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## Introduction

Given a finite partially ordered set $\mathscr{P}$, it is often of interest to describe the largest possible subsets $S \subseteq \mathscr{P}$ which consist of mutually incomparable elements of $\mathscr{P}$. Such subsets are usually called maximum antichains or maximum Sperner families of $\mathscr{P}$ after Sperner [15], who first characterized these maximum antichains in the case that $\mathscr{P}$ is a finite Boolean lattice, i.e., the set of all subsets of some finite set partially ordered by inclusion. Recently, great advances have been made for questions of this type by Canfield, Greene, Harper, Katona, Kleitman, Lubell, Milne and others (e.g., see [1, 5-9, 11, 12]) In particular, Canfield [1-3], has recently settled in the negative the old question of Rota [13, 14]:

> For the set $S$ of partitions of a finite set $X$ partially ordered by refinement, is it true that the maximum antichain consists of all partitions of $X$ having exactly $k$ nonempty blocks, for a suitable value of $k$ ?

In this note we determine the maximum antichains and "semi-antichains" of certain geometrical partially ordered sets which we now describe.

## Antichains of $n$-Dimensional Arrays

For a fixed positive integer $n$, we denote the $n$-tuples of positive integers $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right), \ldots$, by $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \ldots$, respectively. For a fixed $n$-tuple $\bar{m}$, let $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$ denote the "rectangular parallelepiped" of integer points $\{\bar{x}=$ $\left.\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): 1 \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant m_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\}$. Using shorthand notation, we can write $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})=\{\bar{x}: \overline{1} \leqslant \bar{x} \leqslant \bar{m}\}$. For $1 \leqslant a \leqslant b \leqslant m$, the subset $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$ of $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$ is defined to be the set $\{\bar{x}: \bar{a} \leqslant \bar{x} \leqslant \bar{a}\}$. The partially ordered set $\mathscr{P}(\bar{m})$
is defined to be the set of all $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$ for $\overline{1} \leqslant \bar{a} \leqslant \bar{b} \leqslant \bar{m}$ partially ordered by inclusion.

In order to describe the maximum antichains of $\mathscr{P}(\bar{m})$ we need one more definition. By the rank of $R=R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$, denoted by $\rho(R)$, we mean the integer $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)$. The geometric meaning of $\rho(R)$ is clear; it is just the sum of the edge lengths of $R$.

Let $\mathscr{R}_{\rho}=\mathscr{R}_{\rho}(\bar{m})$ denote the set of all $R(\bar{a} ; b)$ in $\mathscr{P}(\bar{m})$ having rank $\rho$. Clearly the elements of $\mathscr{R}_{0}$ are mutually incomparable. The first result of this note is:

Theorem 1. Every maximum antichain of $\mathscr{P}(\bar{m})$ is of the form $\mathscr{R}_{\rho}$ for a suitable $\rho$.

Proof. This result is a consequence of the following remarks.

1. The set $\mathscr{\mathscr { I }}(m)$ of intervals $[a, b], 1 \leqslant a \leqslant b \leqslant m$, partially ordered by inclusion is a ranked, partially ordered set where the rank of $[a, b]$ is defined to be $b-a$. Since $\mathscr{I}(m)$ has $\rho_{r}=m-r$ elements of rank $r$, then the rank cardinalities are logarithmically convex, i.e., $\rho_{r}{ }^{2} \geqslant \rho_{r-1} \rho_{r+1}$. Also, it is easily checked that adjacent ranks enjoy the normalized matching (or LYM) property (see [9]).
2. By Harper's theorem [7], the direct product of $\mathscr{I}\left(m_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{I}\left(m_{n}\right)$, which is just the partially ordered set $\mathscr{P}(\bar{m})$, also has logarithmic convexity and normalized matching.
3. Standard techniques (cf. [9]) now immediately imply that the maximum antichains always consist of elements in those $\mathscr{R}_{\mu}$ with $\left|\mathscr{R}_{0}\right|$ maximum. An easy argument shows that even when there are several maximum-sized ranks in $\mathscr{P}(\bar{m})$, a maximum antichain can contain only elements having the same rank.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. 】
We note here that the stronger "Erdös" property (cf. [6]) actually holds for $\mathscr{P}(\bar{m})$, namely, that the size of the largest collection of $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$ 's having no linearly ordered subset of size $k+1$ cannot exceed the sum of the sizes of the $k$ largest ranks.

