

## Variational Integrators

- 1 Melvin Leok  
 2 Department of Mathematics, University of California,  
 3 San Diego, CA, USA

### 4 Mathematics Subject Classification

- 5 65P10, 37M15, 70H03

### 6 Short Definition

- 7 Variational integrators are a class of geometric  
 8 structure-preserving numerical methods that are based  
 9 on a discrete Hamilton's variational principle, and are  
 10 automatically symplectic and momentum preserving.

### 11 Description

#### 12 Introduction

13 Geometric numerical integrators are numerical meth-  
 14 ods that preserve the geometric structure of a continu-  
 15 ous dynamical system (see, e.g., [8, 11], and references  
 16 therein), and variational integrators provide a system-  
 17 atic framework for constructing numerical integrators  
 18 that preserve the symplectic structure and momen-  
 19 tum, of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian systems, while  
 20 exhibiting good energy stability for exponentially long  
 21 times.

22 In many problems, the underlying geometric struc-  
 23 ture affects the qualitative behavior of solutions, and  
 24 as such, numerical methods that preserve the geometry

of a problem typically yield more qualitatively accu- 25  
 rate simulations. This qualitative property of geometric 26  
 integrators can be better understood by viewing a 27  
 numerical method as a discrete dynamical system that 28  
 approximates the flow map of the continuous system 29  
 (see, e.g., [1, 21]), as opposed to the traditional view 30  
 that a numerical method approximates individual tra- 31  
 jectories. In particular, this viewpoint allows questions 32  
 about long-time stability to be addressed, which would 33  
 otherwise be difficult to answer. 34

#### Variational Integrators

35 Discrete Lagrangian mechanics [16] is based on a dis- 36  
 crete analogue of Hamilton's principle. Given a config- 37  
 uration manifold  $Q$ , we introduce the *discrete action* 38  
*sum*,  $\mathbb{S}_d : Q^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is given by 39

$$\mathbb{S}_d(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} L_d(q_i, q_{i+1}), \quad 40$$

where  $Q^{n+1}$  can be viewed as the space of discrete 41  
 trajectories on  $Q$ . The *discrete Hamilton's principle* 42  
 states that 43

$$\delta \mathbb{S}_d(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n) = 0, \quad 44$$

when taking variations that leave the endpoints  $q_0$  and 45  
 $q_n$  fixed. The *discrete Lagrangian*,  $L_d : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , 46  
 is a generating function of the symplectic flow, and is 47  
 an approximation to the *exact discrete Lagrangian*, 48

$$L_d^E(q_0, q_1; h) = \int_0^h L(q_{01}(t), \dot{q}_{01}(t)) dt, \quad (1) \quad 49$$

where  $q_{01}(0) = q_0$ ,  $q_{01}(h) = q_1$ , and  $q_{01}$  satis- 50  
 fies the Euler–Lagrange equation in the time interval 51  
 $(0, h)$ . The exact discrete Lagrangian is related to 52

53 the Jacobi solution of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation.  
 54 Alternatively, one can characterize the exact discrete  
 55 Lagrangian in the following way:

$$L_d^E(q_0, q_1; h) = \underset{\substack{q \in C^2([0, h], Q) \\ q(0) = q_0, q(h) = q_1}}{\text{ext}} \int_0^h L(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) dt. \quad (2)$$

56  
 57 The exact discrete Lagrangian generates the exact dis-  
 58 crete time flow of a Lagrangian system, but cannot  
 59 be computed explicitly. Instead, these two charac-  
 60 terizations of the exact discrete Lagrangian lead to  
 61 two general approaches for constructing variational  
 62 integrators.

