) \otimes \alpha$. Pulling back sequence (2.4) via multiplication by $b : N \otimes \alpha \rightarrow N(\overline{B}) \otimes \alpha$, we obtain that $b \cup r_N \cup \epsilon$ is the extension class for the pulled back extension

$$(2.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow N \otimes \alpha \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore to complete the proof of the lemma, we need to prove that this extension is not split.

Define the torsion free sheaf F' on T as the kernel of the composition $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{B}}$. Note that by definition we have the exact sequence

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow F' \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{B}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing sequence (2.5), we obtain the exact sequence

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(N)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow N^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_t}(C_t) \longrightarrow 0.$$

or

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(N)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow N(\overline{B}) \otimes \alpha \longrightarrow 0.$$

Twisting (2.9) by F^* we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(N)^* \otimes F^* \longrightarrow F \otimes F^* \longrightarrow N(\overline{B}) \otimes \alpha \otimes F^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

From the cohomology of sequence (2.5) we obtain $h^0(F^*) = h^1(F^*) = 0$. Similarly, twisting (2.5) with α and taking cohomology we obtain $h^0(F^* \otimes \alpha) = h^1(F^* \otimes \alpha) = 0$. Therefore, the cohomology of sequence (2.10) gives the isomorphism $H^0(F \otimes F^*) = H^0(N(\overline{B}) \otimes \alpha \otimes F^*)$. Dualizing sequence (2.4), twisting with $N(\overline{B}) \otimes \alpha$ and taking cohomology we obtain $h^0(N(\overline{B}) \otimes \alpha \otimes F^*) = 1$. Therefore $h^0(F \otimes F^*) = 1$.

Next we tensor sequence (2.10) with α and take cohomology to obtain the isomorphism $H^0(F \otimes F^* \otimes \alpha) = H^0(N(\overline{B}) \otimes F^*)$.

Assume now that sequence (2.6) splits. Then there exists a surjective map $G \rightarrow N$, which implies $H^0(G^* \otimes N) \neq 0$. The duals of sequences (2.4) and (2.6), after tensoring with $N(\overline{B})$, are part of the commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \alpha & \longrightarrow & E^* \otimes N(\overline{B}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{C_t}(\overline{B}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \alpha(\overline{B}) & \longrightarrow & G^* \otimes N(\overline{B}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{C_t}(\overline{B}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \alpha(\overline{B})|_{\overline{B}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{O}_{\overline{B}} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Since the sections of $G^* \otimes N$ can be interpreted as the sections of $G^* \otimes N(\overline{B})$ that vanish on \overline{B} , it follows from the above diagram that we have natural inclusions

$$H^0(G^* \otimes N) \hookrightarrow H^0(E^* \otimes N(\overline{B})) \hookrightarrow H^0(G^* \otimes N(\overline{B})).$$

Therefore, if $H^0(G^* \otimes N) \neq 0$, we also have $H^0(N(\overline{B}) \otimes F^*) = H^0(E^* \otimes N(\overline{B})) \neq 0$.

Summarizing, if sequence (2.6) splits, then $H^0(F^* \otimes F \otimes \alpha) \neq 0$. So there exists a nonzero homomorphism

$$\varphi : F \longrightarrow F \otimes \alpha.$$

Since $h^0(F \otimes F^*) = 1$, the composition $(\varphi \otimes \alpha) \circ \varphi$ is a multiple of the identity. Furthermore, $(\varphi \otimes \alpha) \circ \varphi$ cannot be an isomorphism because otherwise φ would have maximal rank everywhere hence would also be an isomorphism. Therefore $(\varphi \otimes \alpha) \circ \varphi = 0$. Similarly, φ cannot have maximal rank anywhere since otherwise the same would be true of $\varphi \otimes \alpha$ and of $(\varphi \otimes \alpha) \circ \varphi$. Therefore the kernel of φ is a subsheaf of rank 1 of F and the image of φ is a subsheaf of rank 1 of $F \otimes \alpha$.

Next note that the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^0(F^* \otimes F \otimes \alpha) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(F^* \otimes N(\overline{B})) = H^0(E^* \otimes N(\overline{B})) \\
 \varphi & \longmapsto & \overline{\varphi}
 \end{array}$$

above is given by composing a homomorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow F \otimes \alpha$ with the surjection $F \otimes \alpha \rightarrow N(\overline{B})$ appearing in sequence (2.9) after twisting with α . Since the image of $\overline{\varphi} \in H^0(E^* \otimes N(\overline{B}))$ in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_t}(\overline{B}))$ by the map $H^0(E^* \otimes N(\overline{B})) \rightarrow H^0(N^{-1} \otimes N(\overline{B})) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_t}(\overline{B}))$ obtained from sequence (2.4) is nonzero, the composition

$$N \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}} N(\overline{B})$$

is nonzero, hence injective. It follows that the image of $\overline{\varphi}$ contains the subsheaf N of $N(\overline{B})$.

Since $\bar{\varphi} \in H^0(G^* \otimes N)$, a moment of reflection will show that the composition $F' \hookrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \otimes \alpha$ factors through a homomorphism $\psi : F' \rightarrow F' \otimes \alpha$ whose composition with $F' \otimes \alpha \rightarrow F \otimes \alpha \rightarrow N(\bar{B})$ factors through $N \hookrightarrow N(\bar{B})$. So we have the nonzero composition

$$\bar{\psi} : F' \xrightarrow{\psi} F' \otimes \alpha \rightarrow N$$

which factors through $\bar{\varphi} : G \rightarrow N$. Since the composition $N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} N$ is induced by $N \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} N(\bar{B})$, we obtain that $\bar{\psi}$ is surjective. Therefore the image sheaf $\text{Im}(\psi)$ is a torsion free rank 1 sheaf on T whose restriction to C_t is N . Let X be a divisor on T representing $c_1(\text{Im}(\psi))$. Then, by, e.g., [BHPdV04, pp. 339-350], X is effective of non-negative self-intersection because $X \cdot C_t$ is positive and T is generic. Furthermore $Y := C_t - 2X$ is also effective since its restriction to C_t is \bar{B} which is effective. Since $h^0(T, Y) \leq h^0(C_t, Y|_{C_t}) = h^0(\bar{B}) = 1$, we have $h^0(Y) \leq 1$ which implies Y has arithmetic genus 1 (since T is generic and does not contain curves of arithmetic genus 0). Therefore $Y^2 = 0$. We have the linear equivalence of effective divisors $C_t \equiv 2X + Y$. Hence $10 = C_t^2 = 4X^2 + 4X \cdot Y$ is a multiple of 4 which is not possible. \square

To summarize, we have the family of (compactified) Prym varieties and theta divisors

$$\Theta \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow l.$$

This family has 240 fibers where theta has a single node, 60 fibers where theta has two nodes, and 42 fibers where theta is as in Proposition 1.5. Furthermore, we have

Proposition 2.4. The total spaces A and Θ are smooth.

