14. Cauchys Theorem

We want to extend the definition of a line integral to the complex case. If we have a path γ we simply make the following definition:

$$\mathrm{d}z = \mathrm{d}x + i\,\mathrm{d}y$$

and use this to define the line integral in the natural way:

$$\int_{\gamma} h(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = \int_{\gamma} h(z) \, \mathrm{d}x + i \int_{\gamma} h(z) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Note that if you break a line integral into pieces one can think of the piece of curve from z_i to z_{i+1} as being approximated by

$$\mathrm{d}z = \mathrm{d}x + i\,\mathrm{d}y.$$

Example 14.1. Let us compute

$$\int_{\gamma} z^2 \, \mathrm{d}z,$$

where γ is the straight line segment from 0 to 1 + i.

We use the parametrisation

$$\gamma(t) = t + it$$
 where $t \in [0, 1].$

In this case

$$dz = dx + i \, dy$$
$$= dt + i \, dt$$
$$= (1 + i) dt.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \int_{\gamma} z^2 \, \mathrm{d}z &= \int_0^1 (t+it)^2 (1+i) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^1 t^2 (1+i)^3 \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= (1+i)^3 \left[\frac{t^3}{3} \right]_0^1 \\ &= \frac{(1+i)^3}{3} \\ &= -\frac{2}{3} (1-i). \end{split}$$

Example 14.2. Let us compute

$$\oint_{\substack{|z|=1\\1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z}.$$

Here the circle around the integral indicates we are integrating around a closed path and that we are traversing the path so that the interior is on the left. In practice this often means we go counterclockwise.

We use the parametrisation

$$\gamma(t) = e^{it}$$
 where $t \in [0, 2\pi].$

We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} = \frac{ie^{it}\mathrm{d}t}{e^{it}} \\ = i\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

It follows that

$$\oint_{|z|=1} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} = \int_0^{2\pi} i \,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$= 2\pi i.$$

Theorem 14.3 (Cauchy's Theorem). Let V be a region and let U be a bounded open subset whose boundary is the finite union of continuous piecewise smooth paths such that $U \cup \partial U \subset V$.

If the real and imaginary parts of the function $f: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ have continuous partial derivatives and they satisfy the Cauchy Riemann equations then

$$\int_{\partial U} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial U} f(z) \, \mathrm{d}z &= \int_{\partial U} (u + iv) \, \mathrm{d}(x + iy) \\ &= \int_{\partial U} u \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\partial U} v \, \mathrm{d}y + i \left(\int_{\partial U} v \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\partial U} u \, \mathrm{d}y \right) \\ &= \iint_{U} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y + i \iint_{U} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \iint_{U} 0 \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y + i \iint_{U} 0 \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &= 0. \qquad \Box$$

Example 14.4. Consider

$$\oint_{(x-1)^2/2 + (y-2)^2/3 = 1} (z+3)e^{z^2 - 5z + 6} \,\mathrm{d}z.$$

The integrand

$$(z+3)e^{z^2-5z+6}$$

is entire, as it is the product of a polynomial and the exponential of a polynomial. The curve is an ellipse, and it bounds the interior of the ellipse. Therefore Cauchy's theorem implies that the line integral is zero.