MODEL ANSWERS TO THE FIFTH HOMEWORK

3.2.2 Suppose that u_1 and u_2 are two units. Then there are two elements of the ring, v_1 and v_2 , such that $u_1v_1 = 1 = u_2v_2$. We have

$$(u_1v_1)(u_2v_2) = (u_1v_1)(u_2v_2)$$

= 1 \cdot 1
= 1

Thus u_1u_2 is a unit. Thus the units are closed under multiplication and there is a well-defined multiplication of units. Multiplication of units is associative as multiplication in the ring is associative. 1 is a unit and it plays the role of the identity. If u is a unit then there is an element v of the ring such that uv = 1. Then u is the inverse of v in the ring, so that v is a unit. But then v is the inverse of u in the units, so that the units are a group.

3.2.2 Suppose that $a \equiv b \mod m$. Then m divides a-b so that there is an integer k such that a-b = mk. Thus a = b+mk and b = a+(-k)m. Suppose that d divides b and d divides m. Then d divides a and so d is a common divisor of a and m. Conversely if d divides a and m then it divides b and so d is a common divisor of b and m. Thus a, m and b, m have the same common divisors.

In particular they have the same greatest common divisor.

3.2.6 It is enough to show this for one common residue system. Consider

$$S = \{ r \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -m/2 < r \le m/2 \}$$

Then 1 and $-1 \in S$ and $1^2 = (-1)^2$. 3.2.7 If n is odd then

$$(-1)^{n/d} = -1,$$

for every divisor d of n. Therefore

$$\sum_{d|n} (-1)^{n/d} \varphi(d) = \sum_{d|n} -\varphi(d)$$
$$= -\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d)$$
$$= -n.$$

Now suppose that n is even. Then we may write $n = 2^k m$ where $k \ge 1$ and m is odd. If d is a divisor of n then $d = 2^j c$, where c is a divisor of m and $0 \le j \le k$. Note that c is odd. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{d|n} (-1)^{n/d} \varphi(d) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{c|m} (-1)^{2^{k-j}m/c} \varphi(2^{j}c) \\ &= \sum_{c|m} \varphi(2^{k}) \varphi(c) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{c|m} \varphi(2^{j}) \varphi(c) \\ &= \sum_{c|m} (2^{k} - 2^{k-1}) \varphi(c) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{c|m} (2^{j} - 2^{j-1}) \varphi(c) - \sum_{k|m} \varphi(c) \\ &= (2^{k} - 2^{k-1}) \sum_{c|m} \varphi(c) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (2^{j} - 2^{j-1}) \sum_{c|m} \varphi(c) - \sum_{k|m} \varphi(c) \\ &= (2^{k} - 2^{k-1}) \varphi(m) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (2^{j} - 2^{j-1}) \varphi(m) - \varphi(m) \\ &= \varphi(m) (2^{k} - 2^{k-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (2^{j} - 2^{j-1}) - 1) \\ &= \varphi(m) (2^{k} - 2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1}) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

3.2.10 We apply the binomial theorem

$$(a+b)^{p} = a^{p} + {p \choose 1} a^{p-1}b + {p \choose 2} a^{p-2}b^{2} + {p \choose 3} a^{p-3}b^{3} + \dots + {p \choose p-1} ab^{p-1} + b^{p}$$

$$\equiv a^{p} + b^{p} \mod p.$$

Here we used the fact that

$$\binom{p}{i} = \frac{p!}{i!(p-1)!}$$

is a natural number divisible by p, as neither i! nor (p-i)! are divisible by p.

It follows that

$$(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots + a_n)^p \equiv a_1^p + a_2^p + \dots + a_n^p \mod p$$

by induction on n. In particular

$$n^{p} = (1 + 1 + 1 + \dots + 1)^{p}$$

$$\equiv 1^{p} + 1^{p} + \dots + 1^{p} \mod p$$

$$= 1 + 1 + 1 + \dots + 1$$

$$= n.$$

3.2.12 If m = 1 then d = 1 and in this case

$$arphi(ab) = arphi(a)arphi(b) \ = rac{darphi(a)arphi(b)}{\phi(d)}.$$

By symmetry we are also done if n = 1. Thus we may assume that m > 1 and n > 1. Suppose that

$$m = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_n^{e_t}$$
 and $n = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \dots p_n^{f_t}$

