## MODEL ANSWERS TO HWK #5

1. Suppose that the two dimensional vector space corresponding to  $l_i$  is spanned by  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ . Let l be a line that meets  $l_1$  at p and  $l_2$  at q. As  $p \in l_1$  and  $q \in l_2$ , l is represented by  $\omega = (a_1u_1 + b_1v_1) \wedge (a_2u_2 + b_2v_2)$ . Expanding,  $\omega$  is a combination of  $u_1 \wedge u_2$ ,  $u_1 \wedge v_2$ ,  $v_1 \wedge u_2$  and  $v_1 \wedge v_2$ . Let U be the span of these four vectors. In particular the locus of lines which meets  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  is certainly a subset of  $\mathbb{P}(U)$ . But the condition that any such form is decomposable, is equivalent to the condition that it is of the form  $\omega = (a_1u_1 + b_1v_1) \wedge (a_2u_2 + b_2v_2)$ . If we expand  $\omega$  then we get the standard embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  into  $\mathbb{P}^3$  (up to change of sign).

Alternatively it is clear that abstractly the locus of lines meeting  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , as a line is specified by its intersection with  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ .

If  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  intersect, then a line that meets both of them is ether a line that contains  $p = l_1 \cap l_2$  or a line contained in the plane  $H = \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle$ . Thus the locus of lines is the union  $\Sigma_p \cup \Sigma_H$ , which we have seen is the union of two planes. There locus of lines which meet p and are contained in H is a line. So  $\Sigma_p \cup \Sigma_H$  is the union of two planes meeting along a line.

2. The point is that there is no moduli to this question, so that we are free to choose our favourite quadric. If we take XW = YZ, so that we have the image of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  under the morphism

$$([X_0:X_1], [Y_0:Y_1]) \longrightarrow [X_0Y_0:X_1Y_0:X_0Y_1:X_1Y_1],$$

then the two families of lines are

$$[aS:aT:bS:bT]$$
 and  $[aS:bS:aT:bT]$ ,

where the pair [a : b] parametrises the two families, and [S : T] parametrises the lines themselves (for fixed [a : b]). Thus a general line from the first family is the span of

$$[a:0:b:0]$$
 and  $[0:a:0:b]$ ,

whilst a general line from the second family is the span of

$$[a:b:0:0]$$
 and  $[0:0:a:b]$ 

Thus a line from the first (respectively second family) is represented by

 $\omega = (ae_1 + be_3) \wedge (ae_2 + be_4)$  respectively  $(ae_1 + be_2) \wedge (ae_3 \wedge be_4)$ . Expanding, the family of lines from the first family is given as

 $a^{2}(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}) + ab(e_{1} \wedge e_{4} + e_{3} \wedge e_{2}) + b^{2}(e_{3} \wedge e_{4}),$ 

and the second is given as

$$a^{2}(e_{1} \wedge e_{3}) + ab(e_{1} \wedge e_{4} + e_{2} \wedge e_{3}) + b^{2}(e_{2} \wedge e_{4}).$$

Thus we get two conics lying in the two planes spanned by  $e_1 \wedge e_2$ ,  $e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_3 \wedge e_2$  and  $e_3 \wedge e_4$ , and  $e_1 \wedge e_3$ ,  $e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3$  and  $e_2 \wedge e_4$ . Since these vectors span  $\wedge^2 V$ , the two planes are complementary, and neither of them is contained in  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$ .

Now suppose that we have a plane conic  $C \subset \mathbb{G}(1,3)$ , where the span  $\Lambda$  of C, is not contained in  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$ . In this case, by reasons of degree,  $C = \Lambda \cap \mathbb{G}(1,3)$ .

Suppose that when we take two general points of the conic the corresponding lines l and m intersect in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Pick a third point, corresponding to a third line n. If there is a common point p to all three then the conic C meets the plane  $\Sigma_p$  in three points, so that the conic C must contain the line  $\Sigma_p \cap \Lambda$ , a contradiction. But then l, m and n must be coplanar (they lie in the plane spanned H by the three intersection points  $m \cap n$ ,  $l \cap n$  and  $l \cap m$ ). In this case C contains three points of the plane  $\Sigma_H$ , so that it contains the line  $\Lambda \cap \Sigma_H$ , a contradiction.

So now we know that two general points of C correspond to two skew lines. There are two ways to finish. Here is the first. We may find three points of C which correspond to three skew lines l, n and m. Three skew lines have no moduli, that is, any three skew lines are projectively equivalent (proved in class), so there is an element  $\phi \in \text{PGL}_4(K)$  which carries these three lines to any other three.  $\phi$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V)$ , fixing  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$  and carries three points of the plane  $\Lambda$  to any other three points of  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$  which correspond to three skew lines. But any plane is determined by any three points which are not collinear and so we may assume that  $\Lambda$  is the plane coming from the quadric, as above.

Here is the second.  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$  is determined by a quadratic polynomial of maximal rank. This determines a bilinear form on  $\wedge^2 V$  (up to scalars). In particular given  $\Lambda$  there is a dual plane  $\Lambda'$ , which is complementary to  $\Lambda$  and is also not contained in  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$ . Let  $C' = \Lambda' \cap \mathbb{G}(1,3)$ , another smooth conic. Since  $\Lambda'$  is dual to  $\Lambda$  under the pairing determined by  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$  this says that if we pick  $[u \wedge v] \in C$  and  $[u' \wedge v'] \in C'$  then  $u \wedge v \wedge u' \wedge v' = 0$ , that is, the corresponding lines l and l' are concurrent.

