

## 109 Spring 2011 - Implication and Subsets - Solutions

**Exercise (I.4).** Prove the following statements concerning positive integers  $a, b$ , and  $c$ .

(i)  $(a \text{ divides } b) \text{ and } (a \text{ divides } c) \implies a \text{ divides } (b + c)$ .

By definition of division, the assumption says that there are integers  $m, n$  such that

$$b = ma \quad \text{and} \quad c = na.$$

Then  $b + c = ma + na = a(m + n)$ . Since  $m + n$  is an integer, this means that  $a$  divides  $b + c$ , as required.

(ii)  $(a \text{ divides } b) \text{ or } (a \text{ divides } c) \implies a \text{ divides } bc$ .

We recall that a proposition of the form  $(P_1 \text{ or } P_2) \implies Q$  is equivalent to the proposition  $(P_1 \implies Q) \text{ and } (P_2 \implies Q)$ . Thus, we prove the two implications. First, we assume that  $a$  divides  $c$ . So by definition (as above), there is an integer  $m$  such that  $c = ma$ . Then  $bc = b(ma) = a(bm)$  and  $a$  divides  $bc$  as required. To prove the second implication, we assume that  $b$  divides  $c$ . Analogously to before, there is an integer  $n$  such that  $b = na$  and hence  $bc = a(cn)$ . Thus,  $a$  divides  $bc$  and the second implication is true. Since we have prove that both implications are true, their conjunction is also true.

**Exercise (I.5).** Which of the following conditions are *necessary* for the positive integer  $n$  to be divisible by 6? Which are sufficient?

- (i) 3 divides  $n$
- (ii) 9 divides  $n$
- (iii) 12 divides  $n$
- (iv)  $n = 12$

Necessary: (i).

Sufficient: (iii), (iv).

**Exercise (II.10).** We define half-infinite intervals as follows

$$(a, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > a\};$$

$$[a, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq a\}.$$

Prove that

$$(i) \quad (a, \infty) \subseteq [b, \infty) \iff a \geq b,$$

We prove the two implications.

$\Rightarrow$  We prove the contrapositive:  $a < b \implies (a, \infty) \not\subseteq [b, \infty)$ . Suppose  $a < b$  and consider the number  $c = a + \frac{b-a}{2}$ . Then

$$a < c < b.$$

In particular, by definition of the intervals,  $c \in (a, \infty)$  but  $c \notin [b, \infty)$ . Therefore,  $c$  is a witness to the fact that  $(a, \infty) \not\subseteq [b, \infty)$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $a \geq b$ . To show that  $(a, \infty) \subseteq [b, \infty)$  we need to show that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $x \in (a, \infty)$  then  $x \in [b, \infty)$ . So let  $x$  be such that  $x \in (a, \infty)$ . By definition, this means  $x > a$ . But,  $a \geq b$  so transitivity gives that  $x \geq b$ . Thus, by definition,  $x \in [b, \infty)$ .

$$(ii) \quad [a, \infty) \subseteq (b, \infty) \iff a > b,$$

Similar to above.