are the prime factorisations of m and n. It follows that the prime factorisation of d is

$$n = p_1^{g_1} p_2^{g_2} \dots p_t^{g_t}$$

where $g_i = \min(e_i, f_i)$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m) &= (p_1^{e_1} - p_1^{e_1 - 1})(p_2^{e_2} - p_2^{e_2 - 1}) \dots (p_t^{e_t} - p_t^{e_t - 1}) \\ \varphi(n) &= (p_1^{f_1} - p_1^{f_1 - 1})(p_2^{f_2} - p_2^{f_2 - 1}) \dots (p_t^{f_t} - p_t^{f_t - 1}) \\ \varphi(d) &= (p_1^{g_1} - p_1^{g_1 - 1})(p_2^{g_2} - p_2^{g_2 - 1}) \dots (p_t^{g_t} - p_t^{g_t - 1}), \end{aligned}$$

where all products only run over the primes with non-zero indices. Since we can prove this formula prime by prime, we may assume that $m = p^e$ and $n = p^f$ where p is a prime and e and f are natural numbers. Possibly switching m and n we may assume that $e \leq f$. In this case $d = p^e$ and we have

$$\frac{d\varphi(a)\varphi(b)}{\phi(d)} = \frac{p^e(p^e - p^{e-1})(p^f - p^{f-1})}{(p^e - p^{e-1})}$$
$$= p^e(p^f - p^{f-1})$$
$$= p^{e+f} - p^{e+f-1}$$
$$= \varphi(ab).$$

3.2.14 Suppose that

$$n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_n^{e_t}$$

is the prime factorisation of n. It follows that the prime factorisation of d is

$$d = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \dots p_t^{f_t}$$

where $f_i \leq e_i$. We have

$$\varphi(n) = (p_1^{e_1} - p_1^{e_1 - 1})(p_2^{e_2} - p_2^{e_2 - 1}) \dots (p_t^{e_t} - p_t^{e_t - 1})$$

$$\varphi(d) = (p_1^{f_1} - p_1^{f_1 - 1})(p_2^{f_2} - p_2^{f_2 - 1}) \dots (p_t^{f_t} - p_t^{f_t - 1})$$

Therefore it suffices to observe that if p is a prime and $f \leq e$ are natural numbers then $p^f - p^{f-1} = p^{f-1}(p-1)$ divides $p^{e-1} - p^e = p^{e-1}(p-1)$. 3.2.23 We first find the prime factorisation of 561,

$$561 = 3 \cdot 187$$
$$= 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$$

It follows that

 $a^2 \equiv 1 \mod 3$ $a^{10} \equiv 1 \mod 11$ and $a^{16} \equiv 1 \mod 17$. Note that 2, 10 and 16 all divide $2^4 \cdot 5 = 80$. Thus

$$a^{80} \equiv 1 \mod 3$$
 $a^{80} \equiv 1 \mod 11$ and $a^{80} \equiv 1 \mod 17$.

It follows that $a^{80} - 1$ is divisible by 3, 11 and 17. As these numbers are coprime, it follows that $a^{80} - 1$ is divisible by $3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 = 561$. Thus

$$a^{80} \equiv 1 \mod{561}.$$

As $560 = 7 \cdot 80$, it follows that

$$a^{560} = (a^{80})^7$$

 $\equiv 1^7 \mod 561$
 $= 1.$

Suppose that m is not square free. Then there is a prime p such that p^2 divides m. We may write $m = p^e l$, where e > 1 and l is coprime to p. Consider

$$a = lp^{e-1} + 1.$$

We have

$$a^{p} = (lp^{e-1} - 1)^{p}$$

= $(lp^{e-1})^{p} + {p \choose 1} (lp^{e-1})^{p-1} + \dots + {p \choose 1} (lp^{e-1}) + 1^{p}$
= 1 mod m.

Thus a has order p. Suppose that

$$a^{m-1} \equiv 1 \mod m.$$

Multiplying both sides by a we get

$$a \equiv a^m \mod m$$
$$= (a^p)^{lp^{e-1}}$$
$$\equiv (1)^{lp^{e-1}} \mod m$$
$$= 1.$$

Thus $a \equiv 1 \mod m$, which is absurd. Thus a^{m-1} is not equivalent, modulo m, to one.