

# Clique Partitions of Dense Graphs

October 2, 2006

Michael Cavers	Jacques Verstraëte
Dept of Combinatorics & Optimization	Dept of Mathematics & Statistics
University of Waterloo	McGill University
200 University Avenue West	805 Sherbrooke Street West
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1	Montreal, Quebec, Canada H2A 3K6

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove that for any forest  $F \subset K_n$ , the edges of  $E(K_n) \setminus E(F)$  can be partitioned into  $O(n \log n)$  cliques. This extends earlier results on clique partitions of the complement of a perfect matching and of a hamiltonian path in  $K_n$ . We also show that if a graph  $G$  has maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then the edges of  $E(K_n) \setminus E(G)$  can be partitioned into roughly  $n^{\frac{3}{2}} \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 n$  cliques provided there exist Steiner systems with certain parameters. Furthermore, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , almost every  $\Delta$ -regular graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices has the property that  $E(K_n) \setminus E(G)$  cannot be partitioned into fewer than about  $\Delta^2 \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log \Delta} - 1 \rceil^2$  cliques.

## 1 Introduction

A *clique partition* of a graph  $G$  is a collection of complete subgraphs of  $G$  (called cliques) that partition the edge set of  $G$ . In this paper, we study the problem of finding clique partitions of  $K_n \setminus F$ , where  $F \subset K_n$  is a forest or a graph of maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Here  $K_n \setminus F$  refers to the graph on  $V(K_n)$  consisting of all edges of  $K_n$  which are not in  $F$ , and is called the *complement of  $F$* . We denote by  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus F)$  the *clique partition number* of  $K_n \setminus F$ , which is the smallest number of cliques partitioning  $E(K_n) \setminus E(F)$ . Any further notation not defined here is found in Bondy and Murty [6]. Gregory, McGuinness and Wallis [7] proved that the complement of a perfect matching on  $n$  vertices can be partitioned into  $O(n \log \log n)$  cliques. In the case that  $F$  is a forest, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $F \subset K_n$  be a forest. Then  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus F) = O(n \log n)$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1 will be given in Section 2. We are not aware of any lower bounds for  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus F)$  which are not of order of magnitude  $O(n)$ ; we conjecture that there exist forests for which

$$\frac{\text{cp}(K_n \setminus F)}{n} \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the second part of the paper, we are interested in estimating clique partition numbers of very dense graphs – we wish to find bounds on  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G)$  when  $G$  has maximum degree  $\Delta$ . An early result of Erdős and de Bruijn [4] shows that  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus K_2) = n - 1$  for  $n \geq 3$ , with equality only for clique partitions consisting of  $n - 2$  complete graphs of order two and one complete graph of order  $n - 2$ . Using projective planes, Wallis [8] showed if  $H$  is a graph with at most  $\sqrt{n}$  vertices, then  $K_n \setminus H$  can be partitioned into  $O(n)$  cliques. A projective plane is a particular example of a family of sets called a Steiner system. We recall that a Steiner  $(n, k)$ -system is a family of  $k$ -element subsets of an  $n$ -element set such that each pair of elements appears in exactly one of the  $k$ -element sets. In other words, a Steiner  $(n, k)$ -system provides a clique partition of  $K_n$  into cliques of size  $k$ ; in particular  $\binom{n}{2} / \binom{k}{2}$  cliques are present in this partition. Conditional on the existence of Steiner systems with certain parameters, we give bounds on  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G)$  for graphs  $G$  with prescribed maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ :

**Theorem 2** *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices, let  $k = \lfloor (\frac{n}{2\Delta})^{1/2} \rfloor$ , and suppose there exists a Steiner  $(n, k)$ -system. Then, provided that  $\Delta(G) = o(\frac{n}{\log^4 n})$  as  $n$  tends to infinity,*

$$\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) = O(n^{\frac{3}{2}} \Delta(G)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 n).$$

*Furthermore, if  $\frac{n-\Delta}{\log n} \rightarrow \infty$ , then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , almost every  $\Delta$ -regular graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices has*

$$\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) = \Omega(\Delta^2 \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log \Delta} - 1 \rceil^2).$$