Proof. We show that the tangent spaces to A and Θ have dimension 6 and 5 respectively everywhere. Let $p \in A_t$, resp. $p \in \Theta_t$, be a point of the fiber of $A \rightarrow l$, resp. $\Theta \rightarrow l$, at $t \in l$. If A_t is smooth at p , it follows from [ITW, Proposition 3.1] and Lemma 2.3 that, for a generic choice of l , both A and Θ (when $p \in \Theta$) are smooth at p . Assume therefore that A_t is singular at p . In such a case, it follows from the description of Θ_t in Proposition 1.5 that, if $p \in \Theta$, Θ_t is also singular at p . By the description of A_t in Section 1.2, resp. Θ_t in Proposition 1.5, the tangent space to A_t at p , resp. Θ_t at p , has dimension 6, resp. 5. We therefore need to show that the tangent space to the total space A , resp. Θ , is equal to the tangent space of the fiber. The tangent space to the fiber is the kernel of the differential of the map $A \rightarrow l$, resp. $\Theta \rightarrow l$. Since the map $\Theta \rightarrow l$ is the scheme-theoretic restriction of the map $A \rightarrow l$, we need to show that the differential of the map $A \rightarrow l$ is 0 at p to obtain the smoothness of A at p and also of Θ at p when $p \in \Theta$.

The total space A is the inverse image of the generic line $l \subset |H|$ in the relative Prym variety $P_H \rightarrow |H|$ constructed in [AFS15]. By [AFS15, Prop. 3.10, Prop. 4.4, Prop. 5.1], the singular locus of P_H lies above a union of lines or points m_i in $|H|$. We can therefore assume that l does not meet any of the m_i . Furthermore, since all pull-backs are scheme-theoretic and all fibers reduced, the restriction of the differential of $P_H \rightarrow |H|$ to A is the differential of the projection $A \rightarrow l$. The rank of the differential of $P_H \rightarrow |H|$ is not maximal at p (see loc. cit.), i.e., its image is a proper

subspace of the tangent space of $|H|$ at t . Since l is generic, the tangent space of l at t intersects this image in 0. Therefore the differential of $A \rightarrow l$ is 0 at p . \square

3. GENERAL FACTS ABOUT THE CLEMENS-SCHMID EXACT SEQUENCE

We briefly review some general facts about the Clemens-Schmid exact sequence. We will apply the general theory in this section to compute the local monodromy representations near the degenerate theta divisors in the pencil.

3.1. The Clemens-schmid exact sequence. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} & \xleftarrow{i_t} & Y_t \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & V & \longleftarrow & \{t\} \end{array}$$

be a one-parameter semistable degeneration (i.e., the total space \mathcal{Y} is smooth and the central fiber Y_0 is reduced with simple normal crossing support) over a small disk V , and $0 \neq t \in \partial V$ a general point. The total space \mathcal{Y} deformation retracts to Y_0 . For such a family, the image of the monodromy representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(V \setminus \{0\}, t) \longrightarrow GL(H^\bullet(Y_t))$$

is generated by a unipotent operator $T : H^\bullet(Y_t) \rightarrow H^\bullet(Y_t)$, i.e. $(T - Id)^k = 0$ for some integer k [Lan73]. Thus

$$N := \log T := (T - Id) - \frac{1}{2}(T - Id)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(T - Id)^3 + \dots$$

is nilpotent.

It follows from the work of Clemens-Schmid [Cle77], [Sch73] and Steenbrink [Ste76] that one can define mixed Hodge structures on $H^\bullet(Y_t)$, $H^\bullet(\mathcal{Y})$ and $H_\bullet(\mathcal{Y})$ such that we have an exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures (with suitable weight shifts)

$$(3.1) \longrightarrow H_{2n+2-m}(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^m(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{i_t^*} H^m(Y_t)_{\text{lim}} \xrightarrow{N} H^m(Y_t)_{\text{lim}} \xrightarrow{\beta} H_{2n-m}(\mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow$$

where n is the relative dimension of the fibration, α is the composition

$$(3.2) \quad H_{2n+2-m}(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{PD}} H^m(\mathcal{Y}, \partial\mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow H^m(\mathcal{Y}),$$

and β is the composition

$$(3.3) \quad H^m(Y_t) \xrightarrow{\text{PD}} H_{2n-m}(Y_t) \xrightarrow{i_{t*}} H_{2n-m}(\mathcal{Y}).$$

Here ‘PD’ stands for Poincaré duality. The mixed Hodge structure on $H^\bullet(Y_t)$ is not the usual pure Hodge structure but rather the ‘limit mixed Hodge structure’ (c.f. Section 3.3). We use the notation $H^\bullet(Y_t)_{\text{lim}}$ to distinguish it from the pure Hodge structure.

3.2. **The weight filtrations on $H^m(\mathcal{Y})$ and $H_m(\mathcal{Y})$.** Put

$$H^m := H^m(\mathcal{Y}) \cong H^m(Y_0),$$

$$H_m := H_m(\mathcal{Y}) \cong H_m(Y_0).$$

Recall from [Mor84, p. 103] that there is a Mayer-Vietoris type spectral sequence abutting to $H^\bullet(Y_0)$ with E_1 term

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(Y_0^{[p]}).$$

Here $Y_0^{[p]}$ is the disjoint union of the codimension p strata of Y_0 , i.e.,

$$Y_0^{[p]} := \coprod_{i_0, \dots, i_p} Z_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap Z_{i_p}$$

where the Z_{i_j} are distinct irreducible components of Y_0 .

