

**Lecture 19: 12.3 The Fourier transform.** The Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F} : f \rightarrow \hat{f}$  is defined to be

$$(19.1) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

The Fourier transform is invertible, in fact we will prove Fourier's inversion formula:

$$(19.2) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

The Fourier transform makes sense for a very general class of functions and even distributions. However, it is natural to first define it for a more restrictive class and afterwards extend the definition by continuity. This is the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of all infinitely differentiable functions that are rapidly decreasing:

$$\sup_x |x^\beta \partial^\alpha \phi(x)| < \infty$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The importance of this class is that  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . which follows from the following identities for the Fourier transform:

$$(19.3) \quad \mathcal{F} : \partial_j f(x) \rightarrow i\xi_j \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \mathcal{F} : x_j f(x) \rightarrow i\partial_j \hat{f}(\xi)$$

(19.3) follows from integrating by parts in (19.1) respectively differentiating below the integral sign. That  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  now follows using (19.1) and integrating by parts

$$\xi^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \hat{\phi}(\xi) = \int \xi^\alpha (-i)^{|\beta|} x^\beta e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \phi(x) dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} (-i)^{|\alpha|} \int \partial_x^\alpha (x^\beta \phi(x)) dx$$

which can be bounded by  $\sup_x |\partial_x^\alpha (x^\beta \phi(x))| (1 + |x|)^{1+n} \int (1 + |x|)^{-1-n} dx \leq C$ , since  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Note also that by changing variables we get two more simple properties

$$(19.4) \quad \mathcal{F} : f(ax) \rightarrow a^{-n} \hat{f}(\xi/a), \quad \mathcal{F} : f(x+h) \rightarrow \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ih \cdot \xi}$$

The proof of (3.2) uses:

**Lemma.**

$$(19.5) \quad \mathcal{F} : e^{-|ax|^2/2} \rightarrow (2\pi)^{n/2} a^{-n} e^{-|\xi/a|^2/2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(x) = e^{-|x|^2/2}$ . Since  $(\partial_j + x_j)\phi(x) = 0$  it follows from (4) that  $(i\xi_j + i\partial_j)\hat{\phi}(\xi) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . This differential equation has the solution  $\hat{\phi}(\xi) = c_n e^{-|\xi|^2/2}$ . In fact, if we integrate it for  $j = 1$  we get  $\hat{\phi}(\xi) = F_2(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) e^{-\xi_1^2/2}$ . Plugging this into the same equation gives  $(i\xi_k + i\partial_k)F_2(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = 0$ , for  $k \geq 2$ . Integrating this equation for  $k = 2$  gives  $F_2(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = F_3(\xi_3, \dots, \xi_n) e^{-\xi_2^2/2}$ . Repeating this gives that  $\hat{\phi}(\xi) = c_n e^{-\xi_1^2/2} \dots e^{-\xi_n^2/2} = c_n e^{-|\xi|^2/2}$ . It therefore only remains to calculate  $c_n$ . However, by (3.1)  $c_n = \hat{\phi}(0) = \int e^{-|x|^2/2} dx$ . If  $n = 2$  this integral can easily be calculated by introducing polar coordinates  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx = 2\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/2} r dr = 2\pi$ . In general we can write  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx = (\int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-x_1^2/2} dx_1)^n$  and  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-x_1^2/2} dx_1 = (\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx)^{1/2}$  so  $c_n = (2\pi)^{n/2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma.** If  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  set  $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \phi(x/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon^n$ , then

$$\int f(x) \phi_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int f(\varepsilon x) \phi(x) dx \rightarrow f(0) \int \phi(x) dx \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}$$

*Proof.* Since  $|f(\varepsilon x) \phi(x)| \leq \sup_y |f(y)| |\phi(x)|$  the lemma follows from the theorem of Dominated converge. It is also easy to prove directly; since

$$|f(\varepsilon x) \phi(x) - f(0) \phi(x)| \leq \varepsilon \sup_y ||y| f'(y)| |\phi(x)|$$

the difference of the two integrals is bounded by  $C\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

We also have

$$(19.6) \quad \int \hat{\phi} \psi d\xi = \int \phi \hat{\psi} dx, \quad \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$$

In fact, both sides of (19.6) are equal to the double integral

$$\iint \phi(x) \psi(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx d\xi$$

It follows from using (19.3) that it suffices to prove (19.2) for  $x = 0$  since its translation invariant. Using (19.6) gives

$$\int \hat{\phi}(x) f(\varepsilon x) dx = \int \phi(\varepsilon \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

By Lemma 2 we get as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\int \hat{\phi}(x) dx f(0) = \phi(0) \int \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

Picking  $\phi(x) = e^{-|x|^2/2}$  we get from Lemma 1 and its proof that  $\int \hat{\phi}(x) dx = (2\pi)^n$  and Fourier's inversion formula (19.2) follows. Using Fourier's inversion formula and (19.6) we get Parseval's formula

$$(19.7) \quad \int \phi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \hat{\phi}(\xi) \overline{\hat{\psi}(\xi)} d\xi$$

In particular;

$$\int |\phi(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

We can therefore extend the Fourier transform by continuity to a map  $\mathcal{F} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ . (This follows since for any  $f \in L^2$  one can find a sequence of functions  $f_n \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2$  and it follows that  $\hat{f}_n \in \mathcal{S}$  converges in  $L^2$  to some function  $\hat{f}$ .)

**Problem** Find the Fourier transform of  $e^{-|x|}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Problem** Find the inverse Fourier transform of  $\sin |\xi|/|\xi|$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ .

The Fourier transform of a distribution is defined through duality using (19.6)

$$\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle$$

In order for this to be well defined for all  $\phi$  we must assume that  $f$  is a tempered distribution, i.e. an element of the dual space  $\mathcal{S}'$ , of  $\mathcal{S}$  or a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to the seminorms  $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi) = \sup_x |x^\beta \partial^\alpha \phi(x)|$ , since  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . We can hence extend the Fourier transform to a map  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ . If  $f$  has compact support then  $\hat{f}$  is the smooth function  $\langle f(x), e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \rangle$ . (A distribution has compact support in  $K$  if  $\langle f, \phi \rangle = 0$ ,  $\text{supp } \phi \cap K = \emptyset$ .) We have  $\mathcal{F} : \delta_a(x) \rightarrow e^{-ia \cdot \xi}$

**Problem** Compute the Fourier transform of  $e^{-ax^2/2}$ , for  $\text{Re } a \geq 0$ .

**Problem** Compute the Fourier transform of the function  $f = 1$ .