

**CR MAPPINGS OF FINITE MULTIPLICITY AND EXTENSION OF  
PROPER HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS**

M. S. BAOUENDI<sup>1</sup>, S. R. BELL<sup>2</sup>, LINDA PREISS ROTHSCHILD<sup>3</sup>

**1. Introduction.** We shall describe some general theorems about CR mappings between three-dimensional manifolds which, among other results, imply that any proper holomorphic mapping  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  between pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with real analytic boundaries extends to be holomorphic in a neighborhood of the closure of  $D$  (Theorem 8). In case the domain  $D$  is strictly pseudoconvex, this result follows from the classical Lewy-Pinčuk reflection principle [8], [10]. In case the proper mapping  $f$  is biholomorphic, the extendability has been proved by Baouendi-Jacobowitz-Treves [1]. The general case of a proper holomorphic mapping between weakly pseudoconvex domains which is not biholomorphic is more complicated because branching might occur. We have developed a method in the spirit of [1] which allows us to prove extendability at boundary points even if branching occurs. (Theorems 3 and 6).

The mapping  $f(z, w) = (z^2, w)$  which maps the domain  $E = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z|^4 + |w|^2 < 1\}$  onto the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  has the property that it maps points of type four (in the sense of Kohn [7]) in the boundary of  $E$  to points of type two in the boundary of the ball. Furthermore, the local branching order of  $f$  at these points is two. We prove that this phenomenon holds in general. If  $M$  and  $M'$  are abstract three-dimensional CR manifolds, and  $H : M \rightarrow M'$  a CR mapping, there is a notion of multiplicity of  $H$  at  $p_0 \in M$ , for which the type of  $p_0$  is equal to the multiplicity at  $p_0$  times the type of  $H(p_0)$ . Theorems 1 and 2 state these results more precisely. Theorems 5 and 7 give applications of these results and of the extendability result (Theorem 3) to CR and proper self mappings.

**2. Main Results and Applications.** A real smooth manifold  $M$  is called a *CR manifold* if there is a subbundle  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $CTM$ , the complexified tangent bundle of  $M$ , satisfying the conditions  $[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{V}$ , and  $\mathcal{V} \cap \bar{\mathcal{V}} = (0)$ ;  $\mathcal{V}$  is called the *CR bundle* of  $M$ .

If  $M$  and  $M'$  are two CR manifolds with CR bundles  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V}'$ , a CR mapping from  $M$  into  $M'$  is a smooth mapping  $H : M \rightarrow M'$ , such that at every  $p \in M$

$$(1) \quad H'(\theta) \in \mathcal{V}'_{H(p)}, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathcal{V}_p,$$

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32D15, 32H99

<sup>1</sup>Supported by NSF Grant DMS 8603176

<sup>2</sup>Supported by NSF Grant DMS 8420754 and Sloan Foundation

<sup>3</sup>Supported by NSF Grant DMS 8601260

where  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is the fiber of  $\mathcal{V}$  at  $p$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{H(p)}$  is the fiber of  $\mathcal{V}$  at  $H(p)$ , and  $H' : CTM \rightarrow CTM'$  the differential map of  $H$ .

From now on we restrict ourselves to the case:  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M' = 3$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}' = 1$ . Let  $p_0 \in M$ ,  $p'_0 = H(p_0) \in M'$ , and  $L, L'$  two nonvanishing smooth sections of  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V}'$ , defined near  $p_0$  and  $H(p_0)$  respectively. It follows from (1) that there exists a smooth function  $\lambda$  defined in a neighborhood of  $p_0$  in  $M$  such that, for all  $p \in M$  near  $p_0$ ,

$$(2) \quad H'(L_p) = \lambda(p)L'_{H(p)}.$$

**DEFINITION.** *The CR mapping  $H : M \rightarrow M'$  is of finite multiplicity at  $p_0$  if there exists a differential operator of the form*

$$(3) \quad S = M_1 \dots M_j$$

(called string of length  $j$ ) with  $M_p = L$  or  $\bar{L}$ , such that

$$(4) \quad S\lambda(p_0) \neq 0.$$

The mapping is said to be of multiplicity  $k$  if the shortest string  $S$  for which (4) holds is of length  $k - 1$ .