The differential d_1

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1^{p,q} & \xrightarrow{d_1} & E_1^{p+1,q} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^q(Y_0^{[p]}) & \xrightarrow{d_1} & H^q(Y_0^{[p+1]}) \end{array}$$

is the alternating sum of the restriction maps on all the irreducible components. By [Mor84, p. 103] this sequence degenerates at E_2 .

The weight filtration is given by

$$W_k H^m := \bigoplus_{p+q=m, q \leq k} E_\infty^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{p+q=m, q \leq k} E_2^{p,q}.$$

Therefore the weights on H^m go from 0 to m and

$$Gr_k H^m \cong E_2^{m-k,k} = \frac{\text{Ker}(d_1 : H^k(Y_0^{[m-k]}) \rightarrow H^k(Y_0^{[m-k+1]}))}{\text{Im}(d_1 : H^k(Y_0^{[m-k-1]}) \rightarrow H^k(Y_0^{[m-k]}))}.$$

There is also a weight filtration on H_m :

$$W_{-k} H_m := (W_{k-1} H^m)^\perp$$

under the perfect pairing between H^m and H_m . With this definition,

$$Gr_{-k} H_m \cong (Gr_k H^m)^\vee.$$

3.3. **The limit mixed Hodge structure $H^m(Y_t)_{\text{lim}}$.** The weight filtration associated to the nilpotent operator N has the following form,

$$0 \subset W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_{2m} = H^m(Y_t).$$

We refer to [Mor84, pp. 106-109] for the precise definition of the monodromy weight filtration and only summarize the properties we need here.

In the applications in this paper, the nilpotent operator N satisfies

$$N^2 = 0.$$

Thus the monodromy weight filtration satisfies the following

$$\begin{aligned} W_k &= 0 \quad \text{for } k \leq m-2, \\ W_{m-1} &= \text{Im}(N), \\ W_m &= \text{Ker}(N), \\ W_k &= H^m(Y_t) \quad \text{for } k \geq m+1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K_t^m := \text{Ker}(N) \subset H^m(Y_t)$ be the monodromy invariant subspace. It inherits an induced weight filtration from $H^m(Y_t)$. The graded pieces of $H^m(Y_t)_{\text{lim}}$ thus satisfy

$$(3.4) \quad Gr_m H^m(Y_t)_{\text{lim}} \cong Gr_m K_t^m \cong \frac{\text{Ker}(N)}{\text{Im}(N)}$$

$$(3.5) \quad Gr_{m+1} H^m(Y_t)_{\text{lim}} \stackrel{N}{\cong} Gr_{m-1} H^m(Y_t)_{\text{lim}} \cong Gr_{m-1} K_t^m \cong \text{Im}(N).$$

The weight filtrations on H^m and K_t^m are related by the Clemens-Schmid exact sequence. Below are the basic facts we will use (see [Mor84, pp. 107-109])

(1) i_t^* induces an isomorphism

$$(3.6) \quad Gr_k H^m \xrightarrow{\cong} Gr_k K_t^m \quad \text{for } k \leq m-1.$$

(2) There is an exact sequence

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Gr_{m-2} K_t^{m-2} \longrightarrow Gr_{m-2n-2} H_{2n+2-m} \xrightarrow{\alpha} Gr_m H^m \longrightarrow Gr_m K_t^m \longrightarrow 0.$$

The limit Hodge filtration on $H^m(Y_t)_{\text{lim}}$ is given by ([Mor84], [Sch73])

$$(3.8) \quad F_\infty^p = \lim_{\text{im } z \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-zN) F^p(z)$$

where $f : U' \rightarrow U \setminus \{0\}$, $f(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$ is the universal cover of the punctured disk and F^p is the usual Hodge filtration on $H^m(Y_{f(z)})$ on the fixed underlying space $H^m(Y_t)$.

4. LOCAL MONODROMY REPRESENTATIONS NEAR N_0

4.1. Local monodromy near θ_{null} . The local monodromy representation on the cohomology of the theta divisor near a general point $(A_0, \Theta_0) \in \theta_{null}$ is given by the classic Picard-Lefschetz formula. Fix a point $p_0 \in l \cap \theta_{null}$ and pick a small disk $U \subset l$ containing p_0 . We have a family of theta divisors with smooth total space Θ_U (see Proposition 2.4):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta_0 & \longrightarrow & \Theta_U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p_0 & \longrightarrow & U. \end{array}$$

The local monodromy representation on the cohomology of a general fiber Θ_t for $t \in U \setminus \{p_0\}$

$$\rho : \pi_1(U \setminus \{p_0\}, t) \longrightarrow GL(H^k(\Theta_t))$$

is trivial when $k \neq 4$. When $k = 4$, the Picard-Lefschetz formula (see, for instance, [Voi03, p. 78]) shows that $\rho(\pi_1(U \setminus \{p_0\}, t))$ is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} T_U : H^4(\Theta_t) &\longrightarrow H^4(\Theta_t) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \alpha - \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \gamma \end{aligned}$$

where \langle, \rangle is the intersection product on $H^4(\Theta_t)$, and $\gamma \in H^4(\Theta_t)$ is the class of the vanishing 4-sphere with $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = 2$.

One checks immediately that

$$(4.1) \quad T_U^2 = Id.$$

4.2. Local monodromy near N'_0 . Next we fix a point $p_0 \in l \cap N'_0$ and a small disk $U \subset l$ containing p_0 . The central fiber Θ_0 of the family Θ_U has two ordinary double points x and $-x$.

