MODEL ANSWERS TO THE THIRD HOMEWORK

2.2.1. It is convenient to use polar coordinates. Every complex number $\alpha = x + iy$ has an expression of the form

$$\alpha = re^{i\theta}$$

where r is the distance to the origin and θ is the angle the line, connecting the origin to (x, y), makes with the x-axis. In this case

$$s(\alpha) = r^2.$$

Suppose that we are given two Gaussian integers

$$\alpha = a + ib = re^{i\theta}$$
 and $\beta = c + id = se^{i\phi}$.

Then

$$\alpha\beta = (re^{i\theta})(se^{i\phi})$$
$$= (rs)e^{i(\theta+\phi)}.$$

Thus

$$s(\alpha\beta) = r^2 s^2$$

= $s(\alpha)s^2$
 $\geq s(\alpha),$

with equality if and only if $s(\beta) = 1$. But $s(\beta) = 1$ implies $c^2 + d^2 = 1$ so that $c = \pm 1$ and d = 0 or c = 0 and $d = \pm 1$. In this case

$$\beta = \pm 1$$
 or $\beta = \pm i$,

is a unit.

2.2.3. We may suppose that m > 0. Suppose that m = ab where both a > 1 and b > 1 and $a \le b$. Then

$$m = ab$$

> a^2 ,

so that $a \leq \sqrt{m}$. Thus if m is not prime it has a divisor $1 < d \leq \sqrt{m}$. The Gaussian integers α such that $s(\alpha) = 1$ are precisely the units. If $\alpha = a + bi$ is a Gaussian integer then $s(\alpha) = a^2 + b^2$. Thus the possible values of $1 < s(\alpha) \leq 9$ are $2 = 1^2 + 1^2$, $4 = 2^2 + 0^2$, $5 = 2^2 + 1^2$, $8 = 2^2 + 2^2$ and $9 = 3^2 + 0^2$. On the other hand, if α is a non-zero Gaussian integer, then we can always find a unit u such that $u\alpha = c + di$ lies in the first quadrant, so that c > 0 and $d \geq 0$. If $s(\alpha) = 2$ then $a = \pm 1$ and $b = \pm 1$. Multiplying by a unit our first prime is $p_1 = 1 + i$. (1 + i)(1 - i) = 2, so 2 is not prime and neither is 2 + 2i = 2(1 + i).

If $s(\alpha) = 4$ then $a = \pm 2$ and b = 0 or vice-versa. We have already seen that 2 is not a prime. If $s(\alpha) = 5$ then $a = \pm 2$ and $b = \pm 1$ or vice-versa. This gives us eight possibilities. Of those eight possibilities, two lie in the first quadrant, 2 + i and 1 + 2i. These are the second $p_2 = 2 + i$ and third $p_3 = 1 + 2i$ primes up to units. The product of p_1 with p_2 or p_3 has norm squared bigger than nine. If $s(\alpha) = 8$ then $a = \pm 2$ and $b = \pm 2$. We have already seen that this is not prime. Finally suppose that $s(\alpha) = 9$ then $a = \pm 3$ and b = 0 or vice-versa. This gives one new prime, up to units, $p_4 = 3$.

Thus there are four primes α , 2, 2 + i, 1 + 2i and 3, up to units, such that $s(\alpha) \leq 9$.

2.2.5. (a) Suppose that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ divides both 2 and x. As f(x) divides 2 it must be a constant. Thus $f(x) = a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an integer. As this integer divides 2, $f(x) = \pm 1$ or $f(x) = \pm 2$. It is easy to see that ± 2 does not divide x. Thus the only common divisors of 2 and x are ± 1 and so the greatest common divisor is 1.

(b) If $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ were a Euclidean domain then we could find polynomials p(x) and $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that

$$1 = 2p(x) + xq(x).$$

As the constant term of xq(x) is zero and the constant term of 2p(x) is even, it follows that the constant term of the RHS is even, a contradiction.

2.2.9. Let

$$p(n) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let k be the largest integer such that $2^k \leq n$. Note that no other natural number between 1 and n is divisible by 2^k . Thus if we multiply both sides by 2^{k-1} every term

$$\frac{2^{k-1}}{i} \qquad \text{for} \qquad 1 \le i \le n, \qquad i \ne 2^k,$$

of the sum is odd.

As the sum of rational numbers with an odd denominator, has an odd denominator, it follows that $2^{k-1}p(n)$ is a sum of 1/2 and a rational number an with odd denominator. In particular p(n) is not an integer. 2.3.1. We find the greatest common divisor of 2072 and 1813. First we divide 1813 into 2072. We have

$$2072 = 1 \cdot \frac{1813}{2} + 259,$$

so that the quotient is 1 and the remainder is 259. Now we divide 259 into 1813. We have

$$1813 = 7 \cdot 259$$

so that the quotient is 7 and the remainder is 0. Thus the greatest common divisor is 259.

Note that

$$2589 = 11 \cdot 259,$$

so that we can solve these equations. Note that

$$2072 - 1813 = 259$$

Multiplying through by 7 gives a solution to the equation

$$2072x + 1813y = 2849$$

Thus the general solution is

x = 1813k + 7 and y = -(2072k + 7).

2.3.3 Note that

 $1 = 1 \cdot 20 - 1 \cdot 19.$

Thus

$$1909 = 1909 \cdot 20 - 1909 \cdot 19.$$

We are free to subtract $19k \cdot 20$ from the first sum and add $20k \cdot 19$ from the second sum. Thus the general solution to the equation

19x + 20y = 1909 is x = -1909 + 20k, y = 1909 - 19k.

If we want the first term to be positive we want

20k > 1909,

so that $k \ge 96$. If we want the second term to be positive we want

19k < 1909,

so that $k \leq 100.$ The five solutions that lie in the interior of the first quadrant are

$$(x, y) = (11, 85)$$
 (31, 66) (51, 47) (71, 28) (91, 9).

2.3.7 We already know that the sum

by + cz

takes on any multiple of (b, c). Thus

$$by + cz = (b, c)\alpha,$$

for some integer α . It follows that a solution of the equation

$$ax + by + cz = d$$

is the same as a solution of the pair of equations

$$ax + (b, c)u = d$$
$$by + cz = (b, c).$$

The general solution to the second equation is

$$y = y_0 + \frac{c}{(b,c)}s \qquad \text{and} \qquad$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ is any integer. Observe that

$$(a, b, c) = (a, (b, c)).$$

Therefore the general solution to the first equation is

$$x = x_0 + \frac{(b,c)}{(a,b,c)}t$$
 and $u = u_0 - \frac{a}{(a,b,c)}t.$

Thus the general solution to the equation

$$ax + by + cz = d$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_0 + \frac{(b,c)}{(a,b,c)}t \\ y &= y_0 u_0 - \frac{ay_0}{(a,b,c)}t + \frac{c}{(b,c)}s \\ z &= z_0 - \frac{az_0}{(a,b,c)}t - \frac{b}{(b,c)}s. \end{aligned}$$

2.4.1 We first find the greatest common divisor. We have

$$231896 = 1 \cdot 198061 + 33835$$

$$198061 = 5 \cdot 33835 + 28886$$

$$33835 = 1 \cdot 28886 + 4949$$

$$28886 = 5 \cdot 4949 + 4141$$

$$4949 = 1 \cdot 4141 + 808$$

$$4141 = 5 \cdot 808 + 101$$

$$808 = 8 \cdot 101.$$

Thus the greatest common divisor is 101. It follows that

$$[198061, 231896] = \frac{198061 * 231896}{101} = 454748056.$$

2.4.2 Suppose that (a, b) = d and [a, b] = m. As d|a and a|m it follows that d|m.

Now suppose that d|m. Let a = d and b = m. Then d|a and d|m and so it clear that (a, b) = d. Similarly a|m and b|m and so it is clear that [a, b] = m.

2.4.3. (a) We may assume that $y \ge z$. In this case

 $\max(y, z) = y.$

Thus the LHS is

 $\min(x, y).$

There are two cases. If $x \leq y$ then the LHS is x. In this case

$$\min(x, y) = x$$
 and $\min(x, z) = x$.

Thus the RHS is also x.

Otherwise x > y. In this case the LHS is y and

$$\min(x, y) = y$$
 and $\min(x, z) = z$

Thus the RHS is

$$\max(y, z) = y,$$

as well. Either way we have equality.

(b) We may find common prime factorisations

$$a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_l^{e_l}$$
 $b = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \dots p_l^{f_l}$ and $c = p_1^{g_1} p_2^{g_2} \dots p_l^{g_l}$.

We can compute the LHS and the RHS prime by prime. The exponent of p_i on the LHS is

$$\min(e_i, \max(f_i, g_i))$$

and the exponent of p_i on the RHS is

 $\max(\min(e_i, f_i), \min(e_i, g_i)).$

As these are equal, we have

$$(a, [b, c]) = ([a, b], [c, d]).$$

(c) Note first that

$$\max(x, \min(y, z)) = -\min(-x, \max(-y, -z)) = -\max(\min(-x, -y), \min(-x, -z)) = \min(\max(x, y), \min(x, z)).$$

We check that

$$[a, (b, c)] = ([a, b], [a.c]).$$

We pick common factorisations into primes, as in (b) and check this result prime by prime. The exponent of p_i on the LHS is

$$\max(e_i, \min_5(f_i, g_i))$$

and the exponent of p_i on the RHS is

$$\min(\max(e_i, f_i), \max(e_i, g_i)).$$

As these are equal, we have

$$[a, (b, c)] = ([a, b], [a.c]).$$

Let a = b = 1 and c = 0. Then

a + bc = 1 + 0 = 1 and $(a + b)(a + c) = (1 + 1)(1 + 0) = 2 \neq 1$. Thus

$$a + bc \neq (a + b)(a + c).$$