

## 22. -1-CURVES

We will need a little bit of intersection theory.

Given any variety  $X$  we can define cycles of any dimension on  $X$ . A **cycle**  $\alpha$  is a formal linear combination of closed subvarieties,  $\sum n_V V$ . If  $V$  all have the same dimension  $k$  then we say  $\alpha$  is a  **$k$ -cycle**. Two  $k$ -cycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are **rationally equivalent** if there is a  $k+1$  dimensional subvariety  $W$  which contains the support of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are linearly equivalent divisors on the normalisation of  $W$ . If  $X$  has dimension  $n$  then an  $(n-1)$ -cycle is the same as a Weil divisor.

Note that we can pullback Cartier divisors. We can also pushforward Weil divisors, or more generally cycles. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a proper morphism and  $V$  is an irreducible closed subvariety with image  $W$  then

$$f_*V = \begin{cases} dW & \text{if } f|_V: V \rightarrow W \text{ is generically finite of degree } d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other words if the image of  $V$  is lower dimensional then  $f_*V = 0$ . If the image  $W$  of  $V$  has the same dimension then  $f_*V = dW$  where  $d$  is the degree of  $V$  over  $W$ . We extend the pushforward by linearity to all cycles.

Note that we can intersect a cycle  $\alpha$  with a Cartier divisor  $D$ , to get a cycle  $\alpha \cdot D$ . By linearity we may assume that  $\alpha = V$  is a closed irreducible subvariety. In this case we can define a linear equivalence of Cartier divisors on  $V$ . If the support of  $D$  does not contain then simply restrict the equations of  $D$  to  $V$ . If the support of  $D$  does contain  $V$  then restrict the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  to  $V$  to get an invertible sheaf on  $V$ . An invertible sheaf is the same as a linear equivalence class of Cartier divisors. Now pushforward the corresponding Weil divisor, via the natural inclusion  $V \rightarrow X$  to get a cycle on  $X$ .

Now pushforward is not a ring homomorphism, but it is almost is:

**Theorem 22.1** (Push-pull). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of varieties. Let  $\alpha$  be a cycle on  $X$  and let  $D$  be a Cartier divisor on  $Y$ .*

*Then*

$$f_*(\alpha \cdot f^*D) = f_*\alpha \cdot D.$$

0-cycles are formal sums of points  $\sum n_p p$ . The degree is the sum  $\sum n_p$ . Note that two rationally equivalent 0-cycles have the same degree.

If  $X$  is a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  then we can associate to any cycle  $\alpha$  a class in homology. As usual, by linearity it is enough to do this for irreducible subvarieties  $V$ . Take a simplicial decomposition

of  $X$  which induces a simplicial decomposition of  $V$ . Then  $V$  defines a class  $[V] \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . A divisor  $D$  determines a class in cohomology  $[D] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . We can pair this with a homology classes. This is compatible with the algebraic intersection product

$$[D \cdot \alpha] = [D] \cap [\alpha] \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note also that there is a topological push-pull formula.

Now let us consider what happens for surfaces. 1-cycles, or Weil divisors, are nothing more than formal sums of curves. If we intersect a Weil divisor with a Cartier divisor, we will get a rational equivalence class of 0-cycles. The intersection number is just the degree of the 0-cycles. From now on, the intersection product will denote the degree.

We can compute the degree locally.

**Definition 22.2.** *Let  $S$  be a smooth surface and let  $p$  be a point of  $S$ . Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two Cartier divisors on  $S$ .*

*First suppose that  $D_1 = C_1$  and  $D_2 = C_2$  are prime divisors. The **local intersection number** of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  at  $p$ ,*

$$i_p(D_1, D_2) = \dim_k \mathcal{O}_{S,p} / \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle,$$

*where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are local generators of the ideals of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .*

*Now extend this by linearity to any two divisors with no common components.*

It is interesting to check that the local intersection number coincides with geometric intuition.

**Example 22.3.** *Let  $S = \mathbb{A}^2$ , let  $C_1$  be the  $x$ -axis  $= 0$  and let  $C_2$  be the conic  $y = x^2$ . Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are tangent. The local intersection number is the dimension of the  $k$ -vector space*

$$\frac{k[x, y]}{\langle y, y - x^2 \rangle} = \frac{k[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} = k\langle 1, x \rangle$$

*which is two, as expected.*

**Proposition 22.4.** *If  $S$  is a projective surface and  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are two divisors with no common components*

$$D_1 \cdot D_2 = \sum_p i_p(D_1, D_2).$$

Here the sum is over all points  $p$  in the intersection.

**Theorem 22.5** (Bézout's Theorem). *Let  $C$  and  $D$  be two curves defined by homogenous polynomials of degrees  $d$  and  $e$ . Suppose that  $C \cap D$  does not contain a curve.*

Then  $|C \cap D|$  is at most  $de$ , with equality if and only if the intersection of the two tangent spaces at  $p \in C \cap D$  is equal to  $p$ .