63 The discrete variational principle then yields the  
 64 *discrete Euler–Lagrange (DEL)* equation,

$$65 \quad D_2 L_d(q_{k-1}, q_k) + D_1 L_d(q_k, q_{k+1}) = 0, \quad (3)$$

66 where  $D_i$  denotes a partial derivative with respect to  
 67 the  $i$ -th argument. This implicitly defines the *discrete*  
 68 *Lagrangian map*  $F_{L_d} : (q_{k-1}, q_k) \mapsto (q_k, q_{k+1})$  for  
 69 initial conditions  $(q_{k-1}, q_k)$  that are sufficiently close  
 70 to the diagonal of  $Q \times Q$ . This is equivalent to the  
 71 *implicit discrete Euler–Lagrange (IDEL)* equations,

$$62 \quad p_k = -D_1 L_d(q_k, q_{k+1}), \quad p_{k+1} = D_2 L_d(q_k, q_{k+1}), \quad (4)$$

which implicitly defines the *discrete Hamiltonian map* 73  
 $\tilde{F}_{L_d} : (q_k, p_k) \mapsto (q_{k+1}, p_{k+1})$ , where the discrete 74  
 Lagrangian is the Type I generating function of the 75  
 symplectic transformation. 76

Störmer–Verlet Method as a Variational Integrator 77

The Störmer–Verlet method is an example of a varia- 78  
 tional integrator, which can also be viewed as a com- 79  
 position method and a splitting method (see, e.g., [7]). 80  
 As a variational integrator, the Störmer–Verlet method 81  
 is obtained from the following discrete Lagrangian: 82

$$L_d(q_0, q_1) = \frac{h}{2} \left[ L \left( q_0, \frac{q_1 - q_0}{h} \right) + L \left( q_1, \frac{q_1 - q_0}{h} \right) \right]. \quad (5) \quad 83$$

This can be interpreted as the trapezoidal rule approxi- 84  
 mation of the action integral, applied to the linear path 85  
 that joins the boundary points  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . More gen- 86  
 erally, we will see that discrete Lagrangians can be 87  
 constructed with a suitable choice of quadrature form- 88  
 ula, and some prescription for specifying the state 89  
 of the system at the quadrature points, subject to the 90  
 boundary conditions. 91

To see that the discrete Lagrangian (5) recovers 92  
 the Störmer–Verlet method, we consider a Lagrangian 93  
 given by  $L(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T M \dot{q} - V(q)$ , which is the dif- 94  
 ference of the kinetic and the potential energy. Then, 95  
 the discrete Euler–Lagrange equations yield 96

---


$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_2 L_d(q_{k-1}, q_k) + D_1 L_d(q_k, q_{k+1}) \\ &= \frac{h}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \left( q_{k-1}, \frac{q_k - q_{k-1}}{h} \right) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \left( q_k, \frac{q_k - q_{k-1}}{h} \right) + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \left( q_k, \frac{q_k - q_{k-1}}{h} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{h}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \left( q_k, \frac{q_{k+1} - q_k}{h} \right) - \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \left( q_k, \frac{q_{k+1} - q_k}{h} \right) - \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \left( q_{k+1}, \frac{q_{k+1} - q_k}{h} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{h}{2} \left[ \frac{2}{h} M \frac{q_k - q_{k-1}}{h} - \nabla V(q_k) \right] + \frac{h}{2} \left[ -\nabla V(q_k) - \frac{2}{h} M \frac{q_{k+1} - q_k}{h} \right] \\ &= \frac{M}{h} (-q_{k+1} + 2q_k - q_{k-1}) - h \nabla V(q_k). \end{aligned}$$


---

97 This is equivalent to

$$98 \quad M(q_{k+1} - 2q_k + q_{k-1}) + h^2 \nabla V(q_k) = 0,$$

99 which is the two-step formulation of the Störmer–  
100 Verlet method with the force given by  $f(q) =$   
101  $-M^{-1} \nabla V(q)$ .

