

# Solution to Homework 7

## Math 140B

Haiyu Huang

March 5, 2019

Disclaimer: The solution may contain errors or typos so use at your own risk.

### 7.21

**Problem.** Let  $S^1$  be the unit circle in the complex plane, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the algebra of all functions of the form  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n e^{in\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  separates points on  $S^1$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  vanishes at no point of  $S^1$ , but nevertheless there are continuous functions on  $S^1$  which are not in the uniform closure of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  is simply the algebra of complex-valued polynomials on the unit circle. The identity function  $z \mapsto z$  separates points and vanishes nowhere. However, we will show  $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f(z) = \bar{z}$  cannot be approximated uniformly by polynomials on the unit circle  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ . If  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ , then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \bar{f}(e^{it}) P(e^{it}) dt = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(j+1)t} dt = 0.$$

Thus, abbreviating  $f(e^{it})$  and  $P(e^{it})$  by  $f$  and  $P$ , since  $|f| = 1$  on  $S^1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi &= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f \bar{f} dt \right| \leq \left| \int_0^{2\pi} (f - P) \bar{f} dt \right| + \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{f} P dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} (f - P) \bar{f} dt \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f - P| dt \leq 2\pi \|f - P\|_u. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|f - P\|_u \geq 1$  for any polynomial  $P$ .

**Remark.** This example shows that the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, as in Theorem 7.32, is false for complex-valued functions. In fact, if  $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$ , any uniform limit of polynomials on  $K$  must be holomorphic (infinitely differentiable) on  $K^\circ$ . So the algebra of polynomials in one complex variable is not dense in  $C(K)$  for most compact subsets  $K$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For Stone-Weierstrass theorem to hold for complex-valued functions, self-adjointness of the algebra is required. See Theorem 7.33. ■

## 8.1

**Problem.** Define  $f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$ . Prove that  $f$  has derivatives of all orders at  $x = 0$ , and that  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $f^{(n)}(x) = p_n(x^{-1})e^{-1/x^2}$  with  $p_n$  a polynomial. Then

$$f^{(n+1)}(x) = e^{-1/x^2} (2x^{-3}p_n(x^{-1}) - x^{-2}p'_n(x^{-1})).$$

So define  $p_1(x) = 2x^3$  and  $p_{n+1}(x) = 2x^3p_n(x) - x^2p'_n(x)$ . So we've shown by induction that for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $f^{(n)}(x) = p_n(x^{-1})e^{-1/x^2}$  with  $p_n$  a polynomial recursively defined. We prove  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $n = 0$  this is true by definition, so assume that it is true for some  $n \geq 0$ . To prove that  $f^{(n+1)}(0) = 0$  exists, it suffices to show that  $f^{(n)}$  has one-sided derivatives from both sides at  $x = 0$  and that they are equal. Clearly, the derivative from the left is zero. The derivative of  $f^{(n)}$  from the right at  $x = 0$  is equal to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^{(n)}(x) - f^{(n)}(0)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{-1} p_n(x^{-1}) e^{-1/x^2}.$$

A standard application of l'Hôpital's rule and induction shows that for any integer  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-1/x^2}}{x^n} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^{-n}}{e^{1/x^2}} = 0.$$

So  $f^{(n+1)}(0) = 0$ . By induction,  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark.** This is an example of non-analytic smooth function. We'll shown  $f$  is smooth everywhere.

If  $f$  were analytic at 0, then the Taylor series at the origin gives  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}}{n!} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{0}{n!} x^n = 0$ . The Taylor series does not equal  $f(x)$  for  $x > 0$ . Therefore,  $f$  is not analytic at the origin. The set of real-valued smooth function  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is a proper subset of the set of real analytic function  $C^\omega(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Question:** What does  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{f(x)+f(1-x)}$  looks like? ■

## 8.3

**Problem** (Tonelli's theorem for series). Prove that

$$\sum_m \sum_n a_{mn} = \sum_n \sum_m a_{mn}$$

if  $a_{mn} \geq 0$  for all  $m$  and  $n$ .

*Proof.* Either both sums are infinite, or if either sum is finite, we may use Fubini's theorem for series (Theorem 8.3) to conclude they are equal.