*Proof.*  $C \sim dL$  and  $D \sim eL$ , where  $L$  is a line. In this case

$$|C \cap D| \leq \sum_p i_p(C, D) = C \cdot D = (dL) \cdot (eL) = (de)L^2 = de. \quad \square$$

**Definition 22.6.** Let  $C \subset S$  be a curve in a smooth surface. Let  $p \in S$  be a point of  $S$ .

The **multiplicity of  $C$  at  $p \in S$**  is the largest  $\mu$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_p \subset \mathfrak{m}^\mu$  where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $S$  at  $p$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{S,p}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is the ideal sheaf of  $C$  in  $S$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{I} = \langle f \rangle$ , so we just want the largest  $\mu$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{m}^\mu$ . If we work over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then we can choose coordinates  $x$  and  $y$ . In this case  $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x, y \rangle$  and  $f$  is a power series in  $x$  and  $y$ . If we expand  $f$  in powers of  $x$  and  $y$ ,

$$f(x, y) = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots$$

where  $f_i$  is homogenous of degree  $i$  then the multiplicity  $\mu$  is the smallest integer such that  $f_\mu \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 22.7.** Let  $C \subset S$  be a curve in a smooth surface. Let  $p \in S$  be a point of  $S$  and let  $\pi: T \rightarrow S$  be the blow up of  $S$  at  $p$ . Let  $\tilde{C}$  be the strict transform of  $C$ . Then

$$\pi^*C = \tilde{C} + \mu E.$$

*Proof.* Pick coordinates so that  $y = 0$  is not tangent to any branch of  $C$ . Then  $T \subset S \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and local coordinates on  $T$  are given by  $(x, t)$ , where  $y = tx$ . In this case

$$f(x, y) = f_\mu(x, xt) + f_{\mu+1}(x, xt) = x^\mu(f_\mu(1, t) + xf_\mu(1, t) + \dots).$$

As  $x = 0$  is the equation of  $E$  the result is clear.  $\square$

**Proposition 22.8.** Let  $S$  be a smooth surface, let  $p \in S$  be a point of  $S$  and let  $\pi: T \rightarrow S$  be the blow up of  $S$  at  $p$ , with exceptional divisor  $E$ .

Then  $E^2 = -1$ .

*Proof.* We give two proofs of this result.

Here is the first. Note that this is a local computation. So we might as well assume that  $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ . Pick a line  $L$  passing through  $p$ . Then  $L$  is a Cartier divisor on  $S$ . We have

$$\pi^*L = M + E,$$

where  $M$  is the strict transform of  $L$ .

Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be two general lines through  $p$ . Then

$$L^2 = L_1 \cdot L_2 = 1,$$

that is, two lines meet in one point. Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be the strict transforms of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  don't meet, by definition of the blow up. Thus

$$M^2 = M_1 \cdot M_2 = 0.$$

To practice using push-pull, let us calculate  $E \cdot \pi^*L$ . By push-pull,

$$E \cdot \pi^*L = \pi_*(E \cdot \pi^*L) = \pi_*E \cdot L = 0.$$

Thus

$$1 = M \cdot \pi^*L = M \cdot (M + E) = M \cdot M + M \cdot E = 1,$$

which is consistent.

Now let us calculate  $E \cdot \pi^*L$ . By push-pull this is

$$\pi_*(E \cdot \pi^*L) = \pi_*E \cdot L = 0,$$

since  $\pi_*E = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$E \cdot \pi^*L = E \cdot (M + E) = E \cdot M + E^2 = 1 + E^2.$$

Thus  $E^2 = -1$ .

Here is the second method. The ideal sheaf of  $E$  in  $T$  is given by  $\mathcal{O}_T(-E)$ . By definition of the blow up, this restricts to  $\mathcal{O}_E(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_T(E)$  restricts to  $\mathcal{O}_E(-1)$ , so that  $E|_E$  has degree  $-1$ .  $\square$

**Definition-Lemma 22.9.** *Let  $S$  be a smooth surface and let  $C \subset S$  be a proper irreducible curve.*

*Any two of the following three properties implies the third:*

- (1)  $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ .
- (2)  $C^2 = -1$ .
- (3)  $K_S \cdot C = -1$ .

*In this case we call  $E$  a  $-1$ -curve.*

*Proof.* By adjunction

$$(K_S + C)|_C = K_C.$$

Thus

$$2g - 2 = \deg K_C = (K_S + C) \cdot C.$$

Note that  $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  if and only if  $g = 0$ . The result is then clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 22.10.** *Let  $\pi: T \rightarrow S$  be the blow up of a smooth point of a smooth surface. Let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor.*

*Then*

$$K_T = \pi^* K_S + E.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\pi$  is an isomorphism outside  $p$ , so that

$$K_T = \pi^* K_S + aE,$$

for some integer  $a$ . It suffices to check that  $a = 1$ ; we will give two proofs of this result.

Here is the first. We have already seen that  $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $E^2 = -1$ . So  $K_T \cdot E = -1$  by (22.9). On the other hand,

$$-1 = K_T \cdot E = (\pi^* K_S + aE) \cdot E = K_S \pi_* E + aE^2 = -a.$$

Thus  $a = 1$ .

The second is by direct computation. Let  $(x, y)$  be local coordinates on  $S$ . Then

$$\omega = dx \wedge dy,$$

is a meromorphic differential with no poles or zeroes in a neighbourhood of  $p$ . Local coordinates upstairs are  $(x, t)$ , where  $y = xt$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* \omega &= dx \wedge d(xt) \\ &= dx \wedge (tdx + xd(t)) \\ &= tdx \wedge dx + xdx \wedge d(t) \\ &= xdx \wedge dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the pullback of a meromorphic differential from  $S$  always has a simple zero along  $E$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 22.11.** *Let  $\pi: T \rightarrow S$  be the blow up of a smooth point of a smooth surface.*

*Then*

$$K_T^2 = K_S^2 - 1.$$

*Proof.*

$$K_T^2 = K_T \cdot (\pi^* K_S + E) = K_T \cdot \pi^* K_S + K_T \cdot E = K_S^2 - 1. \quad \square$$