

Lecture 22: Section 5.5. Let S be a surface with unit normal \mathbf{n} and boundary C positively oriented, i.e. if you walk in the direction of the curve on the side of the normal then you should have the surface on your left. Stokes' theorem says

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{R} = \iint_S \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS$$

Last time we showed that in the special case that S is a domain in the x - y plane Stokes' theorem follows from Green's theorem. One can show that both sides in Stokes' theorem are invariant under rotations and one can rotate any plane to the x - y plane. Stokes theorem for any domain of any plane therefore follows. An intuitive proof in general follows by approximating the surface with a net of small triangles.

We will first prove Stokes' theorem for a graph $z = f(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in D$. Since the surface can be written $h(x, y, z) = z - f(x, y)$ a normal is given by $\mathbf{N} = \nabla h = -f_x \mathbf{i} - f_y \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}$ and the unit normal is given by $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{N}/|\mathbf{N}|$. The surface measure is $dS = dx dy / |\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}|$, where $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{N}/|\mathbf{N}| = 1/|\mathbf{N}|$, so $dS = |\mathbf{N}| dx dy$ and hence

$$\iint_S \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = \iint_D -G_1 f_x - G_2 f_y + G_3 dx dy, \quad \text{if } \mathbf{G} = G_1 \mathbf{i} + G_2 \mathbf{j} + G_3 \mathbf{k}$$

If we apply to \mathbf{F} this to $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{F}$ we get

$$\iint_S \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = \iint_D -\left(\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z}\right) f_x - \left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x}\right) f_y + \left(\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y}\right) dx dy,$$

If we parametrize the boundary $x = x(t)$, $y = y(t)$ and $z = f(x, y)$ we have by the chain rule

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = f_x \frac{dx}{dt} + f_y \frac{dy}{dt}$$

and

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{R} = \int_a^b \left(F_1 \frac{dx}{dt} + F_2 \frac{dy}{dt} + F_3 \frac{dz}{dt} \right) dt = \int_a^b \left((F_1 + f_x F_3) \frac{dx}{dt} + (F_2 + f_y F_3) \frac{dy}{dt} \right) dt$$

This can now be considered as a line integral in the plane:

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{R} = \int_{\partial D} P dx + Q dy, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, y) &= F_1(x, y, f(x, y)) + f_x(x, y) F_3(x, y, f(x, y)), \\ Q(x, y) &= F_2(x, y, f(x, y)) + f_y(x, y) F_3(x, y, f(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

We can therefore apply Greens formula in the plane.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z} f_y + f_x \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial y} + f_x f_y \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial z} + f_{xy} F_3 \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z} f_x + f_y \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x} + f_x f_y \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial z} + f_{xy} F_3 \end{aligned}$$

so by Green's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{R} &= \int_{\partial D} P dx + Q dy = \iint_D \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) dx dy \\ &= \iint_D -\left(\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z} \right) f_x - \left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x} \right) f_y + \left(\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y} \right) dx dy,\end{aligned}$$

Ex. Show that $\int_C ye^z dx + xe^z dy + xye^z dz = 0$ for a closed curve C .

Sol. $\mathbf{F} = \nabla(xye^z)$ so $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{F} = 0$ and by Stokes's theorem the integral vanishes.

Ex. Find $\int_{C_a} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{R}$, where $\mathbf{F} = (-y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j})/(x^2 + y^2)$ and C_a is the circle $x^2 + y^2 = a^2$ in the x - y plane going counterclockwise.

Sol. $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{F} = \dots = 0$. Hence one would have thought that by Stokes theorem the line integral would vanish. However, if we parametrize $x = a \cos t$ and $y = a \sin t, 0 \leq t < 2\pi$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C_a} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{R} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \frac{dy}{dt} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{-a \sin t (-a \sin t)}{a^2} + \frac{a \cos t (a \cos t)}{a^2} \right) dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 t + \cos^2 t dt = 2\pi\end{aligned}$$

The reason Stokes' theorem failed to hold in this case was that the vector field \mathbf{F} is singular when $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, i.e. along the z -axis.