## 102 Desirable Properties of Variational Integrators

### 103 Symplecticity

104 Given a discrete Lagrangian  $L_d$ , one obtains a  
105 discrete fiber derivative,  $\mathbb{F}L_d : (q_0, q_1) \mapsto$   
106  $(q_0, -D_1 L_d(q_0, q_1))$ . Variational integrators are sym-  
107 plectic, i.e., the pullback under  $\mathbb{F}L_d$  of the canonical  
108 symplectic form  $\Omega$  on the cotangent bundle  $T^*Q$   
109 is preserved. Pushing forward the discrete Euler–  
110 Lagrange equations yields a symplectic-partitioned  
111 Runge–Kutta method.

### 112 Momentum Conservation

113 Noether’s theorem states that if a Lagrangian is invari-  
114 ant under the lifted action of a Lie group, then the  
115 associated momentum is preserved by the flow. If a dis-  
116 crete Lagrangian is invariant under the diagonal action  
117 of a symmetry group, a discrete version of Noether’s  
118 theorem holds, and the discrete flow preserves the dis-  
119 crete momentum map. For PDEs with a uniform spa-  
120 tial discretization, a backward error analysis implies  
121 approximate spatial momentum conservation [19].

### 122 Approximate Energy Conservation

123 While variational integrators do not exactly preserve  
124 energy, backward error analysis [1, 5, 6, 20] shows that  
125 they preserve a modified Hamiltonian that is close to  
126 the original Hamiltonian for exponentially long times.  
127 In practice, the energy error is bounded and does not  
128 drift. This is the temporal analogue of the approximate  
129 momentum conservation result for PDEs, as energy is  
130 the momentum map associated with time invariance.

## 131 Variational Error Analysis and Discrete Noether’s 132 Theorem

133 The variational integrator approach to constructing  
134 symplectic integrators has a few important advantages  
135 from the point of view of numerical analysis. In partic-  
136 ular, the task of establishing properties of the discrete  
137 Lagrangian map  $F_{L_d} : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q \times Q$  reduces  
138 to the simpler task of verifying certain properties of  
139 the discrete Lagrangian instead. Here, we summarize

the results from Theorems 1.3.3 and 2.3.1 of Marsden 140  
and West [16] that relate to the order of accuracy and 141  
momentum conservation properties of the variational 142  
integrator. 143

### Discrete Noether’s Theorem 144

Given a discrete Lagrangian  $L_d : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which 145  
is invariant under the diagonal action of a Lie group 146  
 $G$  on  $Q \times Q$ , then the discrete Lagrangian momentum 147  
map,  $J_{L_d} : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ , given by 148

$$J_{L_d}(q_k, q_{k+1}) \cdot \xi = \langle -D_1 L_d(q_k, q_{k+1}), \xi_Q(q_k) \rangle \quad 149$$

is invariant under the discrete Lagrangian map, i.e., 150  
 $J_{L_d} \circ F_{L_d} = J_{L_d}$ . 151

### Variational Error Analysis 152

The natural setting for analyzing the order of accuracy 153  
of a variational integrator is the variational error anal- 154  
ysis framework introduced in Marsden and West [16]. 155  
In particular, Theorem 2.3.1 of Marsden and West [16] 156  
states that if a discrete Lagrangian,  $L_d : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , 157  
approximates the exact discrete Lagrangian,  $L_d^E : Q \times$  158  
 $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , given in (1) and (2) to order  $p$ , i.e., 159

$$L_d(q_0, q_1; h) = L_d^E(q_0, q_1; h) + \mathcal{O}(h^{p+1}), \quad 160$$

then the discrete Hamiltonian map,  $\tilde{F}_{L_d} : (q_k, p_k) \mapsto$  161  
 $(q_{k+1}, p_{k+1})$ , viewed as a one-step method, is order  $p$  162  
accurate. 163

