

SCHAUDER THEORY IV: APPLICATIONS

JACOB STERBENZ

ABSTRACT. These are companion lecture notes for Math 231A on Wednesday 10/21/09 and Friday 10/23/09.

1. EXISTENCE OF CLASSICAL SOLUTIONS TO GENERAL ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

In this section we study the solvability properties of general equations of the form:

$$(1a) \quad Lw = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j w + \sum_i b_i(x) \partial_i w + cw = F, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(1b) \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = g.$$

We will make the uniform ellipticity condition throughout Ω :

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq c |\xi|^2, \quad (x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and we also assume that regularity condition:

$$(3) \quad a_{ij} \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega}), \quad b_i \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega}), \quad c \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega}).$$

With these assumptions, our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Existence of Classical Solutions). *Let a, b, c be given so that both (1) and (3) hold. Then if $c(x) \leq 0$ throughout Ω , for each $F \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ and $g \in C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ there exists a unique solution $w \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ to the problem (2). Furthermore, one has the uniform estimate:*

$$(4) \quad \|w\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C(\|F\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})} + \|g\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)}),$$

where $C = C(\Omega, \alpha, \|a\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})}, \|b\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})}, \|c\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})})$ is independent of the data (F, g) .

Remark 1.2. The condition $c(x) \leq 0$ in the preceding theorem is very important and cannot be dropped. For example, on any domain Ω there exists (non-trivial) solutions to the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem:

$$(5) \quad \Delta u = -\lambda u, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

for certain discrete $\lambda > 0$. Furthermore, for certain highly symmetric domains like balls the $|x| \leq R_0$, the solutions to (5) can have large multiplicity (the eigenspaces are always finite dimensional, but they can grow in multiplicity like $O(\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}})$). Finally, even for simple ODE of the form:

$$(6) \quad \frac{d^2}{dx} u + k^2 u = F, \quad \text{in } [0, \pi],$$

there could be no solution with (say) $u(0) = u(\pi) = 0$. For example, choosing $k = 1$ and $F(x) = \sin(x)$ one can see there is no solution u to (6) vanishing at the

endpoints of $[0, \pi]$, for if this was so, then integration of both sides of (6) against $\sin(x)$ yields a contradiction (this reasoning applies to the equation $(L - \lambda)u = F$ in general for eigenvalues λ of L).

To reach a proof of Theorem 1.1 we will use the Schauder estimates and two additional pieces of information. The first is interesting in its own right as it is a central a-priori estimate for second order elliptic equations with many important generalizations:

Theorem 1.3 (Weak Maximum Principle). *Let $w \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution to the problem (2)–(3) where in addition we assume that $c \leq 0$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\Omega, a, \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})$ such that the following a-priori estimate holds:*

$$(7) \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} |u(x)| \leq C(\sup_{x \in \Omega} |F(x)| + \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} |g(x)|) .$$

Next, we need a simple continuity lemma whose proof basically boils down the contraction mapping principle:

Lemma 1.4 (Continuity Lemma). *Let A, B be Banach spaces, and suppose that $L, L_0 : A \rightarrow B$ are continuous linear operators with the additional property that the following a-priori bound holds:*

$$(8) \quad \|w\|_A \leq C\|tL + (1-t)L_0w\|_B, \quad t \in [0, 1] .$$

Then if for each $F \in B$ there exists a solution $w \in A$ to $L_0w = F$, the same property holds for the equation $Lw = F$.

Before proving these two additional results, let us conclude with a proof of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality we may assume that $g \equiv 0$ for otherwise we may subtract off an extension \tilde{g} and then set $\tilde{w} = w - \tilde{g}$ which solves (1) with $\tilde{F} = F - L\tilde{g}$ with a C^α estimate for \tilde{F} in terms of the appropriate norms on F and g .

Using the maximum principle bound (7) for the operator $L_t = tL + (1-t)\Delta$ and the Schauder estimates for uniformly elliptic equations with C^α coefficients we have the a-priori bound:

$$\|w\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C\|L_t w\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})} .$$

Furthermore, using the direct methods discussed earlier, as well as the Schauder estimates, we know that the problem:

$$L_0 w = \Delta w = F, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

has a solution $w \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. Thus, we may apply Lemma 1.4 to conclude that the equation (2) has a $C^{2,\alpha}$ solution with the bound (4) as well.

1.1. Proof of the supporting propositions. Here we deal with Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Without loss of generality, we'll assume that $\sup_\Omega |w| = \sup_\Omega w > 0$, for otherwise we could consider $-w$ (or $w = 0$). Our goal is to show the estimate:

$$(9) \quad \sup_\Omega w \leq C \sup_{x \in \Omega} |F(x)| + \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} |g(x)| .$$

We make a further quick reduction that we may restrict (9) to the subdomain $\Omega \supseteq \Omega' = \{x \in \Omega \mid w(x) > 0\}$, for it is trivial otherwise. Notice that the restriction $w|_{\partial\Omega'}$ is only nonzero along the common intersection $\partial\Omega' \cap \partial\Omega$, so the assumption $\sup_{\Omega} w > 0$ eliminates any portion of $\partial\Omega' \setminus \partial\Omega$ from the RHS of the restricted version of (9). To recap, we are now trying to show (9) for solutions w to (1a) in domains Ω' where w is *non-negative*.