For the purpose of comparison, if  $\Delta = n^{1-\epsilon}$  in Theorem 2, then the upper bound for  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G)$  is of order  $n^{2-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon} \log^2 n$  whereas the lower bound is of order  $n^{2-2\epsilon}$ . It would be interesting to determine whether either of these bounds is tight in order of magnitude. We conclude with the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 3** *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Then  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) = O(\Delta n \log n)$ . Furthermore, if  $\Delta = o(n)$ , then  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) = o(n^2)$ .*

## 2 Complements of Forests

To prove Theorem 1, we will restrict our attention to trees and show  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus T) = O(n \log n)$  for any tree  $T$  on  $n$  vertices. Theorem 1 follows from this statement, since every forest  $F \subset K_n$  is contained in a spanning tree  $T \subset K_n$ , and

$$\text{cp}(K_n \setminus F) \leq \text{cp}(K_n \setminus T) + n - 1.$$

To prove the claim  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus T) = O(n \log n)$ , we make use of the following definition.

**Definition 4** A *tree partition* of a graph  $G$  is a collection of subtrees  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r\}$  of  $G$  such that every edge of  $G$  is in exactly one subtree:

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^r T_i,$$

and for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $|V(T_i) \cap V(T_j)| \leq 1$ .

For a positive integer  $b$ , we say a tree partition  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r\}$  is *b-smooth* if for some  $k$ ,  $k \leq b|T_i| \leq bk$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . The following lemma, which is easily seen to be best possible, will be used to prove Theorem 1.

**Lemma 5** *Let  $T$  be a tree on  $n$  vertices and let  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . Then there exists a 3-smooth tree partition of  $T$  into at most  $2n/k$  trees such that every tree in the partition has size at most  $k$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be a tree on  $n$  vertices. It is well known that there exists a 2-smooth tree-partition  $\{T_1, T_2\}$  of  $T$ . To see this, take a tree partition  $\{T_1, T_2\}$  of  $T$  so that  $||V(T_1)| - |V(T_2)||$  is minimized, and assume  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  share vertex  $v$ . If  $\frac{n}{3} \leq |T_i| \leq \frac{2n}{3}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , then we are done. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $|T_1| < \frac{n}{3}$ . As  $||V(T_1)| - |V(T_2)||$  is minimized,  $v$  is adjacent to at least two vertices of  $T_2$ . Form a tree partition  $\{J_1, J_2\}$  of  $T_2$ , such that  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  share vertex  $v$ . Then

$$\frac{2n}{3} + 1 < |T_1 \cup J_1| + |T_1 \cup J_2| < \frac{4n}{3} + 1.$$

Then  $\{T_1 \cup J_1, J_2\}$  or  $\{T_1 \cup J_2, J_1\}$  is a 2-smooth tree partition of  $T$ .

To finish the proof, we construct a 3-smooth tree partition of  $T$  into trees of size at most  $k$ . Repeatedly take 2-smooth partitions of all trees of size more than  $k$  in the current tree-partition, starting with the trivial tree partition,  $\{T\}$ . This procedure gives a tree partition of  $T$  all of whose trees have size at most  $k$  and at least  $k/3$ , and average size at least  $k/2$  as required. ■

We now use Lemma 5 to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Define for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$g(n) = \max\{\text{cp}(K_n \setminus T) : T \text{ is a tree on } n \text{ vertices}\}.$$

Let  $T$  be a tree on  $n$  vertices such that  $g(n) = \text{cp}(K_n \setminus T)$ . By Lemma 5, there exists a 3-smooth tree partition  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r\}$  of  $T$  such that  $|T_i| \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  and  $r \leq 2\sqrt{n}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, r$ ,

$$V(T_i) \cap \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V(T_j) \right) = \{v_i\}.$$

Let  $t$  be the smallest integer such that  $t \geq 7\sqrt{n}$  and there is a projective plane of order  $t$ . By results on the distribution of prime numbers [2], and the fact that there exists a projective plane of every prime order, we can take  $t = 7\sqrt{n} + n^\theta$  for some  $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$  and choose a projective plane of

order  $t$ . We identify the  $t^2 + t + 1$  points of the projective plane with the vertices of a complete graph  $K_{t^2+t+1}$ , and the blocks form a clique partition of this complete graph. We claim that we can embed the trees  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_r$  in the cliques  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r$  in such a way that the union of these embedded trees is  $T$ .

