MODEL ANSWERS TO HWK #2

6.1. It is clear that $X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n$ is Noetherian and integral. The morphism $X \times \mathbb{P}^n_k \longrightarrow X$ is projective, whence separated. As the composition of separated morphisms is separated, $X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n$ is separated.

Suppose that $\eta \in X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n$ is a codimension one point, so that the closure of η is a prime divisor Y in $X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n$. We want to show that Y is defined by a single equation locally about η . So we may assume that X is affine and we are free to replace \mathbb{P}^n_k by \mathbb{A}^n_k . We are reduced to the case n=1by induction on n.

If Y does not dominate X then Y is locally over the image of the form $W \times \mathbb{A}^1$, where W is a divisor in X. If $g \in A = A(X)$ defines W locally about the generic point of W then $g \in A[t] = A(X \times \mathbb{A}^1_k)$ also defines Y about the generic point η of Y.

Let ξ be the generic point of X, with residue field K. Then Y' = $Y \cap \mathbb{A}^1_K = \{\eta\}$ and we may easily find $f(x) \in K[x]$ which cuts out η . Let $U = X \times \mathbb{A}^n_k$ be the open subset of $X \times \mathbb{P}^n_k$, given by one of the standard open affines $\mathbb{A}^n_k \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$. Then $X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a prime divisor and so there is an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(X \times \mathbb{P}^n_k) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(X \times \mathbb{A}^n_k) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We first check that

$$Cl(X \times \mathbb{A}^n_k) = Cl(X).$$

By induction on n we may assume that n = 1 and we may apply (II.6.6). Finally we check that we have injectivity on the left. This is clear if we restrict to $\{\eta\} \times \mathbb{P}^n$, since then Z is sent to the class of a hyperplane.

6.4. Let K be the field of fractions of A. Then

$$K = \frac{k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)[z]}{\langle z^2 - f \rangle}.$$

This is a quadratic extension of the field $L = k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. As the characteristic is not 2, K is the splitting field of $z^2 - f$ so that K/L is Galois, with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ given by the involution $z \longrightarrow -z$.

Every element α of K is uniquely of the form g + hz, where g and $h \in k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Then the conjugate β of α is g - hz so that

$$(X - \alpha)(X - \beta) = X^2 - (\alpha + \beta)X + (\alpha\beta) = X^2 - 2gX + (g^2 - h^2 f),$$

is the minimal polynomial of α . α is in the integral closure of $k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ inside K if and only if 2g and $g^2 - h^2 f \in k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$. But

 $2g \in k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ if and only if $g \in k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. In this case $g^2 - h^2 f \in k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ if and only if $h^2 f \in k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. As f is square free and $k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ is a UFD this happens if and only if $h \in k[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$. But then A is the integral closure of $k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n].$

In particular A is integrally closed.

- 6.5. (a) Note that if $r \geq 2$ then $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2$ is irreducible, as the characteristic is not two. In particular it is square free and we may apply (6.4).
- (b) As k is algebraically closed there is an element i such that $i^2+1=0$. Consider the change of variables which replaces x_0 by ix_0 and fixes the other variables. This has the effect of replacing

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2$$
 by $-x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2$.

Now consider the change of variables which sends

$$2x_0 \longrightarrow x_0 + x_1$$
 and $2x_1 \longrightarrow x_0 - x_1$,

and fixes the other variables. As

$$x_1^2 - x_0^2 = (x_0 + x_1)(x_1 - x_0),$$

this has the effect of replacing

$$-x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2$$
 by $x_0 x_1 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2$.

Finally multiplying x_0 by -1 we can put the equation for X into the form

$$x_0 x_1 = x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2$$
.

(1) X is toric as it is defined by the binomial equation

$$x_0 x_1 = x_2^2.$$

If n=r=2, then we have already proved that $Cl(X)=\mathbb{Z}_2$. There are two ways to prove the general case. The first is directly, which basically repeats the same computation. On the other hand, note first that $X = Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{n-r}$. Now

$$Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{n-r} \subset Y \times \mathbb{A}_k^n$$

is an open subset. It follows that there is a surjection

$$Cl(Y \times \mathbb{A}^n_k) \longrightarrow Cl(X).$$

But we have already seen that

$$Cl(Y) = Cl(Y \times \mathbb{A}^n_k),$$

and this easily implies that

$$Cl(X) = Cl(Y).$$

(2) Note that we can put X into the form

$$x_0x_1 = x_2x_3.$$

As this is a binomial equation it follows that X is again toric. As in (1) we are reduced to the case n = r + 1 = 3.

