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Abstract: This paper provides new results for the tracking control of a quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). The dynamics of the quadrotor UAV are expressed globally on the configuration manifold of the special Euclidean group, and we construct geometric controllers to track outputs that correspond to each of three flight modes, namely (1) almost global asymptotic tracking of the attitude of the quadrotor UAV, (2) almost global asymptotic tracking of the position of the center of mass of the quadrotor UAV, and (3) almost global asymptotic tracking of the velocity of the center of mass of the quadrotor UAV. Since the control approach is coordinate-free, it completely avoids singularities and complexities that arise when using local coordinates. Based on a hybrid control architecture, we show that the proposed control system can generate complex acrobatic maneuvers of a quadrotor UAV.

1. INTRODUCTION

A quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) consists of two pairs of counter-rotating rotors and propellers, located at the vertices of a square frame. Due to its simple mechanical structure, it has been envisaged for various applications (see Valenti et al. [2006], Pounds et al. [2010], Hoffmann et al. [2007]).

Despite the substantial interest in quadrotor UAVs, little attention has been paid to constructing nonlinear control systems. Linear control systems are widely used to enhance the stability properties of an equilibrium (see, for example, Valenti et al. [2006], Hoffmann et al. [2007], Castillo et al. [2005], Bouabdalla et al. [2005], Nice [2004]). A nonlinear controller is developed for the linearized dynamics of a quadrotor UAV by Guenard et al. [2005]. Backstepping and sliding mode techniques are applied by Bouabdalla and Siegward [2005]. Since all of these controllers are based on Euler angles, they exhibit singularities when representing complex rotational maneuvers of a quadrotor UAV, thereby significantly restricting their ability to achieve complex flight maneuvers.

Geometric control, as utilized in this paper, is concerned with the development of control systems for dynamic systems evolving on nonlinear manifolds that cannot be globally identified with Euclidean spaces (see Bloch [2003], Bullo and Lewis [2005]). By characterizing geometric properties of nonlinear manifolds intrinsically, geometric control techniques provide unique insights to control theory that cannot be obtained from dynamic models represented using local coordinates. This approach has been applied to fully actuated rigid body dynamics on Lie groups by Bullo and Lewis [2005], Cabecinhas et al. [2008], Chaturvedi et al. [2009].

In this paper, we make use of geometric methods to define and analyze controllers that can achieve complex aerobatic maneuvers for a quadrotor UAV. The dynamics of the quadrotor UAV are expressed globally on the configuration manifold of the special Euclidean group SE(3). Based on a hybrid control architecture, we construct controllers that can achieve output tracking for outputs that correspond to each of several flight modes. In particular, we introduce three flight modes, each defined by a nonlinear controller that achieves: (1) almost global asymptotic tracking of the attitude of the quadrotor UAV, (2) almost global asymptotic tracking of the position of the center of mass, and (3) almost global asymptotic tracking of the velocity of the center of mass. Since the control approach is coordinate-free, it completely avoids singularities and complexities that arise when using local coordinates. This paper is an extension of the prior work of Lee et al. [2010b], introducing a hybrid control structure, a velocity tracking mode, convergence properties of the first body fixed axis, and a new example. Due to page limit, all of the proofs are relegated to Lee et al. [2010a].

2. QUADROTOR DYNAMICS MODEL

Consider a quadrotor UAV model illustrated in Fig. 1. This is a system of four identical rotors and propellers located at the vertices of a square, which generate a thrust and torque normal to the plane of this square. We choose...
an inertial reference frame \(\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}\) and a body-fixed frame \(\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}\). The origin of the body-fixed frame is located at the center of mass of this vehicle. The first and the second axes of the body-fixed frame, \(b_1, b_2\), lie in the plane defined by the centers of the four rotors. The third body-fixed axis \(b_3\) is normal to this plane. Define

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
m \\
J_f \\
R \\
\Omega \\
x \\
v \\
d \\
f_i \\
\tau_i \\
f \\
M
\end{bmatrix} & \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3
\end{align*}
\]

where

- \(m \in \mathbb{R}\) is the total mass
- \(J_f \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}\) is the inertia matrix with respect to the body-fixed frame
- \(R \in \text{SO}(3)\) is the rotation matrix from the body-fixed frame to the inertial frame
- \(\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3\) is the angular velocity in the body-fixed frame
- \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3\) is the position vector of the center of mass in the inertial frame
- \(v \in \mathbb{R}^3\) is the velocity vector of the center of mass in the inertial frame
- \(d \in \mathbb{R}\) is the distance from the center of mass to the center of each rotor in the \(b_1, b_2\) plane
- \(f_i \in \mathbb{R}\) is the thrust generated by the \(i\)-th propeller along the \(-b_3\) axis
- \(\tau_i \in \mathbb{R}\) is the torque generated by the \(i\)-th propeller about the \(b_3\) axis
- \(f \in \mathbb{R}\) is the total thrust, i.e., \(f = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_i\)
- \(M \in \mathbb{R}^3\) is the total moment vector in the body-fixed frame

The configuration of this quadrotor UAV is defined by the location of the center of mass and the attitude with respect to the inertial frame. Therefore, the configuration manifold is the special Euclidean group \(\text{SE}(3)\), which is the semidirect product of \(\mathbb{R}^3\) and the special orthogonal group \(\text{SO}(3) = \{R \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3} | R^T R = I, \det R = 1\}\).

