## Math 220A HW 1 Solutions to Selected Problems

Chapter 1.4

2. (b) Calculate the cube roots of i.

**Solution:** In polar coordinates, finding a cube root of *i* amounts to solving the equation

$$r^3 e^{i3\theta} = e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}}$$

which forces

$$r = 1, 3\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k$$

with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The possible values of  $\theta$  then must be  $\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2\pi k}{3}$ , so  $\theta$  is one of  $\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6}$ , or  $\frac{3\pi}{2}$ .

7. If  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(z^n) \ge 0$  for every positive integer n, show that z is a non-negative real number.

**Solution:** Again we put z into polar coordinates,  $z = re^{i\theta}$ . Our assumption tells us that (up to an integer multiple of  $2\pi$ , at least)

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \le n \cdot \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for every positive integer n. This means  $\theta$  itself satisfies  $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . If  $|\theta| > 0$ , then we can take the largest n such that  $|n\theta| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . However,

$$|(n+1)\theta| \le |n\theta| + |\theta|$$
$$\le \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}$$
$$\le \pi$$

meaning  $\frac{\pi}{2} < |(n+1)\theta| \le \pi$ , which we've established to be impossible. Thus z is real, so  $z = \operatorname{Re}(z) \ge 0$ .

Chapter 2.3

## 3. Show that diam $A = \operatorname{diam} A^{-}$ .

**Solution:** The left hand side is certainly  $\leq$  the right, since  $A^-$  contains A (so we are taking the sup over more points than we are for A's diameter). For the other direction, by the definition of supremum as a least upper bound it's enough to check that for each s and t in A,  $d(s,t) \leq \text{diam } A$ . We've seen any element in the closure is either in A or a limit point, so we can find a sequence  $s_i \in A$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(s, s_n) = 0^{-1}$ . Likewise, we can take a sequence  $t_j \in A$  converging to t. By the triangle inequality,

$$d(s,t) - d(s,s_n) - d(t,t_n) \le d(s_n,t_n) \le d(s,t) + d(s,s_n) + d(t,t_n)$$

so taking limits we see that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(s_n, t_n) = d(s, t)$ . This shows that  $d(s, t) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(s_n, t_n)$ is certainly  $\leq \sup_{i,j} d(s_i, t_j)$ , which in turn is  $\leq \sup_{x,y \in A} d(x, y) = \operatorname{diam} A$  since all of the  $s_i$ and  $t_j$  are in A, and we are done.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> if s is actually in A, then just take all the  $s_i$ 's to be s