12. CLASSIFICATION OF SURFACES

The key to the classification of surfaces is the behaviour of the canonical divisor.

Definition 12.1. We say that a smooth projective surface is **minimal** if K_S is nef.

Warning: This is not the classical definition of a minimal surface.

Definition 12.2. Let S be a smooth projective surface. We say that a curve $C \subset S$ is a -1-curve if

$$K_S \cdot C = C^2 = -1.$$

Theorem 12.3 (Cone Theorem). Let S be a smooth projective surface.

Then there are countably many extremal rays R_1, R_2, \ldots of the closed cone of curves of S on which K_S is negative, such that

$$\overline{\operatorname{NE}}(S) = \overline{\operatorname{NE}}(S)_{K_X \ge 0} + \sum R_i.$$

Further, if $R = R_i$ is any one of these K_S -extremal rays then there is a birational morphism $\pi: S \longrightarrow Z$ which contracts a curve C if and only if C spans the ray R. There are three possibilities:

- (1) Z is a point and $S \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$.
- (2) $\pi: S \longrightarrow Z$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth curve Z.
- (3) $\pi: S \longrightarrow Z$ is a birational morphism contracting a -1-curve C, where Z is a smooth surface.

In particular the relative Picard number of π is one, each extremal ray R_i is spanned by a rational curve and if H is any ample divisor, there are only finitely many extremal rays R_i such that $(K_X+H)\cdot R < 0$.

Remark 12.4. The last two statements are sometimes informally stated as saying that the closed cone of curves is locally rational polyhedral on the K_S -negative side of the cone.

Theorem 12.5 (Castelnuovo). Let S be a smooth projective surface and let $C \subset S$ be a curve.

Then C is a -1-curve if and only if there is birational morphism $\pi: S \longrightarrow T$, which blows up a smooth point $p \in T$, with exceptional divisor C.

Theorem 12.6 (Abundance). Let S be a smooth projective surface. Then K_S is nef if and only if K_S is semiample.

Theorem 12.7 (Kodaira-Enriques). Let T be a smooth projective surface, with invariants $\kappa = \kappa(T)$, $p_g = p_g(T)$ and q = q(T). Then T is birational to a surface S which falls into the following list:
$$\begin{split} \kappa &= -\infty; \\ \text{Ruled surface } S &\simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times B \text{ where } B \text{ is a smooth curve of genus } q(S) = \\ g(B). \\ \kappa &= 0; \\ \text{Abelian surface } p_g = 1, q = 2. \ S &\simeq \mathbb{C}^2 / \Lambda. \\ \text{Bielliptic } p_g &= 0, q = 1. \ \text{There is a Galois cover of } \tilde{S} \longrightarrow S \text{ of } \\ order \text{ at most } 12 \text{ such that } \tilde{S} &\simeq E \times F, \text{ where } E \text{ and } F \text{ are } \\ elliptic \text{ curves.} \\ \text{K3 surface } p_g = 1, q = 0. \\ \text{Enriques surface } p_g = 0, q = 0. \ \text{There is an étale cover } \tilde{S} \longrightarrow S \text{ of order } \\ two, \text{ such that } \tilde{S} \text{ is a } K3\text{-surface.} \\ \kappa = 1; \\ \text{Elliptic fluction there is a contraction morphism } \mathbb{P} \in S \\ \end{split}$$

Elliptic fibration there is a contraction morphism $\pi: S \longrightarrow B$ with general fibre a smooth curve of genus one. $P_m(S) > 0$ for all m divisible by 12.

$$\kappa = 2$$
:

General type ϕ_m is birational for all $m \ge 5$. In particular $P_m(X) > 0$ for all $m \ge 5$.

In particular $\kappa \geq 0$ if and only if $P_{12} \geq 0$.

Definition 12.8. Let X be a normal projective variety, let D be a nef divisor and let E be any divisor. The **nef threshold** is the largest multiple of E we can add to D, whilst preserving the nef condition:

$$\lambda = \sup\{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid D + tE \text{ is } nef \}.$$

Definition 12.9. Let X be a projective scheme and let D be a nef divisor. The **numerical dimension** $\nu(X, D)$ of D is the largest positive integer such that $D^k \cdot H^{n-k} > 0$, where H is an ample divisor.

Note that if D is semiample then $\kappa(D) = \nu(D)$. We will need the following easy:

Lemma 12.10. Let X be a normal projective variety and let D be a nef \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor.

- (1) If $\nu(D) = 0$ then D is semiample if and only if $\kappa(D) = 0$.
- (2) If $\nu(D) = 1$ then D is semiample if and only if $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) \ge 2$, for some m > 0.

In particular if $\nu(D) \leq 1$ then D is semiample if and only if $\nu(D) = \kappa(D)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\nu(D) = 0$. Then D is numerically trivial and it is semiample if and only if it is torsion. As $\kappa(D) = 0$, $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B \ge 0$ and since B is numerically trivial, in fact B = 0.

Suppose that $\nu(D) = 1$. Pick *m* so that |mD| contains a pencil. We may as well assume that m = 1. We may decompose this linear system into mobile and fixed part:

$$|D| = |M| + F.$$

Let $B_i \in |D|$, $B_1 \neq B_2$. Then we may write $B_i = C_i + F$. By assumption D is not numerically trivial but

$$0 = D^2 \cdot H^{n-2} = (C_1 + F) \cdot D \cdot H^{n-2} \ge C_1 \cdot D \cdot H^{n-2}.$$

As C_1 moves we must have

 $C_1 \cdot C_2 \cdot H^{n-2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 \cdot F \cdot H^{n-2} = 0.$

In particular $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. Thus |M| is base point free and we get a morphism $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $f: X \longrightarrow \Sigma$ be the Stein factorisation. By assumption C_1 and C_2 are two different fibres. We have $C_1 \cap F = \emptyset$. Thus F is supported on the fibres of f. As it is Cartier and nef, it must be a multiple of a fibre. But then F is semiample and so D is semiample. \Box

Definition 12.11. Let $\pi: X \longrightarrow U$ be a projective morphism.

The **relative cone of curves** is the cone generated by the classes of all curves contracted by π ,

$$\overline{\operatorname{NE}}(X/U) = \{ \alpha \in \operatorname{NE}(X) \, | \, \pi_* \alpha = 0 \}.$$

We say that a Q-Cartier divisor H is π -ample (aka relatively ample, aka ample over U) if mH is relatively very ample (that is, there is an embedding i of X into $\mathbb{P}^n_U = \mathbb{P}^n \times U$ over U such that $\mathcal{O}_X(mH) = i^*\mathcal{O}(1)$).

We say that an \mathbb{R} -divisor is relatively ample if and only if it is a positive linear combination of relatively ample \mathbb{Q} -divisors.

Note that if U is projective, then H is relatively ample if and only if there is an ample divisor G on U, such that $H + \pi^*G$ is ample. Note also that an \mathbb{R} -divisor is relatively ample if and only if it defines a positive linear functionall on $\overline{NE}(X/U) - \{0\}$. Note that many of the definitions for \mathbb{Q} -divisors extend to \mathbb{R} -divisors. In particular, the property of being nef and the numerical dimension.

Proof of (12.3). Pick an extremal ray $R = \mathbb{R}^+ \alpha$ of the closed cone of curves. We may pick an ample \mathbb{R} -divisor H such that

$$(K_S + H) \cdot \beta \ge 0,$$

for all $\beta \in \overline{NE}(S)$ with equality if and only if $R = \mathbb{R}^+\beta$. In particular $D = K_S + H$ is a nef \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor. The key technical point is to establish that R is rational, so that we may choose H to be an ample

Q-divisor. In fact we will prove much more, we will prove that R is spanned by a curve. Let $\nu = \nu(S, D)$. There are three cases:

- $\nu = 0$,
- $\nu = 1$, and
- $\nu = 2$.

If $\nu = 0$, then $K_S + H$ is numerically trivial, and $-K_S$ is numerically equivalent to H. In other words $-K_S$ is ample. Moreover every curve C spans R. Thus S is a Fano surface of Picard number one and it follows that $S \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Note that R is rational in this case.

If $\nu = 1$, then we will defer the proof that R is rational. So assume that H is rational. We first prove that D is semiample. Consider asymptotic Riemann-Roch. $D^2 = 0$, by assumption.

$$D \cdot (-K_S) = D \cdot H > 0,$$

also by assumption. Thus $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD))$ grows linearly. Since

$$h^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S(mD)) = h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K_S - mD)) = 0,$$

for *m* sufficiently large, it follows that there is a positive integer m > 0such that |mD| contains a pencil. By (12.10) it follows that *D* is semiample. Let *F* by the general fibre of the corresponding morphism $\pi: S \longrightarrow C$. Then *F* is a smooth irreducible curve, $F^2 = 0$ and $-K_S \cdot F > 0$. By adjunction,

$$0 > (K_S + F) \cdot F = K_F = 2g - 2.$$

It follows that g = 0 so that $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover since $R = \mathbb{R}^+[F]$ is extremal, the relative Picard number is one and so there are no reducible fibres. By direct classification it follows that there are no singular fibres. Thus π is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle.

If $\nu = 2$ then D is big but not ample. As D is nef $D^2 > 0$. By continuity there is an ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor G such that $(K_S + G)^2 > 0$ and $(K_S + G) \cdot G > 0$, where H - G is ample. Thus $K_S + G$ is big. By Kodaira's Lemma, $K_S + G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A + E$, where A is ample and $E \ge 0$. Now

$$(K_S + G) \cdot \alpha = D \cdot \alpha - (H - G) \cdot \alpha < 0.$$

On the other hand

$$0 > D \cdot \alpha = A \cdot \alpha + E \cdot \alpha > E \cdot \alpha.$$

It follows that α is spanned by a component of E so that $R = \mathbb{R}^+[C]$, for some component C of E. In particular R is rational and we may choose H to be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor. We have

$$0 > (K_S + C) \cdot C = K_C = 2g - 2.$$

Thus g = 0 and C is a -1-curve.

Replacing H by a multiple, we may assume that H is very ample (for the time being, we only need that it is Cartier) and $K_S + H$ is ample. Suppose that $H \cdot C = m > 0$. We may always assume that m > 1 (simply replace H by a multiple). If G = H + (m - 2)C, then G is big and it is nef, since $G \cdot C = 2$. In particular G is ample by Nakai-Moishezon. Let $D = K_S + C + G$. Since we may write

$$O = (K_S + H) + C,$$

the stable base locus of D is contained in C. In particular for every curve $\Sigma \subset S$,

$$D \cdot \Sigma \ge 0,$$

with equality if and only if $\Sigma = C$. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D-C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now $\mathcal{O}_C(D) = \mathcal{O}_C$, since $D|_C$ is a divisor of degree zero on \mathbb{P}^1 . On the other hand,

$$H^{1}(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(D-C)) = H^{1}(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(K_{S}+G)) = 0,$$

by Kodaira vanishing. Thus there are no base points of D on C, so that D is semiample, and the resulting morphism $\pi: S \longrightarrow Z$ contracts C.

It remains to prove that Z is smooth. Consider the ample divisor $K_S + G$. We may always pick H very ample. In this case, I claim that $K_S + G$ is base point free (in fact it is very ample). The base locus is supported on C. Consider the exact

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(K_S + G - C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(K_S + G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(K_S + G) \longrightarrow 0.$

Then $\mathcal{O}_C(K_S + G) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. As before,

$$H^{1}(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(K_{S} + H + (m-3)C) = 0,$$

by Kodaira vanishing. Thus $K_S + G$ is base point free. Pick a general curve $\Sigma' \in |K_S + G|$. Then this must intersect C transversally at a single smooth point. But then $\Sigma = \pi_* \Sigma'$ is a smooth curve in Z. On the other hand $\Sigma + C \in |D|$. Since |D| defines the contraction, the image of $\Sigma + C$, which is again Σ' is Cartier.

But any variety which contains a smooth Cartier divisor, is smooth in a neighbourhood of the divisor. Thus Z is smooth.