If we make a degree two base change $V \rightarrow U$ ramified at p_0 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta_V & \longrightarrow & \Theta_U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & U, \end{array}$$

then blow up the two singular points of Θ_V , we obtain a family

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Theta}_0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\Theta}_V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p_0 & \longrightarrow & V, \end{array}$$

where the central fiber $\tilde{\Theta}_0 = \Theta'_0 \cup Q_1 \cup Q_2$ is reduced with simple normal crossing support. Here Θ'_0 is the blow-up of Θ_0 at the two singular points and $Q_1 \cong Q_2$ are smooth quadric 4-folds. The double loci $\Theta'_0 \cap Q_1$ and $\Theta'_0 \cap Q_2$ are smooth quadric 3-folds.

Since $V \rightarrow U$ is a degree 2 ramified cover, the local monodromy operator T_V for the family $\tilde{\Theta}_V \rightarrow V$ is equal to $T_U^2 \in GL(H^4(\Theta_t))$.

Proposition 4.1. Notation as above, $T_V = T_U^2 = Id \in GL(H^4(\Theta_t))$.

Proof. Since the central fiber $\tilde{\Theta}_0 = \Theta'_0 \cup Q_1 \cup Q_2$ only has a double locus, we have

$$Gr_k H^4(\Theta_t) = 0$$

for $k \neq 3, 4, 5$. Since $H^3(\Theta'_0 \cap Q_1) \oplus H^3(\Theta'_0 \cap Q_2) = 0$, we conclude

$$Gr_5 H^4(\Theta_t) \cong Gr_3 H^4(\Theta_t) \cong Gr_3 H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_0) = \text{Im}(N_V) = 0,$$

where $N_V := \log T_V = 0$. Therefore $T_V = Id$. □

5. LOCAL MONODROMY NEAR THE BOUNDARY Δ

Near the boundary Δ , the family of Prym varieties $A_U \rightarrow U$ parametrized by a small disk $U \subset l$ has smooth general fiber (A_t, Θ_t) and central fiber (P, Υ) as in Proposition 1.5. We use the Clemens-Schmid exact sequence to compute the monodromy action.

5.1. **The semi-stable reduction.** Making a ramified base change $V \rightarrow U$ of order 2 of the family

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_V & \longrightarrow & A_U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & U, \end{array}$$

and then blowing up the singular locus $P \setminus G_{pq}$ of A_V , we obtain a family $\tilde{A}_V \rightarrow V$.

Proposition 5.1. The central fiber \tilde{A}_0 of the family $(\tilde{A}_V, \tilde{\Theta}_V) \rightarrow V$ is the union of two copies P_1^ν and P_2^ν of P^ν , with $B_0 \subset P_1^\nu$ identified with $B_\infty \subset P_2^\nu$ via the identity map and $B_\infty \subset P_1^\nu$ identified with $B_0 \subset P_2^\nu$ via translation by b . The intersection $P_1^\nu \cap P_2^\nu = B_{0\infty} \amalg B_{\infty 0}$ is the disjoint union of two copies of B .

Proof. Clearly the main component $P_1^\nu \cong P^\nu$. We will show the exceptional divisor P_2^ν is also isomorphic to P^ν . In the semistable family $\tilde{A}_V \rightarrow V$, we have

$$N_{B_{0\infty}/P_1^\nu}^\vee \cong N_{B_{0\infty}/P_2^\nu}.$$

Therefore P_2^ν contains the total space of $\mathcal{O}_B(\Xi_b - \Xi) \cong \mathcal{O}_{B_0}(-B_0) \cong N_{B_{0\infty}|P_2^\nu} = P_2^\nu \setminus B_{\infty 0}$ as a Zariski open subset. Applying the same argument to $B_{\infty 0}$, we see that P_2^ν also contains the total space of $\mathcal{O}_B(\Xi - \Xi_b) \cong N_{B_{\infty 0}|P_2^\nu} = P_2^\nu \setminus B_{0\infty}$ as an open subset. We conclude that $P_2^\nu \cong \mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{O}_B(\Xi - \Xi_b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(\Xi_b - \Xi)) \cong P^\nu$. The statement about the gluing follows from the fact that after contracting P_2^ν , the infinity and zero sections of P_1^ν are identified via translation by b . \square

Corollary 5.2. The central fiber $\tilde{\Theta}_0$ of the family $(\tilde{A}_V, \tilde{\Theta}_V) \rightarrow V$ is the union $\Upsilon^\nu \cup Q_\Xi$, where $\Upsilon^\nu = Bl_{\Xi \cap \Xi_b} B$ and the conic bundle Q_Ξ is the restriction of $P_2^\nu \rightarrow B$ to Ξ . The intersection $\Upsilon^\nu \cap Q_\Xi = \Xi_{0\infty} \amalg \Xi_{\infty 0}$ is the disjoint union of two copies of Ξ .

Proof. Immediate. \square

5.2. **The weight filtration on $H^m(\tilde{A}_0)$.** By Section 3.2 and Proposition 5.1, the weight filtration on $H^m(\tilde{A}_0)$ only has the following possibly nontrivial graded pieces

$$Gr_m H^m(\tilde{A}_0) = \text{Ker}(d_1 : H^m(P_1^\nu) \oplus H^m(P_2^\nu) \longrightarrow H^m(B_{0\infty}) \oplus H^m(B_{\infty 0}))$$

and

$$Gr_{m-1} H^m(\tilde{A}_0) = \text{Coker}(d_1 : H^{m-1}(P_1^\nu) \oplus H^{m-1}(P_2^\nu) \longrightarrow H^{m-1}(B_{0\infty}) \oplus H^{m-1}(B_{\infty 0}))$$

Proposition 5.3. We have

$$Gr_m H^m(\tilde{A}_0) \cong H^{m-2}(B) \oplus H^m(P^\nu),$$

and

$$Gr_{m-1} H^m(\tilde{A}_0) \cong H^{m-1}(B).$$

Proof. By Remark 1.4, $P^\nu \rightarrow B$ is a topologically trivial \mathbb{P}^1 bundle. The statements then follow easily from Proposition 5.1 and the Künneth formula. \square

Corollary 5.4. The monodromy weight filtration on $H^m(A_t)_{\text{lim}}$ satisfies

$$Gr_{m+1} H^m(A_t)_{\text{lim}} \cong Gr_{m-1} H^m(A_t)_{\text{lim}} \cong H^{m-1}(B).$$

Furthermore, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr_m H^m(A_t)_{\text{lim}} = \binom{10}{m} - 2 \binom{8}{m-1}$.