We now consider the family of functions:

$$w_{\epsilon, M}^+ = w + e^{M|x-x_0|^2} \cdot (\epsilon + \sup_{x \in \Omega} |F(x)|) ,$$

for some $x_0 \notin \overline{\Omega'}$. A direct calculation shows that for any $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, and if $M \gg 1$ is sufficiently large, (notice that this only depends on a and $\|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')}$) then we have the pointwise inequality:

$$(10) \quad \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j w_{\epsilon, M}^+(x) + \sum_i b_i \partial_i w_{\epsilon, M}^+(x) \geq \epsilon .$$

Now, let $x_0 \in \Omega'$ be a supposed interior critical point of $w_{\epsilon, M}^+$ corresponding to a local max. Then we have $\nabla w_{\epsilon, M}^+(x_0) = 0$ and also that $\nabla^2 w_{\epsilon, M}^+(x_0)$ is negative definite. But this contradicts (10) in light of (2). Thus, $w_{\epsilon, M}^+(x_0)$ has no interior maximum and we therefore have the global bound:

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega'} w_{\epsilon, M}^+(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega'} w_{\epsilon, M}^+ .$$

Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in this last estimate we have:

$$\sup_{\Omega'} w \leq \sup_{\Omega'} w_{0, M}^+ \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega'} w + C(M) \sup_{\Omega} |F| ,$$

as was to be shown. \square

Proof of Lemma 1.4. Suppose that we can always solve $L_{t_0} w = F$ for some $t_0 \in [0, 1]$. Then the equation $L_t w = F$ can be written in the perturbative form:

$$(11) \quad L_{t_0} w = (t_0 - t)Lw + (t - t_0)L_0 w + F ,$$

and our task is to find a solution to this last equation. For this equation we set up a Picard iteration scheme:

$$L_{t_0} w^{(k)} = (t_0 - t)Lw^{(k-1)} + (t - t_0)L_0 w^{(k-1)} + F ,$$

where $w^{(0)}$ solves $Lw_{t_0} w^{(0)} = F$. Then using the continuity of L, L_0 and the solvability bound (8) we have the inductive estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^{(1)} - w^{(0)}\|_A &\leq C|t - t_0| \cdot \|w^{(0)}\|_A , \\ \|w^{(k)} - w^{(k-1)}\|_A &\leq C|t - t_0| \cdot \|w^{(k-1)} - w^{(k-2)}\|_A , \end{aligned}$$

for a fixed constant $C > 0$. Then choosing $|t - t_0| \ll 1$ sufficiently small we have that the $w^{(k)}$ form a Cauchy sequence which converges to a solution of (11). \square

2. REGULARITY OF CRITICAL POINTS OF VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS

In this section we consider another typical application of Schauder estimates, which is to provide full regularity of solutions to non-linear problems once one has some crucial amount of “starter regularity” to begin with.

A classical problem is to understand the regularity properties of solutions minimization problems of the form:

$$I[u] = \inf_{\substack{w \in H^1(\Omega) \\ T_\Omega u = g}} I[w] ,$$

where $T_\Omega u = g$ and I is of the form:

$$(12) \quad I[u] = \iint_{\Omega} F(u, \nabla u) \, dx .$$

Here $F(u, \eta) : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F \in C^\infty$, is some “energy density”. Typical examples are:

$$(13) \quad F = \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^2, \quad F = \sqrt{1 + |\eta|^2}, \quad F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}(u) \eta_i \eta_j ,$$

which represent the usual (Laplace) Dirichlet energy, the minimal surface density, and a general non-linear version of the Dirichlet density (assuming that A_{ij} is uniformly elliptic).

A calculation involving variations of the form $u_t = u + t\varphi$ shows that minimizers to (12) solve the weak equation:

$$(14) \quad \iint_{\Omega} (F_\eta(u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi + F_u(u, \nabla u) \varphi) \, dx = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) .$$

In other words, u weakly solves the quasilinear divergence form equation:

$$(15) \quad \sum_{i,j} \partial_i [A_{\eta^i}(u, \nabla u)] = F_u(u, \nabla u) .$$

Under certain structural assumptions, (15) will be a uniformly elliptic equation. For example, in the case of the last energy density on line (13), the equation reads:

$$(16) \quad \sum_{i,j} \partial_i [A_{ij}(u) \partial_j u] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} A'_{ij}(u) \partial_i u \partial_j u .$$

For this equation, we have the following simple applications of the Schauder estimates we have proved thus far:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (16), with $A_{ij}(u)$ a smooth function of its argument and such that:*

$$(17) \quad \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}(u) \xi_i \xi_j \geq c(u) |\xi|^2, \quad c > 0 .$$

Assume also that u has $C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ boundary data g . If we assume that $\nabla u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ then we have $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$.

Remark 2.2. It is not too hard within the context of Schauder theory to reduce the assumptions on u in the above result to $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap H^1(\Omega)$. See the HW problem #8 for more on this. A much deeper result is that we only need to assume a weak $H^1(\Omega)$ solution is bounded to obtain full regularity. But this latter result goes beyond the limitations of Schauder theory.

Proof. As usual, we may subtract off an extension \tilde{g} of the boundary data at the price of adding an additional term:

$$F = - \sum_{i,j} \partial_i [A_{ij}(u) \partial_j \tilde{g}] ,$$

to the RHS of (16). In doing so, we trade u on the LHS with $\tilde{u} = u - \tilde{g}$ which is in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Since we already assume a pointwise bound on ∇u , the RHS of the modified version of (16) is bounded, and the coefficient matrix $A(u)$ has $C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ argument for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Thus, by the first divergence form Schauder estimate, we must in fact have that $\tilde{u} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, which implies the same estimate for u because \tilde{g} is assumed to be smooth. This in turn means the the RHS of (16) is in fact in $C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$, while the coefficient matrix $A_{ij}(u)$ is in $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. By the higher regularity form of the weak Schauder estimate, we then have $\tilde{u} \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, which again gives the same estimate for u .

Clearly this process can be repeated inductively to show that $u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for all k . \square

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO (UCSD) 9500 GILMAN DRIVE, DEPT 0112 LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112 USA

E-mail address: jsterben@math.ucsd.edu