The following conventions are assumed for the rotors and propellers and the thrust and moment that they exert on the quadrotor UAV. We assume that the thrust of each propeller is directly proportional to its thrust. Since it is assumed that the first and the third propellers rotate clockwise and the second and the fourth propellers rotate counterclockwise to generate a positive thrust along the direction \(-b_3\), the torque generated by the \(i\)-th propeller is given by \(\tau_i = (-1)^i c_{i,R} f_i\) for a fixed constant \(c_{i,R}\).

Under these assumptions, the total thrust, and the total moment vector in the body-fixed frame can be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M_1 \\
M_2 \\
M_3 \\
M_4
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
f_1 \\
f_2 \\
f_3 \\
f_4
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -d & 0 & d \\
0 & 0 & -d & 0 \\
-c_{R} f_i c_{R} f_i - c_{R} f_i c_{R} f_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The determinant of the above \(4 \times 4\) matrix is \(8c_{R}^2 d^2\), so it is invertible when \(d \neq 0\) and \(c_{R} \neq 0\). Therefore, for given total thrust and moment vector \(f, M\), the thrust of each propeller \(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\) can be obtained from (1). Using this equation, the total thrust \(f \in \mathbb{R}\) and the moment vector \(M \in \mathbb{R}^3\) are viewed as control inputs in this paper.

The equations of motion of the quadrotor UAV can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{v} &= v, \\
\dot{M} &= mg e_3 - f R e_3, \\
R &= \hat{R} \Omega, \\
\hat{\Omega} + \Omega \times \hat{\Omega} &= M
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\hat{\Omega} \colon \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(3)\) is defined by the condition that \(\hat{x}y = x \times y\) for all \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3\) (see Appendix A).

3. GEOMETRIC TRACKING CONTROL OF A QUADROTOR UAV

Since the quadrotor UAV has four inputs, it is possible to achieve asymptotic output tracking for at most four quadrotor UAV outputs. This motivates us to introduce several flight modes. Each flight mode is associated with a specified set of outputs for which exact tracking of those outputs define that flight mode.

- Attitude controlled flight mode: the outputs are the attitude of the quadrotor UAV and the controller for this flight mode achieves asymptotic attitude tracking.
- Position controlled flight mode: the outputs are the position vector of the center of mass of the quadrotor UAV and the controller for this flight mode achieves asymptotic position tracking.
- Velocity controlled flight mode: the outputs are the velocity vector of the center of mass of the quadrotor UAV and the controller for this flight mode achieves asymptotic velocity tracking.

A complex flight maneuver can be defined by specifying a concatenation of flight modes together with conditions for switching between them; for each flight mode one also specifies the desired or commanded outputs as time functions. For example, one might define a complex aerobatic flight maneuver for the quadrotor UAV that consists of a hovering flight segment with specified constant position vector, a reorientation segment with specified vehicle attitude, and a surveillance flight segment with specified time-varying position vector. These types of complex aerobatic maneuvers, involving large angle transitions between flight modes, have not been much studied in the literature. Such a hybrid flight control architecture, for longitudinal flight
4. ATTITUDE CONTROLLED FLIGHT MODE

We now introduce a nonlinear controller for the attitude controlled flight mode. We show that this controller achieves almost globally asymptotic attitude tracking, that is the output attitude of the quadrotor UAV asymptotically tracks the commanded attitude.

An arbitrary smooth attitude tracking command \( t \rightarrow R_d(t) \in SO(3) \) is given as a function of time. The corresponding angular velocity command is obtained by the attitude kinematics equation as \( \dot{R}_d = R_d^T \dot{R}_d \). We first define errors associated with the attitude dynamics of the quadrotor UAV. The attitude and angular velocity error should be carefully chosen as they evolve on the tangent bundle of SO(3). First, define the real error function on \( SO(3) \times SO(3) \):

\[
\Psi(R, R_d) = \frac{1}{2} tr[I - R_d^T R].
\]

This function is locally positive-definite about \( R = R_d \) within the region where the rotation angle between \( R \) and \( R_d \) is less than 180° (see Bullo and Lewis [2005]). For a given \( R_d \), this set can be represented by the sublevel set \( L_2 = \{ R \in SO(3) \mid \Psi(R, R_d) < 2 \} \), which almost covers \( SO(3) \).