So now we have two families of skew lines  $\{l\}$  and  $\{l'\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , such that a pair of lines from both families are concurrent. Pairs of lines from both families are parametrised by  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and we get a morphism

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3,$$

which sends pair (l, m) to  $l \cap m$ . This morphism has a bidegree, which must be (1, 1) since  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$  and  $\{q\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$  are both sent to a line. But then the image is the Segre, up to projective equivalence and C is just the family of lines of one ruling.

If  $\Lambda$  is contained in  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$  then  $\Lambda = \Sigma_p$  or  $\Sigma_H$ . In the first case, a conic in  $\Sigma_p$  is the same as the family of lines in a quadric cone (which automatically pass through the vertex p of the cone). If  $\Lambda = \Sigma_H$ , then a conic  $C \subset \Lambda$  is simply the family of tangent lines to a conic in H.

3. Let's warm up a little and see what happens if we start with the line m given by  $Z_2 = Z_3 = 0$ . Note that for each point p of this line we get a plane  $\Sigma_p \subset \mathbb{G}(1,3)$ . So we want a family of planes inside  $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$ . The natural guess is that this family is given by a hyperplane section. If we look at the hyperplane section  $p_{34} = 0$  we get a cone over a quadric in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . This is indeed covered by copies of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . The condition that  $p_{34} = 0$  means that that the term  $e_3 \wedge e_4$  does not appear, which is the condition that we meet the line m.

(a) Since a conic degenerates to a union of two intersecting lines, the equation defining this conic ought to be quadratic. Consider  $\lambda Z_1^2 - \mu Z_0 Z_2$ . If we let  $\lambda$  go to zero then we get  $Z_0 Z_2 = 0$ , the union of two lines. This gives the equation  $p_{14}p_{34}$ . On the other hand if we let  $\mu$  go to zero we get the line  $Z_1^2 = 0$  counted twice. This gives the equation  $p_{24}^2 = 0$ . So we guess the equation we want is some linear combination of  $p_{14}p_{34}$  and  $p_{24}^2 = 0$ . Let's guess

$$p_{14}p_{34} = p_{24}^2.$$

Now an open subset of points of the conic has the form  $[t^2 : t : 1 : 0]$ . Thus an open subset of the points of the Grassmannian which intersect this conic has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^2 & t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $p_{14} = t^2$ ,  $p_{34} = 1$  and  $p_{24} = t$ . Clearly these set of points satisfy the equation  $p_{14}p_{34} = p_{24}^2$ . Now suppose we start with a line lwhose Plücker coordinates satisfy this equation. Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a 2×4 matrix whose rows span the plane corresponding to l. If the last column is zero then  $p_{i4} = 0$  and the equation holds automatically. Applying elementary row operations, we may assume that the last column is the vector (0, 1). In this case  $p_{i4} = a_{1i}$  and the first row has the form  $(t^2, t, 1, 0)$  or it is equal to (1, 0, 0, 0). Either way, this corresponds to a point on the conic.

(b) Recall that the ideal of the twisted cubic C is generated by the three quadrics  $Q_0 = Z_0Z_3 - Z_1Z_2$ ,  $Q_1 = Z_1^2 - Z_0Z_2$ ,  $Q_2 = Z_2^2 - Z_1Z_3$ . Now note that a line l intersects the twisted cubic if and only if the restrictions of  $Q_0$ ,  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  to l span a vector space of dimension at most two.

Indeed if the line l intersects C then  $q_i = Q_i|_l$  all have a common zero and so cannot span the full space of quadratic polynomials on l, which has dimension three (and no common zeroes). Conversely if  $q_0$ ,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  span a vector space of dimension at most two then some linear combination  $Q = \lambda_0 Q_0 + \lambda_1 Q_1 + \lambda_2 Q_2$  contains the line l. In this case l is a line of one of the rulings of Q, C is a curve of type (2, 1) on  $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and so l intersects C in one (or two) point(s).

Consider the open subset U of the Grassmannian where  $p_{12} = 1$ , that is consider matrices of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Natural coordinates on any line  $l \in U$  are  $X = Z_0$  and  $Y = Z_1$ . In fact at the point  $[\lambda : \mu : \lambda a + \mu c : \lambda b + \mu d]$  of the line, we have

$$Z_0 = \lambda = X$$
  

$$Z_1 = \mu = Y$$
  

$$Z_2 = \lambda a + \mu c = aX + cY$$
  

$$Z_3 = \lambda b + \mu d = bX + dY$$

In this basis

$$q_0 = bX^2 + (d - a)XY - cY^2$$
  

$$q_1 = -aX^2 - cXY + Y^2$$
  

$$q_2 = a^2X^2 - (2ac - b)XY + (c^2 - d)Y^2.$$

It follows that the locus where are interested in is the rank two locus of the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} b & d-a & -c \\ -a & -c & 1 \\ a^2 & 2ac-b & c^2-d \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we expand this determinant then we get

$$-ad^{2} + ac^{2}d + bcd + 2a^{2}d - bc^{3} - 3abc + b^{2} - a^{3}.$$

$$4$$

Note that e = ad - bc is a determinant. Thus the term of degree four simplifies to

$$ac^{2}d - bc^{3} = c^{2}(ad - bc) = c^{2}e.$$

Note that  $a = -p_{23}/p_{12}$ ,  $b = -p_{24}/p_{12}$ ,  $c = p_{13}/p_{12}$ ,  $d = p_{14}/p_{12}$ , and  $e = p_{34}/p_{12}$ . Substituting and multiplying by  $p_{12}^3$  gives an equation of degree three in the Plücker coordinates,

 $p_{13}^2p_{34} + p_{23}p_{14}^2 - p_{24}p_{13}p_{14} + 2p_{23}^2p_{14} - 3p_{23}p_{24}p_{13} + p_{12}p_{24}^2 + p_{23}^3.$