Here is a direct proof. We will show

$$\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n,m} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m},$$

where we define

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{x \in F} f(x) : F \subset X, F \text{ finite} \right\}.$$

We only prove the first equality. The second equality follows by symmetry. Let  $F \subset \mathbb{N}^2$  be a finite subset. Then  $F \subset \{1, \dots, N\}^2$  for some finite  $N$ . Thus by the nonnegativity of  $a_{n,m}$ ,

$$\sum_{(n,m) \in F} a_{n,m} \leq \sum_{(n,m) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^2} a_{n,m} = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N a_{n,m} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m}.$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n,m} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m}$ . It remains to show the reverse inequality  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} \leq \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n,m}$ . It suffices to show  $\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} \leq \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n,m}$  for each finite  $N$ . Fix  $N$ . As  $M \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sum_{m=1}^M a_{n,m} \rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m}$  and so  $\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M a_{n,m} \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m}$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M a_{n,m} \leq \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n,m}$ . But

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M a_{n,m} = \sum_{(n,m) \in \{1, \dots, N\} \times \{1, \dots, M\}} a_{n,m}$$

and the claim follows. ■

## 1

**Problem.** Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  be a power series with radius of convergence  $R$ . If the power series converges at  $x = R$ , prove that the series converges uniformly on  $[0, R]$ .

*Proof.*

**Lemma.** Let  $b_n$  satisfy  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ , and let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  be a series for which the partial sums are bounded, i.e.  $|A_n| = |\sum_{k=1}^n a_k| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \right| \leq M b_1.$$

*Proof.* By Abel's summation-by-parts formula, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \right| &= \left| A_n b_{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n A_k (b_k - b_{k+1}) \right| \\ &\leq M b_{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n M (b_k - b_{k+1}) \\ &= M b_{n+1} + M (b_1 - b_{n+1}) = M b_1. \end{aligned}$$

To apply the lemma, we write

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n R^n) \left( \frac{x}{R} \right)^n.$$

To prove the series converges uniformly on  $[0, R]$ , it suffices to show the series is Cauchy. Since  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n R^n$  converges by assumption, there exists  $N$  such that for all  $n > m \geq N$

$$\left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n a_k R^k \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Observe that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{m+j} R^{m+j} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $(\frac{x}{R})^{m+j}$  is monotonically decreasing. We can apply the lemma to the sequences with the first  $m$  terms omitted:

$$\left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n (a_k R^k) \left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^k \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^{m+1} < \epsilon.$$

**Remark.** This is a stronger form of Abel's theorem (Theorem 8.2). By the uniform limit theorem,  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  is continuous at  $x = R$  so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow R^-} f(x) = f(R) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n R^n$ . ■

## 2

**Problem.** Use the formula  $\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$ ,  $|x| < 1$  to compute the exact value of the following series:  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^n}$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{2^n}$ .

*Proof.* The interval of convergence for all the power series in the problem is  $|x| < 1$ . Differentiate  $\frac{1}{1-x}$  term by term,

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)' = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n x^{n-1} = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + \dots$$

Subtract  $\frac{1}{1-x}$  from  $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{1}{1-x} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n x^{n-1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n x^{n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1) x^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n x^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $|x| < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n x^n = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}.$$

So when  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^n} = 2$ .

Differentiate  $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{1}{1-x}$  term by term,

$$\left(\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{1}{1-x}\right)' = \frac{2}{(1-x)^3} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 x^{n-1}.$$

To shift  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 x^{n-1}$  to  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)^2 x^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 x^n$ , we subtract  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n-1)x^{n-1} = \frac{2}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{1}{1-x}$  from  $\frac{2}{(1-x)^3} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{(1-x)^3} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{2}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{1}{1-x} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 x^{n-1} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n x^{n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 - 2n + 1) x^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)^2 x^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 x^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $|x| < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 x^n = \frac{2}{(1-x)^3} - \frac{3}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{1}{1-x} = \frac{x(x+1)}{(1-x)^3}.$$

So when  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{2^n} = 6$ . ■

### 3

**Problem.** Assume  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose that for any  $R > 0$ , there exists  $M$  with the property  $|f^{(n)}(x)| \leq M$ ,  $\forall |x| \leq R$ . Prove that the Taylor series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x-x_0)^k$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a compact set and  $R = \sup_{x \in K} |x - x_0| < \infty$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x-x_0)^k - f(x) \right| &= \left| \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (x-x_0)^{n+1} \right| \\ &\leq M \frac{R^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

by Taylor's theorem. But  $\frac{R^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{R^k}{k!} = e^R$  converges. Hence the Taylor series converges uniformly to  $f$  on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . ■