3. Divisibility

Definition 3.1. The *integers* are the set of numbers of the form

 $\{\ldots, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots\}$

So 3356 is an integer but 1/2 is not. Note that we can add, subtract and multiply two integers. For example

> $2+3=5, \quad 6-8=-2$ and $2 \cdot 3 = 6.$

But we cannot always divide. For example we cannot divide 2 into 1 (and get an integer).

Definition 3.2. Let *m* and *n* be two integers.

We say m **divides** n, denoted $m \mid n$, if there is an integer k such that n = km.

For example, 2 divides 6 as $6 = 3 \cdot 2$ (explicitly, n = 6, m = 3 and k = 2). 3 also divides 6 as $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ (explicitly, n = 6, m = 2 and k = 3). But 5 does not divide 7. How would we prove this?

Suppose that 5 does divide 7. Then we could find an integer k such that 7 = k5 = 5k. What can we say about k? k > 0, that is, k is positive, as 5 and 7 are positive. If k = 1 then $k5 = 5 \neq 7$, too small. If $k \geq 2$ then

$$5k \ge 5 \cdot 2$$
$$= 10$$
$$> 7,$$

too large. Note that we have exhausted all possible choices for k, since either $k \leq 0$, or k = 1, or k > 1. Thus there is no integer k such that 5k = 7 and so 5 does not divide 7.

We record some basic properties of divisibility:

Lemma 3.3. Every integer is divisible by 1.

Proof. Let n be an integer. Then $n = n \cdot 1$, so that 1 divides n.

Lemma 3.4. Every integer divides 0.

Proof. Let n be an integer. Then $0 = 0 \cdot n$, so that n divides 0.

Here is a slightly more interesting result:

Lemma 3.5. Let a and b be integers.

If a divides b and b is non-zero then $|a| \leq |b|$.

Proof. By assumption we may find an integer k such that b = ka.

Claim 3.6. $k \neq 0$.

Proof of (3.6). Suppose not, suppose that k = 0. Then

$$b = ka$$
$$= 0a$$
$$= 0,$$

which contradicts our assumption that b is non-zero. Thus $k \neq 0$. \Box

We have

$$|b| = |ka|$$

= |k||a|
$$\geq 1 \cdot |a|$$

= |a|.

Note that the proof of (3.5) hides something important. How do we know in the first place that we need to check $k \neq 0$?

Before we write down a clean proof of (3.5), we try a few things on a piece of scratch paper. The obvious thing to do is start with the equality b = ka and take the absolute value, as in the last step of the proof. We are happy with this, until we realise that we don't know

 $|k||a| \ge |a|,$

unless we know $k \neq 0$. So then we realise we need to check $k \neq 0$.

But when we write down the proof, we hide all the details of how we constructed the proof in the first place.