First identify the vertices of  $T_1$  with points from an arbitrary block, say  $B_1$ , of the projective plane, where the vertex  $v_2$  is identified with some point  $w_2$ , and all other vertices of  $T_1$  are identified arbitrarily with points from  $B_1 \setminus \{w_2\}$ . Suppose that for some  $i : 2 \leq i \leq r$ , we have already identified the vertices of  $T_{i-1}$  with the points of  $B_{i-1}$ , such that vertex  $v_i$  is identified with point  $w_i$  of some block  $B_j$ , where  $j \leq i-1$ . Pick a block  $B_i$  (different from  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{i-1}$ ) that contains the point  $w_i$ . There exists such a block as there are  $t+1 \geq 7\sqrt{n}$  blocks containing the point  $w_i$  (and at most  $r \leq 2\sqrt{n}$  blocks have been used). Identify the vertices of  $T_i$  with points from  $B_i$  such that  $v_i$  is identified with  $w_i$ , and all other vertices of  $T_i$  are identified arbitrarily with points from  $B_i \setminus W$ , where  $W$  is the set of points from  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_{i-1}$  that intersect with  $B_i$ . Note that  $|W| < r$ , as  $B_i$  intersects every other block in at most one point. This identification can be done, as each block has  $t+1 \geq 7\sqrt{n}$  points, each tree has at most  $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  vertices, and removing at most  $r-1 \leq 2\sqrt{n}$  points of block  $B_i$  leaves at least  $\sqrt{n}$  points which can be identified with  $T_i$ . This defines the embedding of the tree  $T_i$  into  $B_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and the union of the embedded trees is clearly  $T$ .

Now delete points of the projective plane such that each block  $B_i$  has  $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  points. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} g(n) &\leq t^2 + t + 1 - r + \sum_{i=1}^r \text{cp}(K_{|B_i|} \setminus T_i) \\ &= O(n) + \sum_{i=1}^r \text{cp}(K_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \setminus T_i) \\ &\leq O(n) + \sum_{i=1}^r g(\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

Defining  $c(x) = g(\lfloor x \rfloor)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  gives

$$c(x) \leq O(x) + 2\sqrt{x} \cdot c(\sqrt{x}).$$

Dividing through by  $x$  and setting  $z = \log_2 \log_2 x$ , and  $h(z) = c(x)/x$  gives,

$$h(z) \leq O(1) + 2 \cdot h(z-1).$$

So,  $h(z) = O(2^z)$ , for  $x$  (and hence  $z$ ) arbitrarily large. Hence,  $c(x) = O(x \log x)$  implying that  $g(n) = O(n \log n)$ . ■

### 3 Dense Graphs

In this section, we prove Theorem 2 using Steiner systems (see Cameron and van Lint [5]) and the probabilistic method (see Alon and Spencer [1]).

Recall that the blocks of a Steiner  $(n, k)$ -system correspond to a clique partition of  $K_n$  into  $\binom{n}{2}/\binom{k}{2}$  cliques of size  $k$ . Necessary existence conditions for the existence of an  $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$  are

$$\begin{aligned} n &\equiv 1 \pmod{k-1}, \\ n(n-1) &\equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Wilson's theorem [3] says that the necessary conditions above for the existence of an  $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$  are sufficient for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, the proofs presented by Wilson do not give an explicit constant  $n_0(k)$  such that an  $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$  exists for all  $n \geq n_0(k)$  satisfying the necessary conditions. Recently, Chang showed that  $n_0(k) \leq \exp(\exp(k^{k^2}))$  (see page 800 in Beth, Jungnickel and Lenz [3]). It is therefore out of the reach of current research to determine for which  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  a Steiner  $(n, k)$ -system exists.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Both the proofs of the upper and lower bounds in Theorem 2 are probabilistic. First we show that for almost every  $\Delta$ -regular graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices,

$$\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) = \Omega(\Delta^2 \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log \Delta} - 1 \rceil^2).$$

Note that this statement is true whenever the right hand side is of order  $n$ , since  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) = \Omega(n)$  for every  $\Delta$ -regular graph  $G$ . For this part of the proof, let  $m = m(\Delta, n)$  be an integer such that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$m = o(\Delta^2 \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log \Delta} - 1 \rceil^2).$$