Pick four vectors v_0 , v_1 , v_2 and v_3 any three of which span the standard lattice in $N_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$v_0 + v_2 = v_1 + v_3,$$

and let σ be the cone spanned by these vectors. We compute the dual cone $\check{\sigma}$. σ has four faces and so there are four vectors w_0 , w_1 , w_2 and w_3 which span $\check{\sigma}$. It is easy to check that

$$\langle v_i, w_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$
.

It follows easily from this that any three of the four vectors w_0 , w_1 , w_2 and w_3 span $M_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^3$ and that

$$w_0 + w_2 = w_1 + w_3$$
.

Note that the equation for the associated affine toric variety is

$$x_0x_2 = x_1x_3,$$

which is obtained from the original equation by a simple permutation of the variables. There are four invariant divisors D_0 , D_1 , D_2 and D_3 , corresponding to the four vectors v_0 , v_1 , v_2 and v_3 , which are primitive generators of the rays they span. Dotting with $f_1 = w_0$, $f_2 = w_1$ and $f_3 = w_3 \in M$ gives three relations

$$D_0 = D_4, \qquad D_1 = D_4 \qquad \text{and} \qquad D_3 = D_4.$$

So

$$Cl(X) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

(3) Note that the hyperplane $X_1=0$ intersects X in the closed set Z defined by $x_2^2+x_3^2+\cdots+x_r^2$, which is irreducible. Let U be the complement. Consider projection down to \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} , from the point $[1:0:0:\cdots:0]$. Let $V\simeq \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}\subset \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$ be the standard open subset where $X_1\neq 0$. Given $[a_1:a_2:\cdots:a_n]\in V$, note that there is a unique point

$$a_0 = \frac{-1}{a_1}(a_2^2 + a_3^2 + \dots a_n^2),$$

such that $[a_0: a_1: \dots: a_n] \in U$ projects down to V. It follows easily that $V \simeq U = \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}$. In particular $\mathrm{Cl}(U) = 0$. On the other hand Z is linearly equivalent to zero so that $\mathrm{Cl}(X) = 0$ using the usual exact sequence.

(c) All of this follows from (II.6.3.b), except the first isomorphism (there are two abelian groups which are extensions of \mathbb{Z}_2 by \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ and \mathbb{Z}).

In fact cases (1) and (2) are toric varieties, so we reduce to the case when n = r. In case (1), we have $X_0X_1 = X_2^2$ in \mathbb{P}^2 , which is a copy of \mathbb{P}^1 . So the class group is \mathbb{Z} . It is clear that a line in \mathbb{P}^2 cuts out two points, that is, twice a generator.

- (2) is the toric variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. There are a million ways to check that the Class group is \mathbb{Z}^2 .
- (d) We already know that the homogeneous coordinate ring of Q is integrally closed and that the class group of the corresponding affine variety is zero. It follows that the homogeneous coordinate ring of Q is a UFD by (II.6.2).

 $Y \sim dH$, for some positive integer d, as H generates $\operatorname{Cl}(Q)$. It follows that there is a rational function $f \in K(Q)$ such that (f) = Y - dH. Suppose that H is defined by the linear polynomial X_0 . The restriction of f to the open affine $Q_0 = Q \cap U_0$ is a rational function with no poles. It follows that $Y \cap Q_0$ is a prime divisor which is linearly equivalent to zero. As $\operatorname{Cl}(Q_0) = 0$, the ideal of $Y_0 = Y \cap Q_0$ is principal. Thus there a polynomial g which defines Y_0 . If we homogenize g then we get a homogeneous polynomial G which defines Y.