The variation of a rotation matrix can be expressed as \( \delta R = \delta \tilde{R} \eta \) for \( \eta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), so that the derivative of the error function is given by

\[
D_R\Psi(R, R_d) \cdot \delta \tilde{R} \eta = \frac{1}{2} tr[R_d^T \delta \tilde{R} \eta][R_d^T \delta \tilde{R} \eta]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2}(R_d^T R - R_d^T R_d)^\vee \cdot \eta,
\]

where the \( \text{vee map} \) \( \vee : \mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the inverse of the hat map. We used a property of the hat map given by equation (A.3) in Appendix A. From this, the attitude tracking error \( e_R \) is chosen to be

\[
e_R = \frac{1}{2}(R_d^T R - R_d^T R_d)^\vee.
\]

The tangent vectors \( \dot{R} \in T_R SO(3) \) and \( \dot{R}_d \in T_{R_d} SO(3) \) cannot be directly compared since they lie in different tangent spaces. We transform \( \dot{R}_d \) into a vector in \( T_R SO(3) \), and we compare it with \( \dot{R} \) as follows:

\[
\dot{R} - \dot{R}_d(R_d^T R) = R(\dot{R} \omega - R^T R_d \omega_d),
\]

where \( \dot{\tilde{R}} = R_d^T R_d \tilde{R} \) and we use equation (A.5) in Appendix A. This motivates our choice of the tracking error for the angular velocity \( e_\Omega \) as follows:

\[
e_\Omega = \Omega - R^T R_d \omega_d.
\]

We show that \( e_\Omega \) is the angular velocity of the relative rotation matrix \( R_d^T R \), represented in the body-fixed frame, since

\[
\frac{d}{dt}(R_d^T R) = R_d^T R(\dot{\Omega} - R^T R_d \omega_d) = (R_d^T R) \dot{e}_\Omega.
\]

We now introduce a nonlinear controller for the attitude controlled flight mode, described by an expression for the total moment:

\[
M = -k_R \dot{R} - k_\Omega \dot{\Omega} + \Omega \times J \Omega
- J(\dot{\Omega}^T R_d \omega_d - R^T R_d \omega_d),
\]

where \( k_R, k_\Omega \) are positive constants and \( R_d(t) \in SO(3) \) is the specified attitude command for this attitude controlled flight mode. The control moment vector depends of feedback of the attitude error and angular velocity error and it depends on the commanded attitude, angular velocity and angular acceleration.

In this attitude controlled mode, it is possible to ignore the translational motion of the quadrotor UAV; consequently the reduced model for the attitude dynamics are given by equations (4), (5), using the controller expression (11).

We now show that the reduced closed loop dynamics have the property that \( (e_R, e_\Omega) = (0, 0) \) is an equilibrium that is exponentially stable.

**Proposition 1. (Exponential Stability of Attitude Controlled Flight Mode)** Consider the control moment \( M \) defined in (11) for any positive constants \( k_R, k_\Omega \). Suppose that the initial conditions satisfy

\[
\Psi(R(0), R_d(0)) < 2,
\]

\[
\|\dot{e}_\Omega(0)\|^2 < \frac{2}{\lambda_{\text{max}}(J)} k_R(2 - \Psi(R(0), R_d(0))),
\]

where \( \lambda_{\text{max}}(J) \) denotes the maximum eigenvalue of the inertia matrix \( J \). Then, the zero equilibrium of the closed loop tracking error \( (e_R, e_\Omega) = (0, 0) \) is exponentially stable. Furthermore, there exist constants \( \alpha_2, \beta_2 > 0 \) such that

\[
\Psi(R(t), R_d(t)) \leq \min \{ 2, \alpha_2 e^{-\beta_2 t} \}.
\]

In this proposition, equations (12), (13) describe a region of attraction for the reduced closed loop dynamics. An estimate of the domain of attraction is obtained for which the quadrotor attitude lies in the sublevel set \( L_2 = \{ R \in SO(3) \mid \Psi(R, R_d) < 2 \} \). This requires that the initial attitude error should be less than 180°, in terms of the rotation angle about the eigenaxis between \( R \) and \( R_d \). Therefore, in Proposition 1, exponential stability is guaranteed for almost all initial attitude errors. The attitude error function defined in (6) has the following critical points or equilibrium solutions: the identity matrix, and rotation matrices that can be written as \( \exp(\pi v) \) for any \( v \in S^2 \). These non-identity critical points of the attitude error function lie outside of the region of attraction. Since these critical points are a two-dimensional manifold in the three-dimensional \( SO(3) \), we claim that the presented controller exhibits \( \text{almost global} \) properties in \( SO(3) \). It is impossible to construct a smooth controller on \( SO(3) \) that has global asymptotic stability. The region of attraction for the angular velocity can be increased by choosing a large controller gain \( k_R \) in (13).

Asymptotic tracking of the quadrotor attitude does not require specification of the total thrust force. As an auxiliary problem, the total thrust force can be chosen in many different ways to achieve a 1-D translational motion objective. As one illustration of a specific selection approach, we assume that the objective is to asymptotically track a quadrotor attitude command. It is straightforward to obtain the following corollary of Proposition 1.

**Proposition 2. (Exponential Stability of Attitude Controlled Flight Mode with Altitude Tracking)** Consider the
control moment $M$ defined in (11) satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 1. In addition, the total thrust force is given by

$$f = k_2(x_3 - x_{3d}) + k_v(\dot{x}_3 - \dot{x}_{3d}) + mg - m\ddot{x}_{3d},$$

where $k_2, k_v$ are positive constants, $x_{3d}(t)$ is the quadrotor altitude command, and $e = M - Re_3$.

The conclusions of Proposition 1 hold and in addition the quadrotor altitude $x_3(t)$ asymptotically tracks the altitude command $x_{3d}(t)$.

Since the translational motion of the quadrotor UAV can be only partially controlled; this flight mode is most suitable only for short time periods where an attitude maneuver is to be completed. The translational equations of motion of the quadrotor UAV, during an attitude flight mode, are given by equations (2), (3), and whatever total thrust controller, e.g. equation (15), is selected. These equations can be analyzed to determine the full translational motion of the quadrotor UAV during an attitude controlled flight mode.

5. POSITION CONTROLLED FLIGHT MODE

We now introduce a nonlinear controller for the position controlled flight mode. We show that this controller achieves almost global asymptotic position tracking, that is the output position vector of the quadrotor UAV asymptotically tracks the commanded position. This flight mode requires analysis of the coupled translational and rotational equations of motion; hence, we make use of the notation and analysis in the prior section to describe the properties of the closed loop system in this flight mode.

An arbitrary position tracking command $t \to x_d(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is given. The position tracking errors for the position and the velocity are given by:

$$e_x = x - x_{3d},$$
$$e_v = v - \dot{x}_{3d}.$$  

The nonlinear controller for the position controlled flight mode, described by control expressions for the total thrust magnitude and the total moment vector, are:

$$M = -k_3R^eR - k_3e\Omega + \Omega \times J\Omega,$$
$$e = M - Re_3,$$

where $k_3$ is a positive constant. Following the prior definition of the attitude error and the angular velocity error

$$e = e_x + k_v e_v + mg e_3 - m\ddot{x}_d,$$

where $e = R(t) \in \mathbb{SO}(3)$ and $e \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are constructed as:

$$e = \begin{bmatrix} b_{3x} & b_{3y} & b_{3z} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $b_{3x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -b_{3z} & b_{3y} \\ b_{3z} & b_{3x} & -b_{3y} \\ -b_{3y} & b_{3z} & b_{3x} \end{bmatrix}$ is defined by

$$b_{3x} = -k_2 e_x - k_3 e_v + mg e_3 + m\ddot{x}_d,$$

and $b_{3} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is selected to be orthogonal to $b_{3x}$, thereby guaranteeing that $R_c \in \mathbb{SO}(3)$. We assume that

$$\| -k_2 e_x - k_3 e_v + mg e_3 + m\ddot{x}_d \| \neq 0,$$

and

$$\| -k_2 e_x - k_3 e_v + mg e_3 + m\ddot{x}_d \| < B$$

for a given positive constant $B$.

The control thrust magnitude and the control moment vector depend on feedback of the position error and translational velocity error and they depend on the commanded position, translational velocity and translational acceleration. The control moment vector has a form that is similar to that for the attitude controlled flight mode. However, the attitude error and angular velocity error are defined with respect to a computed attitude, angular velocity and angular acceleration, that are constructed according to the indicated procedure. This construction has the property that the direction of the thrust vector, namely $-Re_3$, is such that the thrust magnitude (19) achieves the desired position tracking objectives.

In short, this control system is designed to achieve asymptotic tracking of the complete dynamics. The closed loop system for this position controlled flight mode is illustrated in Fig. 2. The corresponding closed loop control system is described by equations (2), (3), (4), (5), using the controller expressions (19) and (20).

We now show that the closed loop dynamics have the property that $(e_x, e_v, R, \dot{e}_x) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ is an equilibrium that is exponentially stable.

**Proposition 3.** (Exponential Stability of Position Controlled Flight Mode) Consider the thrust magnitude $f$ and moment vector $M$ defined in expressions (19), (20). Suppose that the initial conditions satisfy

$$\Psi(R(0), R_c(0)) < 1.$$  

Define $W_1, W_{12}, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ to be

$$W_1 = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 k_x & -c_1 k_v \frac{1}{m} \\ -c_1 k_v \frac{1}{m} (1 + \alpha) & k_v (1 - \alpha) - c_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} k_x e_{\max} + c_1 B & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{c_2 k_R}{c_2 R_c} & 0 \\ \frac{2 \lambda_{\max}(J)}{\lambda_{\min}(J)} & \frac{2 \lambda_{\min}(J)}{k_\Omega - c_2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\psi_1 < \Psi(R(0), R_c(0)) < 1$, $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\psi_1(2 - \psi_1)}{\psi_1}}$, $e_{\max} = \max(\|e_x(0)\|, k_v(1 - \alpha))$. For positive constants $k_2, k_3$, we choose positive constants $c_1, c_2, k_R, k_\Omega$ such that