## General Techniques for Constructing Variational Integrators 164

### Shooting-Based Variational Integrators 165

The exact discrete Lagrangian associated with Jacobi’s 166  
solution (1) can be interpreted as the action integral 167  
evaluated on a solution of a two-point boundary-value 168  
problem. As such, a computable approximation to 169  
the exact discrete Lagrangian can be obtained in two 170  
stages: (1) apply a numerical quadrature formula to 171  
the action integral, evaluated along the exact solu- 172  
tion of the Euler–Lagrange boundary-value problem; 173  
(2) replace the exact solution of the Euler–Lagrange 174  
boundary-value problem with a numerical solution 175  
of the boundary-value problem, in particular, by a 176  
converged shooting solution associated with a given 177  
one-step method. More generally, the shooting-based 178  
solution of the Euler–Lagrange boundary-value prob- 179  
lem can also be replaced with approximate solutions 180  
181

182 based on other numerical schemes, including Taylor  
183 integrators, and collocation methods applied to either  
184 the Euler–Lagrange vector field or its prolongation.

185 Given a one-step method  $\Psi_h : TQ \rightarrow TQ$ ,  
186 and a numerical quadrature formula  $\int_0^h f(x)dx \approx$   
187  $h \sum_{i=0}^n b_i f(x(c_i h))$ , with quadrature weights  $b_i$  and  
188 quadrature nodes  $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{n-1} <$   
189  $c_n = 1$ , we construct the *shooting-based discrete*  
190 *Lagrangian*,

$$191 \quad L_d(q_0, q_1; h) = h \sum_{i=0}^n b_i L(q^i, v^i),$$

192 where

$$193 \quad (q^{i+1}, v^{i+1}) = \Psi_{(c_{i+1}-c_i)h}(q^i, v^i), \quad q^0 = q_0, \quad q^n = q_1.$$

194 These equations, together with the implicit discrete  
195 Euler–Lagrange equations (4), can be solved iteratively  
196 using a shooting method. If one uses a  $p$ -th order  
197 accurate one-step method and a  $q$ -th order accurate  
198 quadrature formula to construct the variational integra-  
199 tor, then the resulting variational integrator will have  
200 order of accuracy  $\min(p, q)$ .

### 201 Galerkin Variational Integrators

202 The variational characterization of the exact discrete  
203 Lagrangian (2) leads to a class of Galerkin varia-  
204 tional integrators, where one replaces the integral with  
205 a quadrature formula and replaces the space of  $C^2$   
206 curves with a finite-dimensional function space.

207 Let  $\{\psi_i(\tau)\}_{i=1}^s$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , be a set of basis  
208 functions for a  $s$ -dimensional function space  $C_d^s$ , and  
209 choose a numerical quadrature formula with quadra-  
210 ture weights  $b_i$  and quadrature nodes  $c_i$ . Then, a  
211 Galerkin variational integrator is given by,

$$212 \quad q_1 = q_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^s B_i V^i,$$

$$213 \quad p_1 = p_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}(Q^i, \dot{Q}^i),$$

$$214 \quad Q^i = q_0 + h \sum_{j=1}^s A_{ij} V^j, \quad i = 1, \dots, s$$

$$215 \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}(Q^i, \dot{Q}^i) \psi_j(c_i) - p_0 B_j$$

$$216 \quad -h \sum_{i=1}^s (b_i B_j - b_i A_{ij}) \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}(Q^i, \dot{Q}^i), \quad j = 1, \dots, s$$

$$217 \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^s \psi_i(c_j) V^i - \dot{Q}^j, \quad j = 1, \dots, s$$

where  $(b_i, c_i)$  are the quadrature weights and quadra- 218  
219 ture points,  $B_i = \int_0^1 \psi_i(\tau) d\tau$ ,  $A_{ij} = \int_0^{c_i} \psi_j(\tau) d\tau$ .  
220 When the chosen basis functions satisfy a Kronecker  
221 delta property, the last equation states that  $V^i = \dot{Q}^i$ ,  
222 and the method reduces to a *symplectic-partitioned*  
223 *Runge–Kutta method*.

224 While variational integrators are typically described  
225 in terms of the Lagrangian, an analogous theory  
226 of variational integrators formulated in terms of the  
227 Hamiltonian was developed in Leok and Zhang [13].  
228 When the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian are hyperregu-  
229 lar, these two approaches yield equivalent variational  
230 integrators, but the Hamiltonian approach remains  
231 valid in the case of degenerate Hamiltonian systems,  
232 for which there is no Lagrangian analogue.

### 233 Generalizations of Variational Integrators

234 Lie Group and Homogeneous Space Variational  
235 Integrators

236 Lie groups are smooth manifolds that have a group  
237 structure. More explicitly, a Lie group can be locally  
238 identified with Euclidean space, and it has a smooth  
239 group operation. Such manifolds often arise as con-  
240 figuration spaces in applications involving robotics  
241 and other modern engineering systems. The basic  
242 idea of Lie group integrators is to express the update  
243 map on a Lie group  $G$  in terms of the group  
244 operation:

$$245 \quad g_{k+1} = g_k \circ f_k, \quad (6)$$

246 where  $g_k, g_{k+1} \in G$  are configuration variables,  
247  $f_k \in G$  is the incremental update, and the group oper-  
248 ation is denoted by  $\circ$ . Since the group element is  
249 updated by a group operation, the group structure is  
250 preserved automatically without the need for local  
251 parameterizations, explicit constraints, or reprojection.  
252 This is in contrast to conventional numerical integra-  
253 tors that update group elements using addition, which  
254 does not preserve the Lie group structure, since the  
255 addition operation on the embedding linear space is not  
256 closed when restricted to the Lie group.

257 On a Lie group  $G$  that acts on the left, one uses the  
258 exponential map, which is a local diffeomorphism, to  
259 obtain an open neighborhood  $U \subset G$  of  $e$  such that  
260  $\exp_e^{-1} : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . This yields a natural chart  
261  $\psi_g : L_g U \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}$  at  $g \in G$  given by  $\psi_g = \exp_e^{-1} \circ L_{g^{-1}}$ .  
262 Consider an interpolatory function at the level of the  
263 Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  that is described by a set of control points  
264  $\xi^v = \psi_{g_0}^{-1}(g^v)$  at control times  $0 = d_0 < d_1 <$

265  $d_2 < \dots < d_{s-1} < d_s = 1$ . Lifting this curve  
 266 to the Lie group yields the following  $G$ -equivariant  
 267 interpolant,

$$268 \quad \varphi(g^\nu; \tau h) = \psi_{g^0}^{-1} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^s \psi_{g^0}(g^\nu) \tilde{l}_{\nu,s}(\tau) \right),$$

269 where  $\tilde{l}_{\nu,s}(t)$  denote the Lagrange polynomials associ-  
 270 ated with the control times  $d_\nu$ . A quadrature approxi-  
 271 mation of the integral then yields the following discrete  
 272 Lagrangian:

---


$$L_d(g_0, g_1) = \operatorname{ext}_{g^\nu \in G; g^0 = g_0; g^s = g_0^{-1} g_1} h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i L(T\varphi(\{g^\nu\}_{\nu=0}^s; c_i h)).$$


---

273 This can be expressed in terms of the Lie algebra ele-  
 274 ment  $\xi^\nu = \psi_{g_0}(g^\nu)$  associated with the  $\nu$ -th control  
 275 point  $g^\nu$ , which yields the following expression for the  
 276 discrete Lagrangian:

---


$$L_d(g_0, g_1) = \operatorname{ext}_{\xi^\nu \in \mathfrak{g}; \xi^0 = 0; \xi^s = \psi_{g_0}(g_1)} h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i L \left( L_{g_0} \exp(\xi(c_i h)), \right. \\ \left. T_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))} L_{g_0} \cdot T_e L_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))} \cdot \operatorname{dexp}_{\operatorname{ad}_{\xi(c_i h)}}(\dot{\xi}(c_i h)) \right).$$


---

277 The extremal conditions for the Lie algebra elements  
 278 can be explicitly computed to give

---


$$L_d(g_0, g_1) = h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i L \left( L_{g_0} \exp(\xi(c_i h)), T_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))} L_{g_0} \cdot T_e L_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))} \cdot \operatorname{dexp}_{\operatorname{ad}_{\xi(c_i h)}}(\dot{\xi}(c_i h)) \right)$$


