MODEL ANSWERS TO THE FOURTH HOMEWORK

1. Let s_1, s_2, \ldots be the partial sums of the alternating harmonic series

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5} + \dots$$

and let t_1, t_2, \ldots be the partial sums of the series

$$t = 1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{11} - \frac{1}{6} + \dots$$

We look at groups of four terms of the first series and compare them with three terms of the second series:

$$(t_{3n} - t_{3(n-1)}) - (s_{4n} - s_{4(n-1)})$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{4n-3} + \frac{1}{4n-1} - \frac{1}{2n}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4n-3} - \frac{1}{4n-2} + \frac{1}{4n-1} - \frac{1}{4n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4n-2} - \frac{1}{4n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (s_{2n} - s_{2(n-1)}).$$

Summing from 1 to n, we get a lot of cancelling and we get

$$t_{3n} - s_{4n} = \frac{1}{2}s_{2n}.$$

Taking the limit as n goes to ∞ we get

$$t - s = \lim_{n \to \infty} t_{3n} - \lim_{n \to \infty} s_{4n}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} (t_{3n} - s_{4n})$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} s_{2n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} s_{2n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} s,$$

so that

$$t-s = \frac{s}{2}.$$

Thus

$$t = \frac{3s}{2}.$$

2. We compare the first series with the integral

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x \ln x} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

The sum

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m-1} \frac{1}{n \ln n}$$

can be interpreted as a Riemann sum for the integral

$$\int_{2}^{m} \frac{1}{x \ln x} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

which is greater than the integral. We can evaluate the integral by subtitution:

$$\int_{2}^{m} \frac{1}{x \ln x} dx = \int_{\ln 2}^{\ln m} \frac{1}{u} du$$
$$= \left[\ln u \right]_{\ln 2}^{\ln m}$$
$$= \ln \ln m - \ln \ln 2.$$

Note this goes to infinity as m goes to infinity (really, really slowly). As the integral diverges, so does the sum.

We compare the second series with the integral

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x \ln^2 x} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

The sum

$$\sum_{n=3}^{m} \frac{1}{n \ln^2 n}$$

can be interpreted as a Riemann sum for the integral

$$\int_2^m \frac{1}{x \ln x} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

which is less than the integral. We can evaluate the integral by subtitution:

$$\int_{2}^{m} \frac{1}{x \ln^{2} x} dx = \int_{\ln 2}^{\ln m} \frac{1}{u^{2}} du$$
$$= \left[-\frac{1}{u} \right]_{\ln 2}^{\ln m}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\ln 2} - \frac{1}{\ln m}.$$

Now the second term goes to zero, as m goes to infinity. Thus the integral converges and so does the sum.

3. (a) We start with the standard power series for e^z and subtitute z with 2z:

$$e^{2z} = 1 + 2z + 2z^2 + \frac{4z^3}{3} + \frac{2^4z^4}{4!} + \dots$$

The radius of convergence is half of infinity, that is, infinity. (b) We take linear combinations of the power series

$$\cos z = 1 - \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^4}{4!} + \dots,$$

 $\sin z = z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots,$

to get

$$2\cos z - 3\sin z = 2\left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^4}{4!} + \dots\right) - 3\left(z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \right)$$
$$2 - 3z - z^2 + \frac{z^3}{2} + \frac{2z^4}{4!} + \dots$$

The radius of convergence is ∞ .

(c) We start with the power series

$$\sin z = z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots$$

and substitute z^2 for z

$$\sin z^2 = z^2 - \frac{z^6}{3!} + \dots$$

The radius of convergence is ∞ .

(d) We have

$$\frac{1}{3-2z} = \frac{1/3}{1-2/3z}.$$

We take the power series for the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{1-z} = 1 + z + z^2 + \dots$$

substitute 2z/3 for z and then multiply the result by 1/3:

$$\frac{1}{3-2z} = \frac{1/3}{1-2/3z}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2z}{9} + \frac{4z^2}{27} + \dots$$

The radius of convergence is 3/2.

(e) We take the power series for the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{1-z} = 1 + z + z^2 + \dots$$

and substitute z^2 for z

$$\frac{1}{1-z^2} = 1 + z^2 + z^4 + z^6 + \dots$$

The radius of convergence is 1.

(f) We could divide by 6 and substitute something of the form $az + bz^2$ for z in the geometric series.

It is easier to simply to use the method of partial fractions

$$\frac{2z-5}{6-5z+z^2} = \frac{A}{3-z} + \frac{B}{2-z}.$$

We get

$$2z - 5 = A(2 - z) + B(3 - z)$$

Plugging in z = 3 we see B = 1 and so A = 1. We get

$$\frac{2z-5}{6-5z+z^2} = \frac{1}{3-z} + \frac{1}{2-z}$$
$$= \frac{1/3}{1-z/3} + \frac{1/2}{1-z/2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{z}{9} + \frac{z^2}{27} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{4} + \frac{z^2}{8} + \dots$$
$$= \frac{5}{6} + \frac{13z}{36} + \left(\frac{1}{27} + \frac{1}{8}\right)z^2 + \dots$$

The radius of convergence is at least 2, the minimum of 2 and 3. But the LHS is not defined at z = 2 and so the radius of convergence is at most 2.

The radius of convergence is 2.

(g) We have

$$\frac{1}{1-z} = \frac{1}{1-i-(z-i)}$$
$$= \frac{1/(1-i)}{1-(z-i)/(1-i)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-i} + \frac{z-i}{(1-i)^2} + \frac{(z-i)^2}{(1-i)^3} + \dots$$

The radius of convergence is the radius of convergence of the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{1-z} = 1 + z + z^2 + \dots$$

divided by the reciprocal of the modulus of 1-i, that is, multiplied by the modulus of 1-i. The modulus of 1-i is $\sqrt{2}$ and so the radius of convergence is $\sqrt{2}$.

Indeed the centre of convergence is i and the function

$$\frac{1}{1-z}$$

is not defined at z = 1, whose distance to *i* is $\sqrt{2}$.

Challenge Problems: (Just for fun)

4. The gradient of xy = a at the point (x_0, y_0) is orthogonal to the tangent line at the point (x_0, y_0) and the gradient of $x^2 - y^2 = b$ at the point (x_1, y_1) is orthogonal to the the tangent line at the point (x_1, y_1) . So we just have to show that the gradients at the same point are orthogonal. The gradient of xy = a at the point (x, y) is (y, x) and the gradient of $x^2 - y^2 = b$ at the point (x, y) is (2x, -2y). As the dot product

$$(y,x) \cdot (2x,-2y) = 2xy - 2xy$$
$$= 0,$$

the two curves are orthogonal.

5. We have

$$s_{2(n+1)} = s_{2n} + \frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2}$$

> s_{2n}

and

$$s_{2(n+1)+1} = s_{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} + \frac{1}{2n+3}$$

< s_{2n+1} .

On the other hand

$$s_{2n+1} = s_{2n} - \frac{1}{2n+1} > s_{2n}.$$

It follows that

$$s_2 < s_4 < s_6 < \dots < s_5 < s_3 < s_1.$$

The even terms are bounded from above and increasing so that they tend to a limit s_e . The odd terms are bounded from below and decreasing so that they tend to a limit s_o . It is clear that $s_e < s_o$. But the difference between s_{2n} and s_{2n+1} is decreasing so that $s_e = s_0$. This is then the common limit s.