In particular  $H$  is of multiplicity 1 if  $\lambda(p_0) \neq 0$ , of multiplicity 2 if  $\lambda(p_0) = 0$ , and either  $L\lambda(p_0) \neq 0$  or  $\bar{L}\lambda(p_0) \neq 0$ , etc...

It is clear that for a CR mapping  $H$  to be of multiplicity  $k$  is independent of the choice of the vector fields  $L$  and  $L'$ .

Following Kohn [7], the CR manifold  $M$  is of finite type at  $p_0$  if and only if any smooth complex vector field defined near  $p_0$  is in the Lie algebra spanned by  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$ . It is of type  $m$  at  $p_0$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) if the shortest bracket of  $L$ 's and  $\bar{L}$ 's not in the span of  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  at  $p_0$ , is of length  $m$ . (We have used the following convention: the length of  $[L, \bar{L}]$  is 2, that of  $[L, [L, \bar{L}]]$  is 3 etc...).

We can now state our first result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H : M \rightarrow M'$  be a CR mapping from  $M$  into  $M'$ . If  $H$  is of multiplicity  $k$  at  $p_0 \in M$ , ( $1 \leq k < \infty$ ), and  $M'$  is of type  $m'$  at  $p'_0 = H(p_0)$ , ( $2 \leq m' < \infty$ ), then the following holds:*

- (i)  $M$  is of type  $m$  at  $p_0$  with  $m = km'$ .

- (ii) If  $S$  is a string of the form (3) with length  $\leq k - 1$ , and with at least one  $M_p = \bar{L}$  then  $S\lambda(p_0) = 0$ ; in particular  $L^{k-1}\lambda(p_0) \neq 0$ .
- (iii)  $H'(CT_{p_0}M) \not\subset \mathcal{V}_{H(p_0)} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{H(p_0)}$ .

Note that if  $H'_{p_0} : T_{p_0}M \rightarrow T_{H(p_0)}M'$  is the differential map at  $p_0$ , then (iii) implies that

$$H'_{p_0} \neq \{0\}.$$

If  $k = 1$ , it follows from (2), (4) (with  $S = 1$ ) and (iii) that  $H'_{p_0}$  is an isomorphism; therefore  $H$  is a local diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of  $p_0$  onto a neighborhood of  $H(p_0)$ . This fact, when  $H$  is the boundary value of a holomorphic mapping from a pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to another, follows from Derridj [4].

The proof of Theorem 1 uses recursive arguments with repeated applications of the following two observations.

First, suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are vector fields on  $M$  for which there exist smooth functions  $\alpha_j(u)$ ,  $\beta_j(u)$  on  $M$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , and smooth vector fields  $A'_j$  and  $B'_j$  on  $M'$  such that

$$H'(A_u) = \sum_j \alpha_j(u) A'_{j,H(u)}, \quad H'(B_u) = \sum_j \beta_j(u) B'_{j,H(u)},$$

then

$$H'([A, B]_u) = \sum_j (A\beta_j)(u) B'_{j,H(u)} - \sum_j (B\alpha_j)(u) A'_{j,H(u)} + \sum_{p,q} (\alpha_p \beta_q)(u) [A'_p, B'_q]_{H(u)}.$$

Second, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are two commutators of  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  of length  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  respectively then

$$[E_1, E_2] = aL + b\bar{L} + \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} C^{\alpha},$$

where each  $C^{\alpha}$  is a commutator of  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  of length  $|\alpha| < n_1 + n_2$ , and  $a, b, c_{\alpha}$  are smooth functions on  $M$ .

One of the crucial steps in the proof of Theorem 1 consists of proving that if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two strings of the form (3) with length  $k - 1$ , having the same number of  $L$ 's then

$$S_1\lambda(p_0) = S_2\lambda(p_0).$$

We say that the mapping  $H : M \rightarrow M'$  is *flat* at  $p_0$  if all partial derivatives of  $H$  of any order vanish at  $p_0$ .