![Fig. 2. Controller structure for position controlled flight mode](image-url)
\( c_1 < \min \left\{ k_v(1 - \alpha), \frac{4m_k k_v(1 - \alpha)}{k_v^2(1 + \alpha)^2 + 4m_k}, \sqrt{k_v} m \right\}, \) \hspace{1cm} (30)

\( c_2 < \min \left\{ k_\Omega, \frac{4k_\Omega k_v \lambda_{\min}(J)^2}{k_v \lambda_{\max}(J) + 4k_\Omega \lambda_{\min}(J)^2}, \sqrt{k_v} \lambda_{\min}(J) \right\}, \) \hspace{1cm} (31)

\[ \lambda_{\min}(W_2) > \frac{4|W_{12}|^2}{\lambda_{\min}(W_1)}. \] \hspace{1cm} (32)

Then, the zero equilibrium of the closed loop tracking errors \((e_x, e_v, e_R, e_\gamma) = (0, 0, 0, 0)\) is exponentially stable.

A region of attraction is characterized by (26) and

\[ \|e_\Omega(0)\|^2 < \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}(J)} k_R(2 - \Psi(R(0), R_c(0))). \] \hspace{1cm} (33)

Note that the attitude error defined above is based on the computed attitude \(R_c \in SO(3)\), which depends on feedback according to the above specifications. Note that the construction of \(R_c\) is not completely determined but involves an orthogonality selection process; this construction freedom arises as a consequence of the fact that \(R_c\) is constructed to define the direction of the thrust vector which is invariant for rotations about that direction.

Proposition 3 requires that the initial attitude error is less than 90° to achieve exponential stability for this flight mode. Suppose that this is not satisfied, i.e. \( 1 < \Psi(R(0), R_c(0)) < 2 \). We can apply the conclusions of Proposition 1 to obtain that the attitude error function \(\Psi\) exponentially decreases, and therefore, it enters the region of attraction of Proposition 3 in a finite time. Therefore, by combining the results of Proposition 1 and 3, we can show almost global exponential attractiveness when \(\Psi(R(0), R_c(0)) < 2\).

**Definition 1.** (Exponential Attractiveness Qu [1998]) An equilibrium point \(z = 0\) of a dynamic system is exponentially attractive if, for some \(\delta > 0\), there exists a constant \(\alpha(\delta) > 0\) and \(\beta > 0\) such that \(\|z(t)\| < \delta\) implies \(\|z(t)\| < \alpha(\delta)e^{-\beta t}\) for all \(t > 0\).

This should be distinguished from the stronger notion of exponential stability, in which the constant \(\alpha(\delta)\) in the above bound is replaced by \(\alpha(\delta)\|z(0)\|\).

**Proposition 4.** (Almost Global Exponential Attractiveness of the Position Controlled Flight Mode) Consider the thrust force \(f\) and moment vector \(M\) defined in expressions (19), (20). Suppose that the initial conditions satisfy

\[ 1 \leq \Psi(R(0), R_c(0)) < 2, \] \hspace{1cm} (34)

\[ \|e_\Omega(0)\|^2 < \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}(J)} k_R(2 - \Psi(R(0), R_c(0))). \] \hspace{1cm} (35)

Then, the zero equilibrium of the closed loop tracking errors \((e_x, e_v, e_R, e_\gamma) = (0, 0, 0, 0)\) is exponentially attractive.

In Proposition 4, exponential attractiveness is guaranteed for almost all initial attitude errors. Since the critical points of the attitude error function are a two-dimensional manifold in the three-dimensional \(SO(3)\), as discussed in Section 4, we claim that the presented controller exhibits almost global properties in \(SO(3)\).

As described above, the construction of the orthogonal matrix \(R_c\) involves definition of its third column \(b_{3c}\) by normalization of a feedback function and selection of its first column \(b_{1c}\) to be orthogonal to this third column. The two-dimensional unit vector \(b_{1c}\) can be arbitrarily chosen in the plane normal to \(b_{3c}\). This reflects the fact that there still remains one degree of freedom in control inputs, since four control inputs of the quadrotor UAV is designed to follow a three-dimensional position command.

The rotation matrix \(R_c\) represents the attitude of the quadrotor UAV required to follow a given position command, and according to the structures of the presented position controlled flight mode, the attitude of the quadrotor UAV asymptotically converges to \(R_c\), i.e. \( R \to R_c \) as \( t \to \infty \). Therefore, by choosing \(b_{1c}\) properly, we can control the direction of the first body fixed axis. However, we cannot control the two-dimensional direction of the first body fixed axis completely arbitrarily, since there exists only one additional degree of freedom in control inputs. More explicitly, there is a constraint that \(b_{1c}\) should lie in the plane normal to \(b_{3c}\) to guarantee \(R_c \in SO(3)\). Here, the additional one dimensional control input is designed to control the projection of the first body fixed axis on to the plane normal to \(b_{3c}\).

