9. Circles and lines

Back to the cross-ratio. Suppose we have z_1 , z_2 , z_3 , z_4 . I claim that the cross-ratio is real if and only if these four points lie on a circle.

Indeed the cross-ratio is equal to

$$\frac{z_2 - z_4}{z_2 - z_3} \frac{z_1 - z_3}{z_1 - z_4}$$

Taking arguments, the cross-ratio is real if and only if the difference

$$\arg(\frac{z_1 - z_3}{z_1 - z_4}) - \arg(\frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_4})$$

is 0 or $\pm \pi$.

The first angle is the angle $z_4z_1z_3$ and the second angle is the angle $z_3z_2z_4$. On the other hand, some classical Greek geometry says that if z_3 and z_4 are two points on a circle C then the angle subtended by z_3 and z_4 to two points on the circle C is always either the same or supplementary.

Another way to see that the cross-ratio is real, is to observe that it is enough to prove that the image of the real axis under a Möbius Transformation is a circle. Suppose that the transformation in question is the *inverse* of

$$w \longrightarrow \frac{aw+b}{cw+d} = z.$$

As z is real, we have

$$\frac{aw+b}{cw+d} = \frac{\bar{a}\bar{w}+b}{\bar{c}\bar{w}+\bar{d}}.$$

If one multiplies out we get

$$(a\bar{c} - c\bar{a})|w^{2}| + (a\bar{d} - c\bar{b})w + (b\bar{c}_{d}\bar{a})w + b\bar{d} - d\bar{b} = 0$$

The coefficient of x^2 and y^2 is the same, so either we get a straight line or a circle. If $a\bar{c} - c\bar{a} = 0$ then this is the equation of a straight line. Otherwise if we divide through by $a\bar{c} - c\bar{a} = 0$ and complete the square we get:

$$w + \frac{\bar{a}d - \bar{c}b}{\bar{a}c - \bar{c}a} \bigg| = \bigg| \frac{ad - bc}{\bar{a}c - \bar{c}a} \bigg|$$

Note that the equation of a circle, with centre z_0 and radius ρ can be written as

$$|z - z_0| = \rho.$$

Definition 9.1. Given two points z and z^* , we will say that these points are **inverse with respect to the circle** (aka symmetric with respect to the circle), if they are collinear with the centre, the product

of their distances to the centre is ρ^2 and they are on the same side of the circle.

If $p = z_0 + re^{i\theta}$ and the circle is

$$|z - z_0| = \rho.$$

then the inverse of p is $q = z_0 + (\rho^2/r)e^{i\theta}$. Let (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) denote the cross-ratio of z_1, z_2, z_3 and z_4 .

Theorem 9.2. Suppose that z_1 , z_2 and z_3 are three points of the circle C. Then z and z^* are inverse points if and only if

$$(z^*, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \overline{(z, z_1, z_2, z_3)}.$$

In particular if the circle C is the image of the real axis under a linear transformation then inverse points with respect to C correspond to complex conjugate points.

Proof. Note that the cross-ratio is invariant under linear transformations. We have

$$\overline{(z, z_1, z_2, z_3)} = \overline{(z - z_0, z_1 - z_0, z_2 - z_0, z_3 - z_0)}$$
$$= (\overline{z} - \overline{z_0}, \frac{\rho^2}{z_1 - z_0}, \frac{\rho^2}{z_2 - z_0}, \frac{\rho^2}{z_3 - z_0})$$
$$= (\frac{\rho^2}{\overline{z} - \overline{z_0}}, z_1 - z_0, z_2 - z_0, z_3 - z_0)$$
$$= (\frac{\rho^2}{\overline{z} - \overline{z_0}} + z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)$$
$$= (z^*, z_1, z_2, z_3),$$

where we applied the transformation $z \longrightarrow z - z_0$ to get the first line, we used the fact that for a point on the circle,

$$\overline{z'-z_0} = \frac{\rho^2}{z'-z_0}$$

to get from the first line to the second line, we applied the transformation

$$z \longrightarrow \frac{\rho^2}{z - z_0}$$

which is its own inverse, to get from the second line to the third line and we applied the transformation $zz + z_0 \longrightarrow$ to get from the third line to the fourth line

Corollary 9.3. Let C be a circle and let z and z^* be inverse points with respect to the cicle.

Suppose that the Möbius transformation f(x) carries the circle C to the circle C'. Then the images w and w^{*} are inverse points with respect to the circle C'.

Informaly, a Möbius transformation carries inverse points to inverse points. This result follows from the fact that if C is the image of the real axis by some linear transformation, then inverse points with respect to C correspond to complex conjugate points.

Now suppose that $z = z_0 + \rho e^{i\phi}$ is a point of the circle. Then

$$\frac{|\rho e^{i\phi} - re^{i\theta}|}{|\rho e^{i\phi} - \rho^2/re^{i\theta}|} = \frac{\rho}{r} \frac{|\rho e^{i\phi} - re^{i\theta}|}{|re^{i\phi} - re^{i\theta}|} = \frac{\rho}{r} \frac{|\rho e^{i\phi} - re^{i\theta}|}{|re^{i\phi} - \rho e^{i\theta}|} = \frac{\rho}{r} \frac{|\rho e^{i\phi - \theta} - r|}{|r - \rho e^{i\theta - \phi}|} = \frac{\rho}{r}.$$

This gives us another way to write down the equation of a circle.

$$\frac{|z-p|}{|z-q|} = k,$$

where k is a positive real constant, is the equation of a circle, such that p and q are inverse points of this circle. Indeed, we just need to find a circle, such that the points p and q are inverse, where

$$k = \frac{\rho}{r}.$$

The points on the circle are a fixed ratio from two points p and q; classically these are known as the circles of Apollonius.

In fact, there is another way to look at all of this. Suppose one looks at the linear transformation,

$$w = k \frac{z - a}{z - b}.$$

One way to study any transformation is to investigate what happens to circles and lines. Now if one starts with a circle of radius ρ , centre the origin, then the image of this circle has the equation

$$\frac{|z-a|}{|z-b|} = \frac{\rho}{|k|},$$

a circle of Apollonius.

It is interesting to look at this a little more. Given the two points a and b, one can also look at the one parameter family of circles that pass

through a and b. Thus we get two one dimensional families of circles, determined by a and b. The circles with inverse point a and b and the circles containing these two points. This is called a **Steiner system**, or **circular net**. This pair of families of circles enjoy many interesting properties. For example:

- (1) There is exactly one circle from each family through any point of the plane, other than either a or b.
- (2) Every circle from one system meets a circle of the other at right angles.
- (3) Reflection in a circle from one family, permutes the circles of the same family and fixes the circles of the other family.

Let's consider one seemingly special case, when when a = 0 and $b = \infty$. Circles containing both a and b are lines through the origin. Circles with these points as inverse points are circles centred at the origin.

Suppose that $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. There is exactly one line through the origin containing c and there is exactly one circle centred at the origin containing c.

A line through the origin is certainly orthogonal to a circle centred at the origin.

Reflection in a line through the origin certainly fixes a circle through the origin, and it certainly sends a line through the origin to another line through the origin. Similarly reflection in a circle centred at the origin fixes a line through the origin and sends a circle centred at the origin to a circle centred at the origin.

On the other hand, there is a Möbius transformation which carries two arbitrary points a and b to 0 and ∞ and this Möbius transformation preserves all three properties.