Proof. By (3.5) and (3.6), $Gr_{m+1} H^m(A_t)_{\text{lim}} \cong Gr_{m-1} H^m(A_t)_{\text{lim}} \cong Gr_{m-1} H^m(\tilde{A}_0)$ which is isomorphic to $H^{m-1}(B)$ by Proposition 5.3. The second part follows from Sequence (3.7). \square

5.3. The weight filtration on $H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0)$. By Section 3.2 and Proposition 5.2, the weight filtration on $H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0)$ only has the following possibly nontrivial graded pieces

$$Gr_m H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0) = \text{Ker}(d_1 : H^m(\Upsilon^\nu) \oplus H^m(Q_\Xi) \longrightarrow H^m(\Xi_{0\infty}) \oplus H^m(\Xi_{\infty 0}))$$

and

$$Gr_{m-1} H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0) = \text{Coker}(d_1 : H^{m-1}(\Upsilon^\nu) \oplus H^{m-1}(Q_\Xi) \longrightarrow H^{m-1}(\Xi_{0\infty}) \oplus H^{m-1}(\Xi_{\infty 0}))$$

Proposition 5.5. For $m \leq 4$,

$$Gr_m H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0) \cong H^m(B) \oplus H^{m-2}(\Xi \cap \Xi_b) \oplus H^{m-2}(\Xi),$$

and for all m ,

$$Gr_{m-1} H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0) \cong H^{m-1}(\Xi).$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.2, $H^m(\Upsilon^\nu) \cong H^m(B) \oplus H^{m-2}(\Xi \cap \Xi_b)$ and the restriction map $H^m(\Upsilon^\nu) \rightarrow H^m(\Xi_{0\infty})$ can be identified with the map

$$H^m(B) \oplus H^{m-2}(\Xi \cap \Xi_b) \xrightarrow{(j^*, i_*)} H^m(\Xi).$$

Thus the image of

$$H^m(\Upsilon^\nu) \longrightarrow H^m(\Xi_{0\infty}) \oplus H^m(\Xi_{\infty 0})$$

is contained in the image of

$$H^m(Q_\Xi) \cong H^m(\Xi) \oplus H^{m-2}(\Xi) \longrightarrow H^m(\Xi_{0\infty}) \oplus H^m(\Xi_{\infty 0}),$$

which is equal to the diagonal of $H^m(\Xi_{0\infty}) \oplus H^m(\Xi_{\infty 0})$. Thus

$$Gr_{m-1} H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0) \cong H^{m-1}(\Xi).$$

Next we compute $Gr_m H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0) \subset H^m(\Upsilon^\nu) \oplus H^m(Q_\Xi)$. By the previous discussion, for any $x \in H^m(\Upsilon^\nu)$, we can find $y \in H^m(Q_\Xi)$ such that $(x, y) \in Gr_m H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0)$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{m-2}(\Xi) \longrightarrow Gr_m H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0) \longrightarrow H^m(\Upsilon^\nu) \longrightarrow 0$$

Therefore, we have a noncanonical isomorphism

$$Gr_m H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_0) \cong H^{m-2}(\Xi) \oplus H^m(\Upsilon^\nu) \cong H^m(B) \oplus H^{m-2}(\Xi \cap \Xi_b) \oplus H^{m-2}(\Xi)$$

□

Corollary 5.6. The monodromy weight filtration on $H^m(\Theta_t)_{\text{lim}}$ satisfies

$$Gr_{m+1} H^m(\Theta_t)_{\text{lim}} \cong Gr_{m-1} H^m(\Theta_t)_{\text{lim}} \cong H^{m-1}(\Xi).$$

Furthermore, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr_m H^m(\Theta_t)_{\text{lim}} = h^m(\Theta_t) - 2h^{m-1}(\Xi)$.

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Corollary 5.4. □

5.4. The vanishing cocycles near the boundary. Let $Z \xrightarrow{t} |H| \cong \mathbb{P}^5$ be the 2-to-1 cover ramified exactly along $\Gamma := \mathcal{D} + \overline{\mathcal{P}_H^{-1}(N_0)}$ and set $X := \iota^{-1}l$, $\mathcal{V} := Z \setminus \Gamma$. Note that Z exists since Γ has even degree by Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 2.2. The curve X is a 2-to-1 cover of l ramified along $X \cap \Gamma$. After base change to X and blowing up the singular locus of each singular theta divisor, we obtain a family $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Theta})$ with general fiber (A_t, Θ_t) .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta_t & \xrightarrow{i_t} & \tilde{\Theta} \\ j_t \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ A_t & \xrightarrow{h_t} & \tilde{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \{t\} & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

The total spaces of \tilde{A} and $\tilde{\Theta}$ are smooth and the local pictures are described in Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 5.1.

For each s_i , $i = 1, \dots, 42$, corresponding to the degeneration in Section 1 (also see Section 5.1), choose a small disk $V_i \ni s_i$ and pick a general point $t_i \in V_i$. Let $\gamma_i \subset X$ be a general path connecting t with t_i . The family $\tilde{\Theta}|_{\cup \gamma_i}$ deformation retracts to Θ_t . Thus we have induced **diffeomorphisms**

$$\psi_i : \Theta_t \longrightarrow \Theta_{t_i}.$$

Over each V_i we have the Clemens-Schmid exact sequences (3.1) for the degenerations of the abelian varieties and their theta divisors

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i}) & \xrightarrow{i_{t_i}^*} & H^m(\Theta_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} & \xrightarrow{N_i} & H^m(\Theta_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} & \xrightarrow{\beta_i} & H_{10-m}(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i}) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow j_* & & \downarrow j_{t_i*} & & \downarrow j_{t_i*} & & \downarrow j_* & \\ \longrightarrow & H^{m+2}(\tilde{A}_{V_i}) & \longrightarrow & H^{m+2}(A_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} & \longrightarrow & H^{m+2}(A_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} & \longrightarrow & H_{10-m}(\tilde{A}_{V_i}) & \longrightarrow . \end{array}$$

Here $j_* : H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i}) \rightarrow H^{m+2}(\tilde{A}_{V_i})$ is defined to be the transpose of $j^* : H_c^{10-m}(\tilde{A}_{V_i}) \rightarrow H_c^{10-m}(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i})$ under Poincaré duality and is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures [ITW, Section 8].