---

279 with  $\xi^0 = 0$ ,  $\xi^s = \psi_{g_0}(g_1)$ , and the other Lie algebra  
 280 elements are implicitly defined by,

---


$$0 = h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathfrak{g}}(c_i h) T_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))} L_{g_0} \cdot T_e L_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))} \cdot \operatorname{dexp}_{\operatorname{ad}_{\xi(c_i h)}} \tilde{l}_{\nu,s}(c_i) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathfrak{g}}}(c_i h) T_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))}^2 L_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))} \cdot T_e^2 L_{\exp(\xi(c_i h))} \cdot \operatorname{ddexp}_{\operatorname{ad}_{\xi(c_i h)}} \dot{\tilde{l}}_{\nu,s}(c_i) \right],$$


---

281 for  $\nu = 1, \dots, s-1$ , and where  $\operatorname{dexp}_w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{(n+1)!}$ ,  
 282 and  $\operatorname{ddexp}_w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{(n+2)!}$ . These conditions are anal-  
 283 ogous to the internal stages of a Runge–Kutta method.  
 284 The expression for the Lie group discrete Lagrangian  
 285 yields a *Lie group variational integrator* [9].

Another important related class of manifolds are  
 homogeneous spaces, which are manifolds with a trans-  
 sitive Lie group action. Given a homogeneous space  
 $H$  and a Lie group  $G$ , a curve  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$   
 on the homogeneous space can be lifted to a curve

286  
 287  
 288  
 289  
 290

291  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ , where  $h(t) = g(t) \cdot h(0)$ , and  $g(0) = e$ .  
 292 One complication is that the lifting is not unique, due  
 293 to the presence of isotropy, which are elements of the  
 294 Lie group  $G$  that fix a given point of the homoge-  
 295 neous space. The lifted curve can be made unique if we  
 296 choose a connection and require that the lifted curve is  
 297 horizontal with respect to this connection. This proce-  
 298 dure allows one to develop *homogeneous space varia-*  
 299 *tional integrators* [10], by relating them to flows on Lie  
 300 groups, and applying Lie group variational integrators.

### 301 Multisymplectic Variational Integrators

302 The variational principle for Lagrangian PDEs  
 303 involves a multisymplectic formulation [17, 18]. The  
 304 *base space*  $\mathcal{X}$  consists of independent variables,  
 305 denoted by  $(x^0, \dots, x^n) \equiv (t, x)$ , where  $x^0 \equiv t$  is  
 306 time, and  $(x^1, \dots, x^n) \equiv x$  are space variables. The  
 307 dependent field variables,  $(y^1, \dots, y^m) \equiv y$ , form  
 308 a fiber over each spacetime basepoint. The indepen-  
 309 dent and field variables form the *configuration bundle*,  
 310  $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . The configuration of the system is spec-  
 311 ified by a *section* of  $Y$  over  $\mathcal{X}$ , which is a continuous  
 312 map  $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ , such that  $\pi \circ \phi = 1_{\mathcal{X}}$ . This means  
 313 that for every  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\phi((t, x))$  is in the fiber over  
 314  $(t, x)$ , which is  $\pi^{-1}((t, x))$ .

315 For ODEs, the Lagrangian depends on position  
 316 and its time derivative, which is an element of the  
 317 tangent bundle  $TQ$ , and the action is obtained by  
 318 integrating the Lagrangian in time. In the multisym-  
 319 plectic case, the Lagrangian density is dependent on  
 320 the field variables and the partial derivatives of the  
 321 field variables with respect to the spacetime vari-  
 322 ables, and the action integral is obtained by integrating  
 323 the Lagrangian density over a region of spacetime.  
 324 The multisymplectic analogue of the tangent bundle  
 325 is the *first jet bundle*  $J^1Y$ , consisting of the con-  
 326 figuration bundle  $Y$ , and the first partial derivatives  
 327 of the field variables with respect to the independent  
 328 variables. In coordinates, we have  $\phi(x^0, \dots, x^n) =$   
 329  $(x^0, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^m)$ , which allows us to denote the  
 330 partial derivatives by  $y_{\mu}^a = y^a_{,\mu} = \partial y^a / \partial x^{\mu}$ . We can  
 331 think of  $J^1Y$  as a fiber bundle over  $\mathcal{X}$ . Given a sec-  
 332 tion  $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ , we obtain its *first jet extension*,  
 333  $j^1\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow J^1Y$ , that is given by