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $H : M \rightarrow M'$  be a CR mapping and  $p_0 \in M$ . If  $M$  and  $M'$  are of finite type at  $p_0$  and  $p'_0 = H(p_0)$  respectively, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$H$  is of finite multiplicity at  $p_0$ .*
- (b)  *$H'_{p_0} \neq \{0\}$ .*
- (c)  *$H$  is not flat at  $p_0$ .*

Examples show that the conclusions of Theorems 1 and 2 are no longer valid if the finite type conditions are dropped in the assumptions.

Our main analyticity result is the following.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $M, M'$  be two real analytic CR manifolds,  $H$  a smooth CR mapping from  $M$  into  $M'$ , and  $p_0 \in M$ . If  $H$  is of finite multiplicity at  $p_0$  and  $M'$  of finite type at  $p'_0 = H(p_0)$ , then  $H$  is real analytic in a neighborhood of  $p_0$ .*

The proof of Theorem 3 uses the general approach of [1]. Since  $M$  and  $M'$  are real analytic, they can be considered as embedded in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , where the variables are denoted by  $z, w$ . We assume that  $p_0 = H(p_0) = 0$ , and that  $M$  and  $M'$  are respectively given locally by

$$\text{Im } w = \varphi(z, \bar{z}, \Re w), \quad \text{Im } w = \psi(z, \bar{z}, \Re w),$$

with  $\varphi(z, 0, \Re w) = \psi(z, 0, \Re w) = 0$ . The mapping  $H$  is then locally given by a pair of CR functions  $(f, g)$  defined on  $M$  and satisfying

$$(5) \quad \frac{g - \bar{g}}{2i} = \psi(f, \bar{f}, \frac{g + \bar{g}}{2}).$$

As in [1] it suffices to show that  $f$  and  $g$  are real analytic with respect to  $\Re w$ , uniformly in  $z$ .

We have here  $\lambda = L\bar{f}$ , where the function  $\lambda$  is as in (2). By Theorem 1 we have  $L^k \bar{f}(0) \neq 0$  and  $L^j \bar{f}(0) = 0$ ,  $0 \leq j < k$ .

An important part of the proof consists of repeatedly applying  $L$  to (5). In addition to the arguments used in [1] (where  $k = 1$ ), the following result in one complex variable is crucial.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $a$  be a positive number and  $R$  the domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by  $|\xi| < a$ ,  $0 < \eta < a$ , with  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ . Let  $u, v$  be two functions defined in  $\bar{R}$  and satisfying:*

- (i)  *$u, v \in C^\infty(\bar{R})$  and  $u, v$  are holomorphic in  $R$ ,*

(ii)  $h(\xi) = \frac{u(\xi)}{v(\xi)} \in C^\infty([-a, a]),$

(iii) *there exist a positive integer  $p$  and, for  $0 \leq j \leq p-1$ , functions  $a_j \in C^\infty(\bar{R})$ , holomorphic in  $R$ ,  $a_j(0) = 0$ , such that*

$$(h(\xi))^p + a_{p-1}(\xi)(h(\xi))^{p-1} + \cdots + a_0(\xi) = 0, \quad \text{in } [-a, a].$$

*Then  $h$  extends holomorphically to  $R$  as  $\frac{u(\zeta)}{v(\zeta)}$ , and  $\frac{u}{v} \in C^\infty(R \cup (-a, a))$ .*

For real analytic CR mappings, we have the following result which gives a justification for the definition of finite multiplicity.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $M, M'$  be two real analytic CR manifolds of finite type at  $p_0$  and  $p'_0$  respectively,  $M$  connected, and  $H : M \rightarrow M'$  a real analytic CR mapping with  $H(p_0) = p'_0$ .*

- (i) *If  $H$  is not of finite multiplicity at  $p_0$ , then  $H$  is constant i.e.  $H(M) = p'_0$ .*
- (ii) *If  $H$  is of multiplicity  $k$  at  $p_0$  ( $1 \leq k < \infty$ ), then  $p'_0$  is an interior point of  $H(M)$ . More precisely, for every  $U$ , a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $p_0$  in  $M$ , there exists  $V$ , an open neighborhood of  $p'_0$  in  $M'$  such that  $V \subset H(U)$ . In addition there is a finite number of real analytic curves  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$  contained in  $V$  such that, for every  $p' \in V \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^r \gamma_j \cup \{p'_0\})$ , there exist exactly  $k$  points  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in U$  satisfying  $H(p_j) = p'$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , with  $H$  of multiplicity 1 at each  $p_j$ .*