Put $\mathbb{V}_i^m := \psi_i^* \text{Ker } \beta_i = \psi_i^* \text{Im}(N_i) = \psi_i^* \text{Gr}_{m-1} H^m(\Theta_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} \subset H^m(\Theta_t)_{\text{lim}}$.

Proposition 5.7. The space \mathbb{V}_i is the space of ‘local vanishing m -cocycles’, i.e., cohomology classes whose Poincaré dual vanishes in Θ_{V_i} .

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition of β_i in (3.3). \square

By Corollary 5.6, we have

$$\text{Im}(N_i) = \text{Gr}_{m-1} H^m(\Theta_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} \cong \text{Gr}_{m-1} H^m(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i}) \cong H^{m-1}(\Xi).$$

When $m = 4$, we can further rewrite the above isomorphisms as

$$(5.2) \quad \text{Gr}_3 H^4(\Theta_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} \cong H^3(\Xi) \cong H^3(B) \oplus \mathbb{H}'_i \cong j_{t_i}^* \text{Gr}_3 H^4(A_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} \oplus \mathbb{H}'_i,$$

where $\mathbb{H}'_i \subset H^3(\Xi)$ is the primal cohomology of Ξ in B , which is 10-dimensional. Let $\mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{V}_i^4 \subset H^4(\Theta_t)$ be the image of \mathbb{H}'_i under the composition

$$H^3(B) \oplus \mathbb{H}'_i \cong \text{Gr}_3 H^4(\Theta_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} \subset H^4(\Theta_{t_i})_{\text{lim}} \xrightarrow{\psi_i^*} H^4(\Theta_t).$$

6. GLOBAL MONODROMY

Let $H^m(\Theta_t)_{\text{var}} := \text{Ker}(i_{t*} : H^m(\Theta_t) \rightarrow H^{m+2}(\tilde{\Theta}))$ and $H^m(A_t)_{\text{var}} := \text{Ker}(h_{t*} : H^m(A_t) \rightarrow H^{m+2}(\tilde{A}))$ be the variable cohomology of Θ_t in $\tilde{\Theta}$ and A_t in \tilde{A} , respectively.

6.1. The primal cohomology and the variable cohomology. The next four propositions describe the variable middle cohomology $H^4(\Theta_t)_{\text{var}}$ and its relation with the primal cohomology \mathbb{K}_t .

Proposition 6.1. The variable cohomology $H^m(\Theta_t)_{\text{var}}$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{42} \mathbb{V}_i^m$.

Proof. By Equation (4.1) and Proposition 4.1, when the theta divisor has one or two nodes, the local monodromy representation is trivial after we make a base change of order 2. Thus from the Clemens-Schmid sequence, there are no ‘local vanishing cocycles’ near these singular theta divisors. Therefore the space of vanishing cocycles is generated by the ‘local vanishing cocycles’ near Θ_{s_i} , $i = 1, \dots, 42$. \square

Proposition 6.2. The pull-back maps $i_t^* : H^4(\tilde{\Theta}) \rightarrow H^4(\Theta_t)$ and $(j \circ i_t)^* : H^4(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow H^4(\Theta_t)$ have the same image. As a consequence, $H^4(\Theta_t)_{var} = (\text{Ker}(j \circ i_t)_* : H^4(\Theta_t) \rightarrow H^8(\tilde{A}))$.

Proof. Choose another general point $u \neq t$ in X . Write $W := X \setminus \{u\}$, and $(\tilde{A}_W, \tilde{\Theta}_W) := (p^{-1}(W), (p \circ j)^{-1}(W))$.

Consider the Gysin sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \longrightarrow & H^4(\tilde{A}) & \longrightarrow & H^4(\tilde{A}_W) & \xrightarrow{Res} & H^3(A_u) & \xrightarrow{h_{u*}} & H^5(\tilde{A}) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow j^* & & \downarrow j_W^* & & \cong \downarrow j_u^* & & \downarrow j^* & \\ \longrightarrow & H^4(\tilde{\Theta}) & \longrightarrow & H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_W) & \xrightarrow{Res} & H^3(\Theta_u) & \xrightarrow{i_{u*}} & H^5(\tilde{\Theta}) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

where Res denotes Griffiths' residue map. We claim that $j_W^* : H^k(\tilde{A}_W) \rightarrow H^k(\tilde{\Theta}_W)$ is an isomorphism for $k \leq 4$ and injective for $k = 5$ (this is the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem in a slightly modified setting). To this end, apply the long exact sequence of singular cohomology of the pair $(\tilde{A}_W, \tilde{\Theta}_W)$. The relative cohomology $H^k(\tilde{A}_W, \tilde{\Theta}_W)$ is isomorphic to $H_{12-k}(\tilde{A}_W \setminus \tilde{\Theta}_W)$ [Voi03, p. 33]. Note that $\tilde{\Theta}$ is p -ample, and therefore $\tilde{\Theta} + kA_u$ is ample in \tilde{A} for some $k > 0$. We conclude that the open set $\tilde{A}_W \setminus \tilde{\Theta}_W = \tilde{A} \setminus (\tilde{\Theta} \cup A_u)$ is affine, thus has the homotopy type of a CW-complex of real dimension 6. Therefore $H_{12-k}(\tilde{A}_W \setminus \tilde{\Theta}_W) = 0$ for $k \leq 6$, which implies the claim.