## Semi-Antichains in $R(\bar{m})$

Let $\bar{\delta}_{l c}$ denote the point having a single 1 as its $k$ th component and all other components 0 . We say that $\bar{x} \in R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$ is a corner of $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$ if, for all $k$, at least one of $\bar{x} \pm \bar{\delta}_{k}$ is not in $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$. For $\bar{x} \in R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$, the antipodal point of $\bar{x}$ is defined to be $\bar{a}+\bar{b}-\bar{x}$. Finally, let us call a subset $X$ of $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$ a semi-
antichain if for any $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b}), R\left(\bar{a}^{\prime} ; \bar{b}^{\prime}\right) \in X$ which have a common corner $\bar{x}$, the corresponding antipodal corners $\bar{a}+\bar{b}-\bar{x}$ and $\bar{a}^{\prime}+\bar{b}^{\prime}-\bar{x}$ have no common coordinate values.

An example of a semi-antichain in $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$ is $\mathscr{Q}(\bar{m})$, the set of all "cubes" in $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$, i.e., all $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b}) \in \mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$ with $\bar{b}-\bar{a}$ having all components equal. The next result shows that this is the (unique) maximum semi-antichain in $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$.

Theorem 2. The unique maximum semi-antichain in $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$ is $\mathscr{Z}(\bar{m})$.
Proof. Let $X$ be a maximum semi-antichain of $\mathscr{R}(\bar{m})$. Thus, $|X| \geqslant|\mathscr{Q}(\bar{m})|$. For each $\bar{a} \leqslant \bar{m}$ consider the set

$$
C(\bar{a})=\{R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b}): \bar{a} \leqslant \bar{b} \leqslant \bar{m}\}
$$

Since $X$ is a semi-antichain then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|X \cap C(\bar{a})| \leqslant 1+\min (\bar{m}-\bar{a}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \min \bar{x}$ denotes $\min \left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. However, $\mathscr{Q}(\bar{m})$ satisfies (1) (in place of $X$ ) with equality for all $\bar{a}$. Thus, $X$ must also satisfy (1) with equality for all $\bar{a} \leqslant \bar{m}$.

For $\bar{a}=\bar{m}, C(\bar{a})$ consists of the single set $R(\bar{m} ; \bar{m})-\{\bar{m}\}$. Since

$$
|X \cap C(\bar{m})|=1 \quad \text { then } \quad\{\bar{m}\} \in X
$$

Suppose for some $\bar{a}<\bar{m}$ (i.e., $a_{i} \leqslant m_{i}$ for all $i$ and $\left.\bar{a} \neq \bar{m}\right), R(\bar{x} ; \bar{y}) \in X$ with $\bar{x}>\bar{a}$ if and only if $R(\bar{x} ; \bar{y}) \in \mathscr{Q}(\bar{m})$. Assume that $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b}) \in X$ and $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b}) \notin \mathscr{Q}(\bar{m})$. Thus,

$$
\min (\bar{b}-\bar{a})<\max (\bar{b}-\bar{a})
$$

But $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b})$ clearly contains a cube $Q$ of side $\min (\bar{b}-\bar{a})$ with which it shares a common corner $\bar{x}>\bar{a}$. Moreover, the respective antipodal corners share a common coordinate, namely, the $i$ th coordinate where $b_{i}-a_{i}=$ $\min (\bar{b}-\bar{a})$. This contradicts the assumption that $X$ is a semi-antichain. Hence we conclude that $R(\bar{a} ; \bar{b}) \in \mathscr{Q}(\bar{m})$. The proof of the theorem now follows by induction.

## Concluding Remarks

The special case of Theorem 1 with $m_{1}=\cdots=m_{n}=2$ was previously conjectured by Knuth (private communication) and proved by Chandra and Markowsky [4] and by Metropolis and Rota [10]. The special case of Theorem 2 with $n=2$ was proved by West and Kleitman [16].

An interesting variation which has not yet been investigated is the characterization of the maximum antichains for the convex subsets of $\mathscr{R}(m, n)$, i.e., sets whose enclosing polygon is convex. A natural conjecture is that in this case one should choose all convex subsets containing exactly $k$ points for a suitable value of $k$.
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