4. More about rational functions

Definition 4.1. A *divisor* D on \mathbb{P}^1 is a formal linear combination of points with integral coefficients

$$D = \sum_{p} n_{p} p,$$

where p ranges over the points of \mathbb{P}^1 and only finitely many $n_p \neq 0$. The **degree** of D is equal to $\sum_p n_p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let f(z) = P(z)/Q(z) be a rational function. Suppose that we write $f(1/z) = P_1(z)/Q_1(z)$. The **divisor of zeroes of** f is

$$(f)_0 = \sum_p \operatorname{ord}_p P(z)p + \operatorname{ord}_0 P_1(z)q$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_p P(z)$ is the order of vanishing and q represents the point $\infty = [1:0]$. The **divisor of poles of** f, denoted $(f)_{\infty}$, is the divisor of zeroes of 1/f.

The divisor of f is the formal difference

$$(f) = (f)_0 - (f)_\infty.$$

The order of f is equal to the degree of $(f)_0$.

Proposition 4.2. Let f(z) = P(z)/Q(z) be a rational function. Then

- (1) The degree of (f) is zero. That is, the number of zeroes equals the number of poles, counted according to multiplicity.
- (2) The order of f is equal to the maximum of the degrees of P and Q.
- (3) For every $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the order of f(z) a is equal to the order of f. That is, the number of solutions of the equation

$$P(z)/Q(z) = a_z$$

counted according to multiplicity, is equal to the order of f.

Proof. Suppose that the degree of P is m and the degree of Q is n. Then $z^m P(1/z)$ and $z^n Q(1/z)$ are both polynomials which are non-vanishing at zero. Assume that $m \leq n$. Now

$$f(1/z) = \frac{P(1/z)}{Q(1/z)} = \frac{z^n P(1/z)}{z^n Q(1/z)} = z^{n-m} \frac{z^m P(1/z)}{z^n Q(1/z)}.$$

Thus f has a zero of order n - m at ∞ . The case m > n is similar. (1) and (2) follow.

We prove (3). The rational function

$$g(z) = f(z) - a = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} - a$$

has the same poles as f. Thus the degree of $(g)_{\infty}$ is the degree of $(f)_{\infty}$ which by (1) is the same as the degree of $(f)_0$ which is by definition the order of f. On the other hand, the order of f - a is the same as the order of g which by definition is the degree of $(g)_0$ which by (1) applied to g is the same as the order of $(g)_{\infty}$. This is (3).

In particular note that a rational function of order n defines a bijection from \mathbb{P}^1 to \mathbb{P}^1 if and only if n = 1.

Definition 4.3. A rational function of order one is called a Möbius transformation.

Note that the general function Möbius transformation has the form

$$z \longrightarrow \frac{az+b}{cz+d},$$

where a, b, c and d are complex numbers. Of course we require that az + b and cz + d don't have the same root, that is, we require that cz + d is not a scalar multiple of az + b.

On the other hand it is proved in a course on Galois Theory that the group of field automorphisms of K(x), where K is field and x is a transcendental element, is also of the same form, that is, the automorphism group consists of all transformations of the form

$$x \longrightarrow \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}.$$

In terms of \mathbb{P}^1 note that any element of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts naturally on \mathbb{C}^2 , whence on \mathbb{P}^1 . On the other hand any scalar matrix acts trivially on \mathbb{P}^1 .

Definition 4.4. $\operatorname{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the quotient of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by the subgroup of scalar matrices.

Thus a general element of $PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},$$

an equivalence class of matrices.

It is also easy to write down the action of $PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Indeed

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} aX + bY \\ cX + dY \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand [X : Y] = [X/Y : 1] = [z : 1], at least when $Y \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{split} [aX + bY : cX + dY] &= [(aX + bY)/(cX + dY) : 1] \\ &= [(a(X/Y) + b)/(c(X/Y) + d) : 1] \\ &= [(az + b)/(cz + d) : 1]. \end{split}$$

Note that the condition that cz + d is not a scalar multiple of az + b is exactly the condition that the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible. Finally note that the equality of transformations

$$z \longrightarrow \frac{\lambda a z + \lambda b}{\lambda c z + \lambda d} = \frac{a z + b}{c z + d}.$$

Putting all this together we have:

Proposition 4.5. The following groups

(1) the Möbius transformations
(2) automorphisms of the field C(z), and
(3) PGL₂(C)

are isomorphic.