By Proposition 6.1 and Corollaries 5.4 and 5.6, $H^3(A_u)_{var} := \text{Ker}(h_{u*}) \cong H^3(\Theta_u)_{var}$, thus by the Gysin sequence and the fact that j_W^* is an isomorphism when $k = 4$, the restriction map $H^4(\tilde{\Theta}) \rightarrow H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_W)$ has the same image as the composition $H^4(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow H^4(\tilde{A}_W) \xrightarrow{j_W^*} H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_W)$. Taking the restriction map from $H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_W)$ to $H^4(\Theta_t)$, the first statement follows immediately.

The second statement follows from the fact that Gysin push-forward is the transpose of the pull-back map. \square

Proposition 6.3. The primal cohomology $\mathbb{K}_t := \text{Ker}(j_{t*} : H^4(\Theta_t) \rightarrow H^6(A_t))$ is contained in the variable cohomology $H^4(\Theta_t)_{var}$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.2, we have $H^4(\Theta_t)_{var} = (\text{Ker}(j \circ i_t)_* : H^4(\Theta_t) \rightarrow H^8(\tilde{A}))$, which implies $\mathbb{K}_t \subset H^4(\Theta_t)_{var}$. \square

Proposition 6.4. The primal cohomology \mathbb{K}_t is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{42} \mathbb{H}_i$.

Proof. The morphism $j_* : H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i}) \rightarrow H^6(\tilde{A}_{V_i})$ in (5.1) is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures. The induced morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Gr_3 H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i}) & \longrightarrow & Gr_5 H^6(\tilde{A}_{V_i}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^3(\Xi) & \longrightarrow & H^5(B) \end{array}$$

is Gysin pushforward. By construction, $\mathbb{H}' \subset Gr_3 H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i}) \subset H^4(\tilde{\Theta}_{V_i})$ is contained in $\text{Ker}(j_*)$. Thus by sequence (5.1), $i_{t_i}^* \mathbb{H}' \subset \text{Ker}(j_{t_i*} : H^4(\Theta_{t_i}) \rightarrow H^6(A_{t_i}))$, or equivalently, $\mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{K}_t$. It remains

to show $\mathbb{K}_t \subset \sum_{i=1}^{42} \mathbb{H}_i$. To this end, pick any $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_t$, by Proposition 6.1 and Equation (5.2), we can write $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{42} (x_i + y_i)$, where $x_i \in j_t^* H^4(A_t)$ and $y_i \in \mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{K}_t$. From the direct sum decomposition

$$H^4(\Theta_t) = j_t^* H^4(A_t) \oplus \mathbb{K}_t,$$

we conclude $\sum_{i=1}^{42} x_i = 0$ and $\alpha \in \sum_{i=1}^{42} \mathbb{H}_i$. \square

6.2. The proof of the main theorem. From now on we will abuse notation by considering N_i in (5.1) as an endomorphism on $H^4(\Theta_t)$ via ψ_i^* and then restricting it to \mathbb{K}_t . With the new notation, $N_i : \mathbb{K}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_t$ satisfies

$$(6.1) \quad N_i^2 = 0,$$

$$(6.2) \quad N_i(\mathbb{K}_t) = \mathbb{H}_i.$$

Since the monodromy operator preserves the intersection product \langle, \rangle on \mathbb{K}_t , N_i also satisfies the equality

$$(6.3) \quad \langle N_i(x), y \rangle + \langle x, N_i(y) \rangle = 0$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{K}_t$.

Each N_i induces a ‘limit mixed Hodge structure’ $\mathbb{K}_{\text{lim}}^i$ on \mathbb{K}_t as in Section 3.3.

Lemma 6.5. We have $\cap_{i=1}^{42} \text{Ker}(N_i) = 0$.

Proof. Equation (6.3) implies that $\langle N_i(x), y \rangle = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{K}_t$ and $y \in \text{Ker}(N_i)$. Thus $\text{Ker}(N_i) \perp \mathbb{H}_i$. Any element in $\cap_{i=1}^{42} \text{Ker}(N_i)$ is therefore perpendicular to all \mathbb{H}_i , $i = 1, \dots, 42$. The statement now follows immediately from Proposition 6.4 and the fact that the intersection product is nondegenerate. \square

Lemma 6.6. With the notation of Section 5.4, all $\mathbb{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, 42$ are conjugate under the monodromy representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathcal{V}, t) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K}_t, \langle, \rangle).$$

Proof. For any $i \neq j$, choose a path δ' in l connecting t_i and t_j . By perturbing δ' , we can assume δ' does not intersect the inverse image of N_0 . We can lift δ' to a path $\delta \subset X \cap \mathcal{V}$ as a smooth section over δ' in the tubular neighborhood of the smooth locus \mathcal{D}^0 of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{V} . A \mathcal{C}^∞ -trivialization of the total space of the theta divisors over δ induces a map on cohomology, which sends $\mathbb{H}'_i \subset H^4(\Theta_{t_i})$ to $\mathbb{H}'_j \subset H^4(\Theta_{t_j})$. This precisely means that under the monodromy action, $\rho(\gamma_i \cdot \delta \cdot \gamma_j^{-1})$ sends \mathbb{H}_i to \mathbb{H}_j . \square

Proof. of **Theorem 0.1.** It suffices to show that for very general $t \in X \cap \mathcal{V}$, \mathbb{K}_t is an irreducible Hodge structure. Suppose $0 \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_t \subset \mathbb{K}_t$ is a rational Hodge substructure, then \mathbb{F}_t is an invariant subspace under the action of the Mumford-Tate group $MT(\mathbb{K}_t)$. For very general t , $MT(\mathbb{K}_t)$ contains the identity component $I_{\mathcal{V}}$ of the **algebraic monodromy group** $G_{\mathcal{V}}$, i.e., the Zariski

closure in $GL(\mathbb{K}_t)$ of the monodromy group $\rho(\pi_1(\mathcal{V}))$, (c.f. [Sch11, Prop. 6]), thus by further passing to a finite étale cover \mathcal{V}' of \mathcal{V} , we can assume \mathbb{F}_t is invariant under $\rho(\pi_1(\mathcal{V}'))$. Therefore, we obtain a local subsystem $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{V}'} \subset \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{V}'}$ over \mathcal{V}' .