There are several ways to specify the desired direction of the first body fixed axis projected on to the plane normal to \(b_{3c}\). Here, we choose a desired direction \(b_{1d} \in S^2\) that is not parallel to \(b_{3c}\) and \(b_{1d}\) is selected to be the projection of \(b_{1c}\) onto the plane normal to \(b_{3c}\), i.e. \(b_{1d} = \text{Proj}[b_{1c}]\), where \(\text{Proj}[\cdot]\) denotes the normalized projection onto the plane perpendicular to \(b_{3c}\). In this case, the first body fixed axis does not converge to \(b_{1c}\) exactly, but it converges to the projection of \(b_{1c}\), i.e. \(b_{1d} \to b_{1d} = \text{Proj}[b_{1c}]\) as \( t \to \infty \). In other words, the first body fixed axis converges to the intersection of two planes, namely the plane normal to \(b_{3c}\) and the plane spanned by \(b_{1d}\) and \(b_{1d}\) (see Fig. 3). From (23), we observe that \(b_{3c}\) asymptotically converges to the direction of \(ge_{3} - \tilde{x}_d\). In short, the additional one dimensional input is used to guarantee that the first body fixed axis asymptotically lies in the plane spanned by \(b_{1d}\) and \(ge_{3} - \tilde{x}_d\).

Suppose that \(\tilde{x}_d = 0\), then the third body fixed axis converges to the gravity direction \(e_{3}\). In this case, we can choose \(b_{1d}\) arbitrarily in the horizontal plane, which follows that \(b_{1d} = \text{Proj}[b_{1c}] = b_{1c}\) as \( t \to \infty \). Therefore, the first body fixed axis \(b_{1c}\) asymptotically converges to \(b_{1d}\), which can be used to specify the heading direction of the quadrotor UAV in the horizontal plane. These are summarized as follows.

**Proposition 5.** (Almost Global Exponential Attractiveness of Position Controlled Flight Mode with Asymptotic Direction of First Body-Fixed Axis) Consider the moment vector \(M\) defined in (20) and the total thrust \(f\) defined in (19) satisfying the assumptions of Propositions 3 and 4. In addition, the first column of \(R_c\), namely \(b_{1c}\) is constructed as follows. We choose \(t \to b_{1d}(t) \in S^2\), and we assume that it is not parallel to \(b_{3c}\). The unit vector \(b_{1c}\) is constructed by projecting \(b_{1d}\) onto the plane normal to \(b_{3c}\):

\[ b_{1c} = \text{Proj}[b_{1d}] = -\frac{1}{\|b_{3c} \times b_{1d}\|}(b_{3c} \times (b_{3c} \times b_{1d})). \] \hspace{1cm} (36)
Then, the conclusions of Propositions 3 and 4 hold, and the first body fixed axis asymptotically lies in the plane composed of $b_{1d}$ and $g c_{3} - \ddot{x}_{d}$. 

In a special case when $\ddot{x}_{d} = 0$. We choose $b_{1d}$ on the horizontal plane. Then, the first body fixed axis asymptotically converges to $b_{1d}$.

These additional properties of the closed loop can be interpreted as characterizing the asymptotic direction of the first body-fixed axis and the asymptotic direction of the third body-fixed axis as it depends on the commanded vehicle acceleration. These physical properties may be of importance in some flight maneuvers.

The control input of the position controlled flight mode depends on $\Omega_e$ and $\dot{\Omega}_e$ as shown at (20). The expressions for $\Omega_e$ and $\dot{\Omega}_e$ corresponding to Proposition 5 are summarized at Appendix.

6. VELOCITY CONTROLLED FLIGHT MODE

We now introduce a nonlinear controller for the velocity controlled flight mode. We show that this controller achieves almost global asymptotic velocity tracking, that is, the output velocity vector of the quadrotor UAV asymptotically tracks the commanded velocity.

An arbitrary velocity tracking command $t \rightarrow v_d(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is given. The velocity tracking error given by:

$$e_v = v - v_d. \quad (37)$$

The nonlinear controller for the velocity controlled flight mode, described by control expressions for the total thrust magnitude and the total moment vector, are:

$$f = (k_v e_v + m g e_3 - \dot{m} v_d) \cdot R e_3, \quad (38)$$

$$M = -k e R - k e_3 \Omega \times \dot{\Omega} - J (\dot{\Omega} R^T R_e \dot{R} e_3 - \ddot{R} e_3), \quad (39)$$

where $k_v, k e, k e_3$ are positive constants, and following the prior definition of the attitude error and the angular velocity error

$$e_{R} = \frac{1}{2}(R^T \dot{R} - R \dot{R}^T R e_3)^{\gamma}, \quad e_{\Omega} = \dot{\Omega} - R^T R e_3 \dot{R} e_3, \quad (40)$$

and $R e_3(t) \in \text{SO}(3)$ and $\dot{R} e_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are constructed as:

$$R e = [b_{1e}; b_{3e} \times b_{1e}; b_{3e}], \quad \dot{\Omega}_e = R^T \dot{R} e, \quad (41)$$

where $b_{3e} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is defined by

$$b_{3e} = \frac{-k_v e_v - m g e_3 + \dot{m} v_d}{\| - k_v e_v - m g e_3 + \dot{m} v_d \|} \quad (42)$$

and $b_{1e} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is selected to be orthogonal to $b_{3e}$, thereby guaranteeing that $R e_3 \in \text{SO}(3)$. We assume that

$$\| - k_v e_v - m g e_3 + \dot{m} v_d \| \neq 0, \quad (43)$$

and

$$\| - m g e_3 + \dot{m} v_d \| < B \quad (44)$$

for a given positive constant $B$.