Note that  $\Delta^2 \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log \Delta} - 1 \rceil^2 \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\frac{n-\Delta}{\log n} \rightarrow \infty$ . For each clique partition  $\mathcal{C}$  of a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, let  $B(G, \mathcal{C})$  be the bipartite graph with parts  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $V(G)$  such that  $v \in V(G)$  is joined to  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  if  $v \in C$ . We observe that  $B(G, \mathcal{C})$  has no cycles of length four and all vertices  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  have degree at least two. Therefore

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \binom{d(C)}{2} \leq \binom{n}{2}.$$

This implies that if  $|\mathcal{C}| = m$ , then the number of edges in  $B(G, \mathcal{C})$  is at most

$$\frac{m}{2} + m \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{n^2}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 2\sqrt{mn}$$

provided  $n$  is large enough.

Let  $\beta(m, n, \Delta)$  be the total number of graphs  $B(G, \mathcal{C})$  with  $|\mathcal{C}| = m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log \beta(m, n, \Delta) &\leq \log \sum_{k < 2\sqrt{mn}} \binom{mn}{k} = O(\sqrt{mn} \log m) \\ &= o(\Delta n \log \Delta \cdot \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log \Delta} - 1 \rceil) \end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $m$ . By the results on random regular graphs in Wormald [9], the logarithm of the number  $\gamma(n, \Delta)$  of  $\Delta$ -regular graphs on  $n$  vertices is at least

$$\begin{aligned} \log \gamma(n, \Delta) &\geq \log \left( \frac{(\Delta n)!}{2^{\frac{\Delta n}{2}} \left( \frac{\Delta n}{2} \right)! (\Delta!)^n} \cdot \exp[-\Omega(\Delta^2)] \right) = \Omega(\Delta n \log \frac{n}{\Delta}) \\ &= \Omega(\Delta n \log \Delta \cdot \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log \Delta} - 1 \rceil). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that for any  $m$  as defined above, and since since  $\frac{n-\Delta}{\log n} \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \beta(m, n, \Delta)}{\log \gamma(n, \Delta)} = 0.$$

In particular, the proportion of  $\Delta$ -regular graphs  $G \subset K_n$  such that  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) = m$  tends to zero exponentially fast in  $n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that almost all  $\Delta$ -regular graphs  $G$  on  $n$  vertices have

$$\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) = \Omega(\Delta^2 \lceil \frac{\log n}{\log \Delta} - 1 \rceil^2).$$

This completes the first part of the proof.

Now we prove the upper bound on  $\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G)$  given in Theorem 2. Suppose  $G, n, k$  satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Let  $\mathcal{S} = (X, \mathcal{B})$  be a Steiner system with blocks of size  $k$  on  $n$  points. For a random permutation of the points, the probability that a fixed set of  $k$  points is a fixed block in  $\mathcal{B}$  is exactly  $1/\binom{n}{k}$ . Take  $G$  to be a fixed graph on the same set of  $n$  points, with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Let  $G_B$  denote the subgraph of  $G$  spanned by the edges contained in a block  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Consider the event  $|E(G_B)| \geq r$ , for some integer  $r$ . Pick a subgraph  $H_B$  of  $G_B$  with exactly  $r$  edges. If the maximum size of a matching in  $H_B$  is  $i$  for some positive integer  $i \leq r$ , and if there are  $s$  vertices of  $H_B$  which are unsaturated by a maximum matching, then

$$\max \left\{ \frac{r - \binom{2i}{2}}{2i}, 0 \right\} \leq s \leq r - i.$$

For convenience, let  $s_i = (r - \binom{2i}{2})/2i$ . Let  $A_B(i, s)$  denote the event that the largest matching in  $H_B$  has size  $i$  and  $H_B$  has  $s + 2i$  vertices. Fixing a matching  $M$  of size  $i$  in  $G$ , there are at most  $(2i\Delta)^s$  ways to choose a set  $S$  of  $s$  vertices so that  $V(M) \cup S = H_B$ . Then there are  $\binom{n-2i-s}{k-2i-s}$  ways to choose the vertices of  $B \setminus V(H_B)$  from  $G$ . Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}[A_B(i, s)] \leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \binom{\Delta n}{i} (2i\Delta)^s \binom{n-2i-s}{k-2i-s}.$$