Note that

$$I_{\mathcal{V}'} = I_{\mathcal{V}},$$

since $I_{\mathcal{V}'} \subset I_{\mathcal{V}}$ is of finite index and $I_{\mathcal{V}}$ is connected. Moreover, $T_i = \exp(N_i) \in I_{\mathcal{V}} = I_{\mathcal{V}'}$. (Because T_i is in the image of the exponential map $\exp : gl(\mathbb{K}_t) \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{K}_t)$.) We conclude that \mathbb{F}_t is invariant under T_i and therefore N_i . Each N_i then induces a ‘limit mixed Hodge structure’ $\mathbb{F}_{\text{lim}}^i$ on \mathbb{F}_t .

By Lemma 6.5, for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_t$, $x \notin \text{Ker}(N_i)$ for some i , thus $0 \neq N_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_t \cap \mathbb{H}_i = \mathbb{F}_t \cap W_3\mathbb{K}_{\text{lim}}^i = W_3\mathbb{F}_{\text{lim}}^i$. Since $\mathbb{H}_i = W_3\mathbb{K}_{\text{lim}}^i$ is an irreducible pure Hodge structure (follows from the main result of [IS95]), we conclude $\mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{F}_t$. By Lemma 6.6, the \mathbb{H}_i are conjugate under the monodromy group $\pi_1(\mathcal{V})$, thus $\mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{F}_t$ for all i and, by Proposition 6.4, $\mathbb{F}_t = \mathbb{K}_t$. \square

REFERENCES

- [ACG11] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, and P.A. Griffiths, *Geometry of algebraic curves, volume II with a contribution by J.D. Harris*, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [AFS15] E. Arbarello, A. Ferretti, and G. Sacca, *Relative Prym varieties associated to the double cover of an Enriques surface*, J. Differential Geom. **100** (2015), no. 2, 191–250.
- [Bea77] A. Beauville, *Prym varieties and the Schottky problem*, Inventiones Math. **41** (1977), 149–196.
- [BHPdV04] W. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters, and A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 4, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [Cle77] H. Clemens, *Degeneration of Kähler manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), no. 2, 215–290.
- [Deb92] O. Debarre, *Le lieu des variétés abéliennes dont le diviseur thêta est singulier a deux composantes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **25** (1992), no. 6, 687–707.
- [DS81] R. Donagi and R. Smith, *The structure of the Prym map*, Acta Math. **146** (1981), 25–102.
- [FGSMV14] G. Farkas, S. Grushevsky, R. Salvati Manni, and A. Verra, *Singularities of theta divisors and the geometry of \mathcal{A}_5* , J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **16** (2014), no. 9, 1817–1848.
- [Igu67] J. Igusa, *A desingularization problem in the theory of Siegel modular functions*, Math. Ann. **168** (1967), 228–260.
- [IS95] E. Izadi and D. van Straten, *The intermediate jacobians of the theta-divisors of four-dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **4** (1995), no. 3, 557–590.
- [ITW] E. Izadi, Cs. Tamas, and J. Wang, *The primitive cohomology of the theta divisor of an abelian fivefold*, J. Algebraic Geom., To appear, arXiv:1311.6212.
- [IW15] E. Izadi and J. Wang, *The primitive cohomology of theta divisors*, Proceedings of the conference on Hodge theory and classical algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 647, AMS, Providence, RI, 2015, pp. 79–90.
- [KS88] G. R. Kempf and F. O. Schreyer, *A Torelli theorem for osculating cones to the theta divisor*, Compositio Mathematica **67** (1988), 343–353.
- [KW] T. Krämer and R. Weissauer, *The symmetric square of the theta divisor in genus 4*, arXiv:1109.2249v2.
- [Lam81] K. Lamotke, *The topology of complex projective varieties after S. Lefschetz*, Topology **20** (1981), 15–51.
- [Lan73] A. Landman, *On the Picard-Lefschetz transformation for algebraic manifolds acquiring general singularities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **181** (1973), 89–126.

- [MM83] S. Mori and S. Mukai, *The uniruledness of the moduli space of curves of genus 11*, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 334–353.
- [Mor84] D. R. Morrison, *The Clemens-Schmid exact sequence and applications*, Topics in Transcendental Algebraic Geometry (P. A. Griffiths, ed.), Annals of Math. Stud., vol. 106, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984, pp. 101–119.
- [Mum74] D. Mumford, *Prym varieties I*, Contributions to Analysis (L.V. Ahlfors, I. Kra, B. Maskit, and L. Nirenberg, eds.), Academic Press, 1974, pp. 325–350.
- [Mum75] ———, *Curves and their jacobians*, The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1975.
- [Mum83] ———, *On the Kodaira dimension of the Siegel modular variety*, Algebraic Geometry- Open problems (Ravello 1982), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 997, Springer, 1983, pp. 348–375.
- [Nam85] Y. Namikawa, *Periods of Enriques surfaces*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), no. 2, 201–222.
- [Sch73] W. Schmid, *Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping*, Inventiones Math. **22** (1973), 211–319.
- [Sch11] C. Schnell, *Two lectures about Mumford-Tate groups*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **69** (2011), no. 2, 199–216.
- [Ste76] J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Limits of Hodge structures*, Inventiones Math. **31** (1975/76), no. 3, 229–257.
- [SV85] R. Smith and R. Varley, *Components of the locus of singular theta divisors of genus 5*, Algebraic geometry, Sitges (Barcelona), 1983 (G. E. Welters E. Casas-Alvero and S. Xamb-Descamps, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1124, Springer, Berlin, 1985, p. 338–416.
- [SV06] ———, *The pfaffian structure defining a prym theta divisor*, The geometry of Riemann surfaces and abelian varieties (G. E. Welters E. Casas-Alvero and S. Xamb-Descamps, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 397, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, p. 215–236.
- [Voi92] C. Voisin, *Sur l'application de Wahl des courbes satisfaisant la condition de brill-noether-petri*, Acta Math. **168** (1992), no. 3-4, 249–272.
- [Voi03] ———, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry II*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE # 0112, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112, USA

E-mail address: eizadi@math.ucsd.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE # 0112, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112, USA

E-mail address: jiewang884@gmail.com