

**Lecture 14. Sobolev Inequalities.**

**Left over from last time:**

**Lemma.** *If  $u \in W^{1,p}(\{x_n \geq 0\})$  and  $Tu = 0$  on  $\{x_n = 0\}$  then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |u(x', x_n)|^p dx' \leq C x_n^{p-1} \int_0^{x_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |Du|^p dx' dt.$$

**Exercise.** If  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  then there exists a sequence of functions  $u_m \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$  with  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

**Proof of the Lemma.** Choose such a sequence  $u_m \rightarrow u$ . Then

$$u_m(x', x_n) \leq u_m(x', x_n) + \int_0^{x_n} |u_{m,x_n}(x', t)| dt.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |u(x', x_n)|^p dx' \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |u_m(x', 0)|^p dx' + x_n^{p-1} \int_0^{x_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |Du_m(x', t)|^p dx' dt \right).$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and using the fact that the first term on the right is  $\|Tu_m\|_p \rightarrow \|Tu\|_p = 0$ , we get the result.

**Lemma.**  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 \leq k < \infty$ . In other words,  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_0^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof.** To prove this we choose non-negative functions  $\eta, \zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\eta > 0$  and for some  $\delta > 0$  we have  $\zeta = 1$  on  $B(0, \delta)$ , and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta dx = 1$ . Set

$$\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

and

$$\zeta_\varepsilon(x) = \zeta(\varepsilon x).$$

For  $u \in W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by previous results we have that  $\eta_\varepsilon * u \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , so  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now for  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we claim that  $\zeta_\varepsilon \cdot u \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , which will prove the result. To see this, it is clear from the dominated convergence theorem that  $\zeta_\varepsilon \cdot u \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $k \geq 1$ , we have

$$D(\zeta_\varepsilon \cdot u)(x) = \varepsilon(D\zeta)(\varepsilon x)u(x) + \zeta(\varepsilon x)Du(x).$$

The second term converges to  $Du$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and the first term converges to zero in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This shows that  $\zeta_\varepsilon \cdot u$  converges to  $u$  in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The case for  $k$  larger is similar, using the Leibnitz rule to compute  $D^\alpha(\zeta_\varepsilon \cdot u)$ .

**Theorem.** (The simplest Sobolev Inequality). If  $u \in W^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$(*) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|D^n u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

**Proof.** By the usual approximation arguments we just need to prove (\*) for  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . However, we can write

$$u(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{x_n} \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \cdots \partial_{x_n} u(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) dy_1 dy_2 \cdots dy_n.$$

Hence

$$|u(x)| \leq \|\partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \cdots \partial_{x_n} u\|_{L^1}.$$

It is also clear that  $u$  is continuous.

**Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev Inequality.** If  $1 \leq p < n$  and  $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|Du\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where  $p^*$  is defined by

$$\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}.$$

**Remarks.** 1. What is the relationship between this result and the simple Sobolev inequality from last time? Can we iterate this inequality to get the other one? No, but let's try. Let us look at what happens if we start from  $p = 1$  and form the sequence  $p, p^*, p^2 = p^{**}, \dots$ . We get

$$1, \frac{n}{n-1}, \frac{n}{n-2}, \frac{n}{n-3}, \dots, \frac{n}{1}, \infty.$$

From G-N-S we get

$$\|Du\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_2 \|D^2 u\|_{L^{n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_3 \|D^3 u\|_{L^{n/3}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \dots \leq C_n \|D^n u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

However, G-N-S does not give

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|Du\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Indeed, this inequality is false.

2. How can we see that  $p^*$  should be the correct exponent?

$$u_\lambda(x) = u(\lambda x),$$

then

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \lambda^{-n/q} \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

but

$$\|Du_\lambda\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \lambda^{1-n/p}\|Du\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Hence if

$$\|u_\alpha\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|Du_\alpha\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

holds for all  $\lambda$  we must have  $-n/q = 1 - n/p$ . Hence  $q = p^*$ .

**Proof of G-N-S for  $n = 3$ .** We will need Hölder's inequality in the form

$$\int |f|^{1/2}|g|^{1/2} \leq \left(\int |f|\right)^{1/2} \left(\int |g|\right)^{1/2}.$$

For  $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have

$$u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \partial_{x_1} u(y_1, x_2, x_3) dy_1,$$

and hence

$$|u(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|(y_1, x_2, x_3) dy_1.$$

Then interchanging the roles of the variables we get

$$|u(x)|^{3/2} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|(y_1, x_2, x_3) dy_1\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|(x_1, y_2, x_3) dy_2\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|(x_1, x_2, y_3) dy_3\right)^{1/2}.$$

Integrating with respect to  $x_1$  and applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)|^{3/2} dx_1 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|(y_1, x_2, x_3) dy_1\right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |Du|(x_1, y_2, x_3) dx_1 dy_2\right)^{1/2} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |Du|(x_1, x_2, y_3) dx_1 dy_3\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to  $x_2$  and applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(x)|^{3/2} dx_1 dx_2 &\leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|(y_1, x_2, x_3) dy_1 dx_2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |Du|(x_1, y_2, x_3) dx_1 dy_2\right)^{1/2} \left(\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Du|(x_1, x_2, y_3) dx_1 dx_2 dy_3\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to  $x_3$  and applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(x)|^{3/2} dx &\leq \left(\iiint_{\mathbb{R}} |Du|(y_1, x_2, x_3) dy_1 dx_2 dx_3\right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |Du|(x_1, y_2, x_3) dx_1 dy_2 dx_3\right)^{1/2} \left(\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Du|(x_1, x_2, y_3) dx_1 dx_2 dy_3\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Du|(x) dx\right)^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the general case we use

$$\int |f_1|^{1/n-1} \dots |f_{n-1}|^{1/n-1} \leq \left(\int |f_1|\right)^{1/n-1} \dots \left(\int |f_{n-1}|\right)^{1/n-1}.$$