The overall controller structure is similar to the position controlled flight mode. The control thrust magnitude and the control moment vector depend on feedback of the translational velocity error and they depend on the commanded translational velocity and translational acceleration. The control moment vector has a form that is similar to that for the attitude controlled flight mode. However, the attitude error and angular velocity error are defined with respect to a computed attitude, angular velocity and angular acceleration, that are constructed according to the indicated procedure. This construction has the property that the direction of the thrust vector, namely $-R e_3$, is such that the thrust magnitude (19) achieves the desired velocity tracking objectives. This is again verified in the proof.

We now show that the closed loop dynamics have the property that $(e_v, e_R, e_{\Omega}) = (0, 0, 0)$ is an equilibrium that is exponentially stable.

**Proposition 6.** (Exponential Stability of Velocity Controlled Flight Mode) Consider the thrust magnitude $f$ and moment vector $M$ defined in expressions (38), (39). Suppose that the initial conditions satisfy

$$\Psi(R(0), R e(0)) < 1. \quad (45)$$

Define $W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ to be

$$W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} c_2 k_R & - c_2 k_3 \\ \lambda_{\max}(J) & - \frac{2\lambda_{\min}(J)}{\lambda_{\min}(J)} \\ - \frac{\lambda_{\max}(J) - \lambda_{\min}(J)}{\lambda_{\max}(J)} & k_R - c_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (46)$$

For positive constants $k_v$, we choose positive constants $c_2, k_R, k_3$ such that

$$c_2 < \min \left\{ k_{\Omega}, \frac{4k \Omega k_R \lambda_{\min}(J)^2}{k_R \lambda_{\max}(J) + 4k_R \lambda_{\min}(J)^2}, \sqrt{k_R \lambda_{\min}(J)} \right\}, \quad (47)$$

$$\lambda_{\min}(W_2) > 4 \frac{B^2}{k_v (1 - \alpha)}, \quad (48)$$

where $\psi_1 < \Psi(R(0), R e(0)) < 1$, $\alpha = \sqrt{\psi_1 (2 - \psi_1)}$. Then, the zero equilibrium of the closed loop tracking errors $(e_v, e_R, e_{\Omega}) = (0, 0, 0)$ is exponentially stable. A region of attraction is characterized by (45) and

$$\| e_{\Omega}(0) \|^2 < \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}(J)} k_R (\psi_1 - \Psi(R(0), R e(0))). \quad (49)$$

Proposition 6 requires that the initial attitude error is less than 90° to achieve exponential stability for this flight mode. Similar to Proposition 4, we can show almost global exponential attractiveness when $\Psi(R(0), R e(0)) < 2$. 
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Proposition 7. (Almost Global Exponential Attractiveness of Velocity Controlled Flight Mode) Consider the thrust force $f$ and moment vector $M$ defined in expressions (38), (39). Suppose that the initial conditions satisfy
\[ 1 \leq \Psi(R(0), R_c(0)) < 2, \]
\[ \|e_t(0)\|^2 < \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}(J)} k_R(2 - \Psi(R(0), R_c(0))). \]
Then, the zero equilibrium of the closed loop tracking errors $(e_v, e_R, e\Omega)$ is $(0, 0, 0)$ is exponentially attractive.

As described in Section 5, there is one-dimensional freedom in constructing $R_c \in SO(3)$, namely the direction of the quadrotor UAV in the plane normal to $b_{3c}$. This can be chosen as discussed in Proposition 5.

Proposition 8. (Almost Global Exponential Attractiveness of Velocity Controlled Flight Mode with Asymptotic Direction of First Body-Fixed Axis) Consider the moment vector $M$ defined in (39) and the total thrust $f$ defined in (38) satisfying the assumptions of Propositions 6 and 7.

In addition, the first column of $R_c$, namely $b_{1c}$ is constructed as follows. We choose $t \rightarrow b_{1c}(t) \in S^2$, and we assume that it is not parallel to $b_{3c}$. The unit vector $b_{1c}$ is constructed by projecting $b_{1a}$ onto the plane normal to $b_{3c}$:
\[ b_{1c} = \frac{1}{\|b_{3c} \times b_{1a}\|} (b_{3c} \times (b_{3c} \times b_{1a})). \]
Then, the conclusions of Propositions 6 and 7 hold, and the first body fixed axis asymptotically lies in the plane composed of $b_{1a}$ and $ge_3 - \hat{v}_d$. In a special case when $\hat{v}_d = 0$. We choose $b_{1a}$ on the horizontal plane. Then, the first body fixed axis asymptotically converges to $b_{1a}$.

7. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Numerical results are presented to illustrate the prior approach to determining complex flight maneuvers for a typical quadrotor UAV. The parameters of the quadrotor UAV are chosen according to a quadrotor UAV developed in Pounds et al. [2010].
\[ J = [0.0820, 0.0845, 0.1377] \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2, \quad m = 4.34 \text{kg} \]
\[ d = 0.315 \text{m}, \quad c_{ef} = 8.004 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}. \]
The controller parameters are chosen as follows:
\[ k_x = 16 \text{m}, \quad k_v = 5.6 \text{m}, \quad k_R = 8.81, \quad k_\Omega = 2.54. \]
We consider a complex flight maneuver involving transitions between five flight modes (see Fig. 4). The tracking command for each flight mode is given by
(a) Velocity controlled flight mode $(t \in [0, 4])$
\[ v_d(t) = [1 + 0.5t, 0.2 \sin(2\pi t), -0.1], \quad b_{1a}(t) = [1, 0, 0]. \]
(b) Attitude controlled flight mode $(t \in [4, 6])$: rotation about $e_2$ by $720^\circ$
\[ R_d(t) = \exp(2\pi(t - 4)e_2). \]
(c) Position controlled flight mode $(t \in [6, 8])$
\[ x_d(t) = [14 - t, 0, 0], \quad b_{1a}(t) = [1, 0, 0]. \]
(d) Attitude controlled flight mode $(t \in [8, 9])$: rotation about $e_1$ by $360^\circ$
\[ R_d(t) = \exp(2\pi(t - 8)e_1). \]
(e) Position controlled flight mode $(t \in [9, 12])$
\[ x_d(t) = [20 - \frac{5}{3}t, 0, 0], \quad b_{1a}(t) = [0, 1, 0]. \]
\[ x(0) = [0, 0, 0], \quad v(0) = [0, 0, 0], \quad R(0) = I, \quad \Omega(0) = [0, 0, 0]. \]

Simulation results for these three flight maneuvers are illustrated at Figure 5. It begins with a velocity controlled flight mode. As the initial attitude error function is less than 1, according to Proposition 6, the velocity tracking error exponentially converges as shown at Fig. 5(d). From Proposition 8, the first body fixed axis asymptotically lies in the plane spanned by $b_{1a}$ and $ge_3 - \hat{v}_d$. Since $\|\hat{v}_d\| < g$, it stays close to the plane composed of $e_1$ and $e_3$, as illustrated at Fig. 5(e).

This is followed by an attitude tracking mode to rotate the quadrotor by $720^\circ$ about $e_2$. During this time period, the attitude error converges according to Proposition 1, but the quadrotor altitude decreases by 1 meter. But, a position tracking mode is again engaged, and the quadrotor UAV soon follows a straight line again. Another attitude tracking mode and a position tracking mode are repeated to rotate the quadrotor by $360^\circ$ about the direction of the velocity vector. For the position tracking modes (c) and (e), we have $x_d = 0$, and $b_{1a}$ lies in the horizontal plane. Therefore, according to Proposition 5, the first body fixed $b_1$ asymptotically converges to $b_{1a}$, as shown at Fig. 5(e). For example, at the last position tracking mode (e), the first body fixed axis points the left side of the flight path since $b_{1a}$ is specified to be $e_2$. These illustrate that by switching between an attitude mode and a position and heading flight mode, the quadrotor UAV can perform the indicated complex acrobatic maneuver.

Appendix A. PROPERTIES OF THE HAT MAP

The hat map $\hat{} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow so(3)$ is defined such that $\hat{x}y = x \times y$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. This identifies the Lie algebra $so(3)$ with $\mathbb{R}^3$ using the vector cross product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The inverse of the hat map is referred to as the vee map, $\vee : so(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Several properties are summarized as follows.
\[ \hat{xy} = x \times y = -y \times x = -\hat{y}x, \]
\[ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[\hat{x}\hat{y}] = x^T y, \]
\[ \text{tr}[A\hat{x}] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[(A - A^T)\hat{x}] = -x^T (A - A^T)y, \]
\[ \hat{x}A + A^T \hat{x} = ((\text{tr}[A] I_{3 \times 3} - A)x)^\wedge, \]
\[ R \hat{x}R^T = (R \hat{x})^\wedge, \]
for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, and $R \in SO(3)$.
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Fig. 4. Complex maneuver of a quadrotor UAV involving a rotation by 720° about $e_2$ (b), and a rotation by 360° about $e_1$ (d), with transitions between several flight modes (an animation illustrating this maneuver is available at http://my.fit.edu/~taeyoung).

Fig. 5. Simulation results

(b) Position ($x$, $x_d$:dotted, (m))

(c) Angular velocity ($\Omega$, $\Omega_d$:dotted, (rad/sec))

(d) Velocity ($v$, $v_d$:dotted, (m/s))

(e) First body fixed axis ($b_1$:solid, $b_{1d}$:dotted)