$$334 \quad j^1\phi(x^0, \dots, x^n) = (x^0, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^m,$$

$$335 \quad y^1_{,0}, \dots, y^m_{,n}),$$

336 which is a section of the fiber bundle  $J^1Y$  over  $\mathcal{X}$ .  
 337 The *Lagrangian density* is a map  $L : J^1Y \rightarrow$

$\Omega^{n+1}(\mathcal{X})$ . Given the action functional,  $\mathcal{S}(\phi) =$  338  
 $\int_{\mathcal{X}} L(j^1\phi)$ , Hamilton's principle states that the phys- 339  
 ical solutions are extremals of the functional  $\mathcal{S}$ , 340  
 i.e.,  $\delta\mathcal{S} = 0$ . 341

With the generalization of Hamilton's principle 342  
 to Lagrangian field theories, one can develop varia- 343  
 tional integrators for PDEs. A discrete action  $\mathcal{S}_d$  is 344  
 constructed by choosing a finite-dimensional approx- 345  
 imation of the space of sections of the configura- 346  
 tion bundle, e.g., spacetime finite elements or spectral 347  
 expansions, and integrating the Lagrangian density 348  
 over spacetime with a suitable quadrature formula. The 349  
 discrete Hamilton's principle, which states that  $\delta\mathcal{S}_d =$  350  
 0 for variations of the discrete sections that fix the 351  
 boundary conditions, leads to a multisymplectic vari- 352  
 ational integrator. This is a more general framework 353  
 than applying a symplectic integrator to a semidis- 354  
 cretized Lagrangian PDE, since it allows for discretiza- 355  
 tions of spacetime that are not tensor products. This 356  
 flexibility is used in *asynchronous variational inte-* 357  
*grators* [14], where each element may have a differ- 358  
 ent timestep. Analogous to the ODE case, variational 359  
 integrators for Lagrangian PDEs preserve a multisym- 360  
 plectic form, and for problems with symmetries, a 361  
 multimomentum map is preserved as well. 362

## 363 Conclusions

Variational integrators provide a systematic framework 364  
 for leveraging existing knowledge in approximation 365  
 theory, one-step numerical methods, and quadrature 366  
 rules, to construct a large class of geometric structure- 367  
 preserving numerical integrators that are applicable 368  
 to a wide range of problems. In particular, this leads 369  
 to methods for PDEs [14], nonsmooth collisions [4], 370  
 stochastic systems [2], nonholonomic systems [3], and 371  
 constrained systems [15]. Furthermore, generalizations 372  
 involving Dirac structures and mechanics [12] allow 373  
 one to consider interconnections between discrete 374  
 Lagrangian systems, which will potentially provide a 375  
 unified approach for multiphysics systems. 376

## 377 References

- 378 1. Benettin, G., Giorgilli, A.: On the Hamiltonian interpolation 378  
 of near to the identity symplectic mappings with applica- 379  
 tion to symplectic integration algorithms. *J. Stat. Phys.* **74**, 380  
 1117–1143 (1994) 381

