

## MODEL ANSWERS TO HWK #8

5.1 We can split the long exact sequence of cohomology into one short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}') \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

and one long exact sequence, which starts with

$$0 \longrightarrow Q' \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}') \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \dots,$$

where

$$Q' = \frac{H^0(X, \mathcal{F}'')}{Q}.$$

We have

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = h^0(X, \mathcal{F}') + \dim_k Q,$$

and, by an obvious induction,

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} (-1)^{i-1} h^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (-1)^{i-1} h^i(X, \mathcal{F}') + \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{i-1} h^i(X, \mathcal{F}'') - \dim_k Q.$$

Adding the two equations together gives the result.

5.2 (a) Pick a divisor  $Y$  belonging to the linear system determined by  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ . Note that there is a morphism of sheaves

$$\mathcal{F}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F},$$

which is locally given by multiplication by the defining equation of  $Y$ , so that this map is an isomorphism away from  $Y$ . We get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are defined to fix exactness. Note that  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are coherent and they are both supported on  $Y$ . If we tensor this exact sequence by  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$  we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

By (5.1) we have

$$\Delta \chi(\mathcal{F}(n)) = \chi(\mathcal{Q}(n)) - \chi(\mathcal{R}(n)).$$

By Noetherian induction the RHS is a polynomial and so  $\chi(\mathcal{F}(n))$  is also a polynomial.

(b) By Serre vanishing, there is an integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}(n)) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(n)),$$

for  $n \geq n_0$ . But we have already seen that the RHS is precisely the dimension of the  $n$ th graded piece of  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F})$ .

5.3 (a) If  $X$  is integral, and  $k$  is an algebraically closed field, then there is a projective variety  $X'$  such that  $t(X') = X$ . We have that

$$H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

But by (I.3.4), the LHS is isomorphic to  $k$ .

(b) Clear from (5.2).

(c) Let  $f: C \dashrightarrow X$  be a rational map from a smooth curve to a projective variety. Then  $f$  is a morphism. Thus if  $f: C_1 \dashrightarrow C_2$  is a birational map, then  $f$  is in fact an isomorphism. It is then clear that  $p_a(C)$  is a birational invariant.

If  $C$  is a smooth plane curve of degree  $d$  then the arithmetic genus of  $C$  is

$$\binom{d-1}{2}.$$

In particular, if  $d \geq 3$ , the arithmetic genus of  $C$  is non-zero, so that  $C$  is not rational.

5.7 (a) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any coherent sheaf on  $Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{G} = i_*\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . As  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample, there is an integer  $n_0$  such that if  $n \geq n_0$ , then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0 \quad \text{for any } n \geq n_0, i > 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$H^i(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes i^*\mathcal{L}^n) = H^i(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n).$$

(b) Since  $X_{\text{red}}$  is a closed subscheme, (a) implies that  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{red}}$  is ample. Now suppose that  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{red}}$  is ample. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the sheaf of nilpotent elements. Then

$$\mathcal{N}^k \cdot \mathcal{L} = 0,$$

for some  $k > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{N} \cdot \mathcal{F}$ . By induction on  $k$ , there is a constant  $n_0$  such that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0,$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ . There is a short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is supported on  $X_{\text{red}}$ . Possibly increasing  $n_0$ , we may assume that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0,$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Tensoring by  $\mathcal{L}^n$  and taking the long exact sequence of cohomology, we get

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0,$$

all  $n \geq n_0$ . But then  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample by (5.3).

(c) As  $X_i$  is a closed subscheme of  $X$ , (a) implies that  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$  is ample. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal sheaf of  $X_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf supported on  $X_1$ . Tensoring by a sufficiently high power of  $\mathcal{L}$  and by induction on the number of irreducible components, taking the long exact sequence of cohomology, we get that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0,$$

all  $n \geq n_0$ . But then  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample by (5.3).

(d) If  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X$ , then  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent sheaf on  $Y$  and

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{L}^n) = H^i(Y, f_*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0,$$

for all  $n$  sufficiently large. Hence  $f^*\mathcal{L}$  is ample.

For the other direction, by (b) and (c) we may suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are integral. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $Y$ . As in the proof of (4.2), we may find an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^r \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are quasi-coherent sheaves, supported on proper closed subsets of  $Y$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Tensoring by a high power of  $\mathcal{L}$ , applying Noetherian induction, we get

$$H^i(Y, \mathcal{F}^r \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = H^i(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes f^*\mathcal{L}^n) = 0,$$

for all  $i > 0$ . But then  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample.