## 10. RIEMMAN MAPPING THEOREM

Recall that a region U is by definition simply connected if every closed path is homotopic to a constant path. This is equivalent to the condition that  $\mathbb{P}^1 - U$  is connected. Recall that we say that a map  $f: U \longrightarrow V$  between two regions U and V is biholomorphic if it is a bijection and both f and its inverse are holomorphic (equivalently the derivative of f is nowhere zero). We say that a region U is proper if it is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is, U is neither empty nor the whole of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

If z is a complex number then z > 0 means that z is real (and greater than zero).

**Theorem 10.1** (Riemann Mapping Theorem). Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a simply connected proper open subset.

Then U is biholomorphic to the interior of the unit disk, that is, there is a biholomorphic map

$$f: U \longrightarrow \Delta,$$

where

$$\Delta = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1 \}.$$

Moreover if one fixes  $z_0 \in U$  then there is a unique such map such that  $f(z_0) = 0$  and  $f'(z_0) > 0$ .

Obviously this is a key result, which is very striking. In fact this result also has some very important practical applications as well.

We first observe that uniqueness is clear. Indeed, if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are two such mappings, then the composition,

$$g = f_1 \circ f_2^{-1} \colon \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta,$$

is a map such that g(0) = 0 and g'(0) > 0. Recall that by the Schwarz Lemma an automorphism of the unit disk, which fixes the origin, is of the form  $z \longrightarrow az$ , for some complex number a of absolute value 1. It follows that g(z) = z, so that  $f_1 = f_2$ .

Note also that  $\mathbb{C}$  is not biholomorphic to the unit disk, by Liouville's Theorem. Thus it is crucial that U is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We now turn to existence. The idea is to consider the family

 $\mathfrak{F} = \{ f \colon U \longrightarrow \Delta \mid f \text{ is holomorphic, injective, } f(z_0) = 0 \text{ and } f'(z_0) > 0. \}$ 

It will turn out that the map we are looking for is the unique element whose derivative at  $f'(z_0)$  is maximal. The proof of this fact has three parts,

- (1) Show that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is non-empty.
- (2) Show that there is an element whose derivative is maximal.
- (3) Show that this element has the required properties.

We start with the first and last part.

Let U be a domain and let (X, d) be a metric space. We will be interested in families (that is, sets)  $\mathfrak{F}$  of continuous functions from U to X

**Definition 10.2.** A family  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be **normal** on U if every sequence of functions  $f_n$  has a subsequence which converges uniformly on every compact subset of U.

Note one subtle part of the definition. We do not require the limit f to be an element of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Theorem 10.3.** A family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of holomorphic functions is normal if and only if the functions are uniformly bounded on compact subsets.

Recall two results:

Theorem 10.4 (Weierstrass). Let

$$U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \ldots,$$

be an infinite sequence of domains whose union is U. Suppose that  $f_n(z)$  is a sequence of holomorphic function on  $U_n$ , which tends to a limit function f(z) on U, uniformly on compact subsets.

Then f(z) is holomorphic. Moreover  $f'_n(z)$  converges uniformly on compact subsets to f'(z).

**Theorem 10.5** (Hurwitz). Suppose that the holomorphic functions  $f_n(z)$  converge to a function f(z) on U, uniformly on compact subsets.

If the functions  $f_n(z)$  are nowhere zero then either f(z) is identically zero or it is nowhere zero.

Assuming (10.3), we are now ready to complete the proof of the Riemann Mapping Theorem:

*Proof of* (10.1). We first show that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is non-empty.

Pick a point  $a \notin U$ . As U is simply connected it is possible to define a single branch h(z) of  $\sqrt{z-a}$  on U. Then h is injective. Moreover if h takes the value w it does not take the value -w. By the open mapping theorem the image of h contains a disc  $|w - h(z_0)| < \rho$  and so it does not intersect the disc  $|w + h(z_0)| < \rho$ . Equivalently, if  $z \in U$ then  $|h(z) + h(z_0)| \ge \rho$ . In particular  $|2h(z_0)| \ge \rho$ .

We now check that

$$f(z) = \frac{\rho}{4} \frac{|h'(z_0)|}{|h(z_0)|^2} \frac{h(z) - h(z_0)}{h(z) + h(z_0)}$$

belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . f(z) is injective as it is the composition of h(z) with a Möbius transformation. Clearly  $f(z_0) = 0$ .

$$f'(z_0) = \frac{\rho}{8} \frac{|h'(z_0)|}{|h(z_0)|^2} > 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\left|\frac{h(z) - h(z_0)}{h(z) + h(z_0)}\right| = |h(z_0)| \cdot \left|\frac{1}{h(z_0)} - \frac{2}{h(z) + h(z_0)}\right| \le \frac{4|h(z_0)|}{\rho}.$$

Thus  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  and so  $\mathfrak{F}$  is non-empty.

Let B be a least upper bound for the derivatives  $f'(z_0)$  as f ranges in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Pick  $g_i \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $g'_i(z_0)$  approaches B. By (10.3)  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a normal family. Therefore we may find a subsequence which converges to a holomorphic function f(z). By (10.4)  $f'(z_0) = B > 0$ . Clearly  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  on U, so that in fact |f(z)| < 1 on U by the open mapping theorem.

Now f is not constant as  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ . Let  $z_1 \in U$ . Consider the functions  $g_1(z) = g(z) - g(z_1)$ , where  $g \in \mathfrak{F}$ . They are nowhere zero on  $U - \{z_1\}$ , as g is injective. As  $f(z) - f(z_1)$  is a limit of such functions, and  $f(z) - f(z_1)$  is not identically zero, (10.5) implies that  $f(z) - f(z_1)$  is nowhere zero. But then f is injective. Thus  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  and f(z) is an element of  $\mathfrak{F}$  with maximal derivative at  $z_0$ .

Suppose that f is not surjective. Pick  $w_0$  not in the image. As U is simply connected, we may find a holomorphic branch for

$$F(z) = \sqrt{\frac{f(z) - w_0}{1 - \bar{w}_0 f(z)}}$$

Note that F is the composition of f, the automorphism of the unit disc

$$z \longrightarrow \frac{z - w_0}{1 - \bar{w}_0 z},$$

and the square root. Thus F is injective and |F(z)| < 1. Let

$$G(z) = \frac{|F'(z_0)|}{F'(z_0)} \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{1 - \overline{F(z_0)}F(z)}$$

Note that G is the composition of F and the automorphism of the unit disc

$$z \longrightarrow \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{1 - \overline{F(z_0)}z}.$$

Thus G is injective and |G(z)| < 1. Clearly  $G(z_0) = 0$ . Moreover  $G'(z_0) > 0$ . In fact

$$G'(z_0) = \frac{|F'(z_0)|}{1 - |F(z_0)|^2} = \frac{1 + |w_0|}{2\sqrt{|w_0|}}B > B,$$

a contradiction.

The key point about the definition of G is as follows. We can use the formula for G to express f as a function of w = G(z), which induces a map of the unit disc |w| < 1 to itself. The inequality

$$f'(z_0) < G'(z_0),$$

is then a consequence of Schwarz's lemma.

We now turn to the proof of (10.3). We will need to develop a lot of the theory of metric spaces and function spaces.