Theorems 3 and 4 together with an argument involving the iteration of  $H$ , and the use of properties of real analytic sets, yield the following global result.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $M$  be a real analytic compact CR manifold and  $H : M \rightarrow M$  a smooth CR mapping. If  $M$  is of finite type at each point and  $H$  of finite multiplicity at each point of  $M$ , then  $H$  is of multiplicity one at each point. Therefore  $H$  is a local analytic diffeomorphism.*

Several of the previous results have applications to holomorphic extendability of proper maps in domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Indeed it is well known that the boundary value of a holomorphic mapping is a CR mapping, and, when the boundaries are real analytic, the question of holomorphic extendability of a CR mapping reduces to that of its real analyticity. We give here some of these applications.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be two open bounded sets of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with real analytic boundaries. Let  $F : D \rightarrow D'$  be a proper holomorphic mapping with  $F \in C^\infty(\overline{D})$ . If  $F$  is nowhere flat on  $\partial D$  then  $F$  extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}$ . More precisely there exist  $D_1$  and  $D'_1$ , two open bounded neighborhoods of  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{D}'$  respectively, with real analytic boundaries, such that  $F$  extends as a holomorphic proper mapping from  $D_1$  into  $D'_1$ .*

Using an argument due to Pinčuk [9] and Theorems 5 and 6 we obtain the following, which generalizes a result of Bedford–Bell [2].

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $D$  be an open bounded set in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with real analytic boundary and  $F$  a proper holomorphic self mapping of  $D$ . If  $F \in C^\infty(\overline{D})$  and  $F$  is nowhere flat on  $\partial D$  then  $F$  extends as a biholomorphism from an open neighborhood of  $\overline{D}$  onto another.*

In the pseudoconvex case, using a generalized form of an argument due to Fornaess [6] the nowhere flatness can be dropped in Theorem 6. Then making use of Theorem 6 and the result of Bell–Catlin [3], and Diederich–Fornaess [5] we obtain:

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be two bounded pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with real analytic boundaries, if  $F$  is a proper holomorphic map from  $D$  into  $D'$  then  $F$  extends as a proper holomorphic mapping from a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}$  to a neighborhood of  $\overline{D}'$ .*

Complete proofs will be published elsewhere.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BAOUENDI, M. S., H. JACOBOWITZ AND F. TREVES, *On the analyticity of CR mappings*, Ann. Math. **122** (1985), 365–400.
- [2] BEDFORD, E. AND S. BELL, *Proper self maps of weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 47–49.
- [3] BELL, S. AND D. CATLIN, *Boundary regularity of proper holomorphic mappings*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), 385–396.
- [4] DERRIDJ, M., *Multitype et prolongement d'applications holomorphes*, (Preprint).
- [5] DIEDERICH, K. AND J. E. FORNAESS, *Boundary regularity of proper holomorphic mappings*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 363–384.
- [6] FORNAESS, J. E., *Biholomorphic mappings between weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Pacific J. Math. **74** (1978), 63–65.
- [7] KOHN, J. J., *Boundary behaviour of  $\bar{\partial}$  on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds of dimension two*, J. Diff. Geom. **6** (1972), 523–542.
- [8] LEWY, H., *On the boundary behavior of holomorphic mappings*, Acad. Naz. Lincei **35** (1977), 1–8.
- [9] PINČUK, S. I., *On proper holomorphic mappings of strictly pseudoconvex domains*, Siberian Math. J. **15** (1974), 909–917.
- [10] —————, *On the analytic continuation of holomorphic mappings*, Mat. Sbornik **98** (140) (1975), 416–435; Math USSR Sbornik **27** (1975), 375–392.

M. S. Baouendi and S. R. Bell  
Department of Mathematics  
Purdue University  
West Lafayette, IN 47907.

L. P. Rothschild  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093