

#17.1 **Solution.** By the division algorithm, we have

$$7684 = 4148(1) + 3536$$

$$4148 = 3536(1) + 612$$

$$3536 = 612(5) + 476$$

$$612 = 476(1) + 136$$

$$476 = 136(3) + 68$$

$$136 = 68(2) + 0$$

Rearranging these equations, we find $m = 27$ and $n = -50$.

$$\begin{aligned} 68 &= 476(1) - 136(3) \\ &= 476(1) - (612(1) - 476(1))(3) \\ &= 612(-3) + 476(4) \\ &= 612(-3) + (3536(1) - 612(5))(4) \\ &= 3536(4) + 612(-23) \\ &= 3536(4) + (4148(1) - 3536(1))(-23) \\ &= 4148(-23) + 3536(27) \\ &= 4148(-23) + (7684(1) - 4148(1))(27) \\ &= 7684(27) + 4148(-50). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

#17.2 **Solution** By the division algorithm we have

$$7648 = 4148(1) + 3500$$

$$4148 = 3500(1) + 648$$

$$3500 = 648(5) + 260$$

$$648 = 260(2) + 128$$

$$260 = 128(2) + 4$$

$$128 = 4(32) + 0.$$

Rearranging these equations, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 4 &= 260 - 128(2) \\
 &= 260 - (648 - 260(2))(2) \\
 &= 648(-2) + 260(5) \\
 &= 648(-2) + (3500 - 648(5))(5) \\
 &= 3500(5) + 648(-27) \\
 &= 3500(5) + (4148 - 3500)(-27) \\
 &= 4148(-27) + 3500(32) \\
 &= 4148(-27) + (7648 - 4148)(32) \\
 &= 7648(32) + 4148(-59).
 \end{aligned}$$

To finish the problem, we see

$$68 = 17 * 4 = 17(7648(32) + 4148(-59)) = 7642(544) - 4148(1003)$$

so $m = 544$ and $n = 1003$. □

#18.1 Solution By #17.1, we have

$$272 = 4 * 68 = 4(7684(27) + 4148(-50)) = 7684(108) + 4148(-200),$$

so $m = 108$ and $n = -200$. □

#IV.14 Proof. Let $c = am' + bn'$ where $m', n' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that c does not divide a . By the division algorithm, we can write $a = cq + r$ where $0 < r < c$. Observe that $r > 0$ since we have assumed that c does not divide a . Note that

$$0 < r = a - cq = a - (am' + bn')q = a(1 - m'q) + b(n'q) \in \{am + bn : m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } am + bn > 0\}.$$

Since $c > r$, this contradicts the definition of c . Hence, our initial assumption is incorrect so c divides a . A similar argument shows that c divides b so c is a common divisor of a and b .

By the previous paragraph $c \leq \gcd(a, b)$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $\gcd(a, b)x = a$ and $\gcd(a, b)y = b$. We then have

$$c = am' + bn' = \gcd(a, b)xm' + \gcd(a, b)yn' = \gcd(a, b)(xm' + yn'),$$

so $\gcd(a, b) | c$. Since $\gcd(a, b), c > 0$, this implies $\gcd(a, b) \leq c$. Hence $\gcd(a, b) = c = am' + bn'$, which gives an alternate proof of Theorem 17.1.1. □

#IV.15 (i) By the division algorithm, we have

$$252 = 165(1) + 87$$

$$165 = 87(1) + 78$$

$$87 = 78(1) + 9$$

$$78 = 9(8) + 6$$

$$9 = 6(1) + 3$$

$$6 = 3(2) + 0.$$

We see that $\gcd(252, 165) = 3$. We will now rearrange the equations above to write 3 as an integral linear combination of 252 and 165.

$$\begin{aligned} 3 &= 9 - 6 \\ &= 9 - (78 - 9(8)) \\ &= 78(-1) + 9(9) \\ &= 78(-1) + (87 - 78)(9) \\ &= 87(9) - 78(10) \\ &= 87(9) - (165 - 87)(10) \\ &= 165(-10) + 87(19) \\ &= 165(-10) + (252 - 165)(19) \\ &= 252(19) + 165(-29). \end{aligned}$$

We have $3 = 252(19) + 165(-29)$ so multiplying both sides of this equation by 5 yields

$$15 = 252(95) + 165(-145). \quad \square$$