6.6. (a) We are given two group laws on C, one given by the rule,

$$(P,Q) \longrightarrow R,$$

where $(P - P_0) + (Q - P_0) \sim R - P_0$ and the other given by the rule $(P, Q) \longrightarrow R$,

where P, Q and -R are collinear. Suppose that P, Q and R are collinear. Then there a linear polynomial L such that $(L)_0 = P + Q + R$. On the other hand, the line X = Z is a flex line to the cubic at P_0 so that $(X - Z) = 3P_0$. But then

$$(P - P_0) + (Q - P_0) + (R - P_0) = (L/(X - Z)) \sim 0.$$

But then it is clear that the two group laws are equivalent.

Or, to crack a nut using a sledgehammer, we could appeal to the fact that as C is projective, the two group laws makes C into two abelian varieties. The identity morphism of C clearly fixes the identity, and so it must be a group isomorphism, by rigidity.

(b) By (a) 2P is equivalent to zero in the group law on X if and only if there is a line defined by a linear polynomial L such that $(L)_0 = 2P + P_0$. But the only line which intersects C in a point with multiplicity two is the tangent line.

- (c) By (a) 3P is equivalent to zero in the group law on X if and only if there is a line defined by a linear polynomial such that $(L)_0 = 3P$. By (b) this line is the tangent line and by definition P is then an inflection
- (d) It suffices to show that if P, Q and R are collinear and P and Qhave their coordinates in \mathbb{Q} then so does R. Suppose L is the line such that

$$L \cap C = P + Q + R$$
.

Then L is the line spanned by P and Q. It follows that L is defined by an equation

$$aX + bY + cZ = 0,$$

where a, b and $c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Applying a rational change of coordinates, we may assume that L is the line Z=0. This won't change the set of points with rational coordinates and the equation of C becomes a cubic $F \in \mathbb{Q}[X,Y,Z]$ with rational coefficients. Restricting to L we get a cubic $G(X,Y) = F(X,Y,0) \in \mathbb{Q}[X,Y]$ with rational coefficients and two rational roots. It follows that the third root is rational, so that R has rational coordinates.

In retrospect the most sensible answer to this question is "No, I cannot determine the rational points." But let us suppose we are not sensible. If we dehomogenize we get the equation

$$y^2 = x^3 - x = x(x-1)(x+1).$$

If y = 0 then we get three points, P = [0 : 0 : 1], Q = [1 : 0 : 1],R = [-1:0:1]. The line through the point P and P_0 is the line X = 0. The cubic equation reduces to

$$Y^2Z = 0.$$

This has a double root at Y = 0 so that this line is tangent to the cubic at P and P is torsion, 2P = 0. Similarly the line through Q and P_0 is the line X = Z. The cubic equations reduces to

$$Y^2X = X^3 - X^3 = 0.$$

This has a double root at Y=0, so that the line X=Z is tangent to Q and 2Q = 0. As P, Q and R are collinear it follows that P + Q + R = 0so that 2R = 0. The group generated by P, Q and R is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Suppose that [a:b:c] is a point with rational coordinates. We may

assume that a, b and c are coprime integers. If one of a, b or c is zero, then we have one of the four points P_0 , P, Q or R.

So we assume that $abc \neq 0$. We have

$$b^2c = a(a-c)(a+c).$$

We will show that there is no such triple (a, b, c). Suppose that p is a prime factor of both a and b. Then p divides a(a-c)(a+c) and so p divides c, which contradicts the fact that a, b and c are coprime. It follows that a and b are coprime.

On the other hand, we may rewrite the equation above as

$$c(b^2 + ac) = a^3.$$

As a and b are coprime, a and $b^2 + ac$ are coprime. It follows that $b^2 + ac = \pm 1$. As $b^2 > 0$ it follows that ac < 0. Thus $c = -a^3$ and so

$$b^2 = a^4 - 1 = (a^2 - 1)(a^2 + 1).$$

Now the only possible prime dividing both a^2-1 and a^2+1 is 2. Thus, either b is odd, in which case both a^2-1 and a^2 are consecutive squares, or b is even, in which case $(a^2-1)/2$ and $(a^2+1)/2$ are consecutive squares. As there are no consecutive squares, this is a contradiction. So the only rational points of the cubic have one entry zero and the group of rational points is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.