- 382 2. Bou-Rabee, N., Owhadi, H.: Stochastic variational integra- 411  
383 tors. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **29**(2), 421–443 (2009) 412
- 384 3. Cortés, J., Martínez, S.: Non-holonomic integrators. *Nonlin- 413*  
385 earity **14**(5), 1365–1392 (2001) 414
- 386 4. Fetecau, R., Marsden, J., Ortiz, M., West, M.: Nonsmooth 415  
387 Lagrangian mechanics and variational collision integrators. 416  
388 *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.* **2**(3), 381–416 (2003) 417
- 389 5. Hairer, E.: Backward analysis of numerical integrators and 418  
390 symplectic methods. *Ann. Numer. Math.* **1**, 107–132 (1994) 419
- 391 6. Hairer, E., Lubich, C.: The life-span of backward error anal- 420  
392 ysis for numerical integrators. *Numer. Math.* **76**, 441–462 421  
393 (1997) 422
- 394 7. Hairer, E., Lubich, C., Wanner, G.: Geometric numerical 423  
395 integration illustrated by the Störmer-Verlet method. *Acta.* 424  
396 *Numer.* **12**, 399–450 (2003) 425
- 397 8. Hairer, E., Lubich, C., Wanner, G.: Geometric Numerical 426  
398 Integration: Structure-Preserving Algorithms for Ordinary 427  
399 Differential Equations. Springer Series in Computational 428  
400 Mathematics, vol 31, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (2006) 429
- 401 9. Lee, T., Leok, M., McClamroch, N.: Lie group variational 430  
402 integrators for the full body problem. *Comput. Method.* 431  
403 *Appl. Mech. Eng.* **196**(29–30), 2907–2924 (2007) 432
- 404 10. Lee, T., Leok, M., McClamroch, N.: Lagrangian mechan- 433  
405 ics and variational integrators on two-spheres. *Int. J. Numer.* 434  
406 *Method Eng.* **79**(9), 1147–1174 (2009) 435
- 407 11. Leimkuhler, B., Reich, S.: Simulating Hamiltonian Dynam- 436  
408 ics. Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computa- 437  
409 tional Mathematics, vol 14. Cambridge University Press, 438  
410 Cambridge (2004) 439
12. Leok, M., Ohsawa, T.: Variational and geometric structures 411  
of discrete Dirac mechanics. *Found. Comput. Math.* (2011). 412  
[doi:10.1007/s10208-011-9096-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10208-011-9096-2) 413
13. Leok, M., Zhang, J.: Discrete Hamiltonian variational inte- 414  
grators. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* (2011). [doi:10.1093/imanum/](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drq027) 415  
[drq027](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drq027) 416
14. Lew, A., Marsden, J., Ortiz, M., West, M.: Asynchronous 417  
variational integrators. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Ana.* **167**(2), 418  
85–146 (2003) 419
15. Leyendecker, S., Marsden, J., Ortiz, M.: Variational integra- 420  
tors for constrained mechanical systems. *ZAMM Angew.* 421  
*Math. Mech.* **88**, 677–708 (2008) 422
16. Marsden, J., West, M.: Discrete mechanics and variational 423  
integrators. *Acta Numer.* **10**, 317–514 (2001). Cambridge 424  
University Press 425
17. Marsden, J., Patrick, G., Shkoller, S.: Multisymplectic 426  
geometry, variational integrators, and nonlinear PDEs. 427  
*Commun. Math. Phys.* **199**(2), 351–395 (1998) 428
18. Marsden, J., Pekarsky, S., Shkoller, S., West, M.: Varia- 429  
tional methods, multisymplectic geometry and continuum 430  
mechanics. *J. Geom. Phys.* **38**(3–4), 253–284 (2001) 431
19. Oliver, M., West, M., Wulff, C.: Approximate momen- 432  
tum conservation for spatial semidiscretizations of nonlinear 433  
wave equations. *Numer. Math.* **97**, 493–535 (2004) 434
20. Reich, S.: Backward error analysis for numerical integrators. 435  
*SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **36**, 1549–1570 (1999) 436
21. Tang, Y.: Formal energy of a symplectic scheme for Hamil- 437  
tonian systems and its applications (I). *Comput. Math. Appl.* 438  
**27**, 31–39 (1994) 439