Since  $\{|E(G_B)| \geq r\} \subset \bigcup_{i,s} A_B(i, s)$ , it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}[|E(G_B)| \geq r] \leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\substack{s \geq s_i \\ s \geq 0}}^{r-i} \binom{\Delta n}{i} (2i\Delta)^s \binom{n-2i-s}{k-2i-s}.$$

To estimate the sums on the right, we use the inequality

$$\frac{\binom{a-t}{b-t}}{\binom{a}{b}} < \frac{b^t}{a^t}.$$

Let  $j$  be the largest integer such that

$$r - \binom{2j}{2} \geq 0$$

so that definitely  $\sqrt{r/2} - 1 \leq j \leq \sqrt{r/2} + 1$ . Recall  $k = \lceil \sqrt{n}/\sqrt{2\Delta} \rceil$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[|E(G_B)| \geq r] &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\substack{s \geq s_i \\ s \geq 0}}^{r-i} \binom{\Delta n}{i} (2i\Delta)^s \frac{\binom{n-2i-s}{k-2i-s}}{\binom{n}{k}} \\
&< \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\substack{s \geq s_i \\ s \geq 0}}^{r-i} (\Delta n)^i (2r\Delta)^s \frac{k^{2i+s}}{n^{2i+s}} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{\Delta k^2}{n}\right)^i \sum_{\substack{s \geq s_i \\ s \geq 0}}^{r-i} \left(\frac{2rk\Delta}{n}\right)^s \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i \sum_{s=s_i}^{r-i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s + \sum_{i=j+1}^r \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i \sum_{s=0}^{r-i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s \quad (*) \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{s_i} + 4 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j+1} \\
&= \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{r/(2i)} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1} \\
&\leq \sqrt{2}j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{r/(2j)} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

To justify (\*), note that  $\frac{2rk\Delta}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  is true if we set  $r = 2(\log_2(2n^2\Delta))^2$  and recall that

$$\frac{\Delta(\log_2 n)^4}{n} \rightarrow 0.$$

In addition,  $\frac{\Delta k^2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  by our choice of  $k$ . These two statements verify (\*). We show that the above expression is less than  $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|}$ . That is, we prove

$$\left[ \sqrt{2}j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{r}{2j}} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1} \right] \frac{n(n-1)}{k(k-1)} < 1.$$

But, the left hand side of this is

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[ \sqrt{2}j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{r}{2j}} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1} \right] \frac{n(n-1)}{k(k-1)} &= O\left(\frac{jn^2}{k^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{r}{2j}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\Delta n \log_2(2n^2\Delta) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{r}{2\log_2(2n^2\Delta)+1}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\Delta n \log_2(2n^2\Delta) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\log_2(2n^2\Delta)}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{\log_2(2n^2\Delta)}{n}\right) \\
&= O(\log_2 n/n).
\end{aligned}$$

So with positive probability  $|E(G_B)| < r$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , and

$$\text{cp}(K_n \setminus G) < rk|\mathcal{B}| = O(n^{3/2} \Delta^{1/2} \log^2 n),$$

if  $n$  is sufficiently large. This completes the proof. ■

## References

- [1] N. Alon and J.H. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, Wiley, New York, (1992). (Second Edition, 2000).
- [2] R.C. Baker, G. Harman, and J. Pintz, The difference between consecutive primes, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **83**(3) (2001) 532-562.
- [3] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz, *Design Theory, Volume 2, Second Edition*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, (1999).
- [4] N.G. de Bruijn and P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, *Indag. Math.* **10** (1948) 421-423.
- [5] P.J. Cameron and J.H. Van Lint, *Designs, graphs, codes and their links*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 22, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, (1991).
- [6] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph theory with applications*, MacMillan, London, (1972).
- [7] D.A. Gregory, S. McGuinness, and W. Wallis, Clique partitions of the cocktail party graph, *Discrete Math.* **59** (1986) 267-273.
- [8] W.D. Wallis, Finite planes and clique partitions, *Contemporary Mathematics*, **111** (1990) 279-285.
- [9] N.C. Wormald, Models of random regular graphs. Surveys in Combinatorics, 1999, J.D. Lamb and D.A. Preece, eds. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol 276, pp. 239-298. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.