

Proof of Proposition 5.1 from the paper
‘Computer-intensive rate estimation,
diverging statistics, and scanning’
by McElroy and Politis (2005).

Tucker McElroy

Dimitris N. Politis

U.S. Bureau of the Census

University of California, San Diego

Set-up: Consider a time series defined as $X_t = \sigma_t G_t$ for $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ where:
(A) The series $\{\sigma_t\}$ and $\{G_t\}$ are independent; (B) $\sigma_t = \sqrt{\epsilon_t}$, where ϵ_t are positive and i.i.d. with cdf in $D(\alpha/2)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$; and (C) $\{G_t, t \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is a stationary, mean zero, purely non-deterministic Gaussian time series.

Also consider the condition $LM(\zeta)$ defined for some $\zeta \in [0, 1)$ as

$$\sum_{|h|<n} R(h) \sim Cn^\zeta \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{|h|<n} |R(h)| = O(n^\zeta) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant, and R is the autocovariance function of $\{G_t\}$. The series $\{G_t\}$ and $\{X_t\}$ are said to have *long memory* if $LM(\zeta)$ holds with $\zeta \in (0, 1)$; $LM(0)$ will denote intermediate memory, i.e., weak dependence.

One easy consequence of $LM(\zeta)$ for $\zeta > 0$ is that $R(n) \sim \frac{\zeta C}{2} n^{\zeta-1}$ since $\sum_{|h|<n} R(h) = 2 \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} R(h)$ and L’Hopital’s Rule gives

$$\frac{C}{2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{h=1}^n R(h)}{n^\zeta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(n)}{\zeta n^{\zeta-1}}.$$

Interestingly, when appropriately normalized, the sample second moment converges in distribution in this general setting as the following Proposition demonstrates.

Proposition 5.1 *Assume assumptions (A) through (C), as well as $LM(\zeta)$. Also suppose that ϵ_t is absolutely continuous with a bounded pdf f_ϵ that is ultimately monotone, i.e., f_ϵ is monotone on (z, ∞) for some $z > 0$, and is monotone on $(-\infty, u)$ for some $u < 0$. Then, we have*

$$a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t^2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} W \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $a_n = n^{1/\alpha} K(n)$ for some slowly varying function $K(n)$. In the above, W is $\alpha/2$ -stable with scale $C_{\alpha/2}^{-2/\alpha} (E|G_t|^\alpha)^{2/\alpha}$, skewness 1, and location zero, and the constants C_p^{-1} are defined by

$$C_p^{-1} = \frac{\Gamma(2-p)\cos(\pi p/2)}{1-p}.$$

The limit theorem of Proposition 5.1 is interesting as it shows that the convergence of the sample second moment does *not* depend on the long memory parameter ζ .

Proof of Proposition 5.1 Since the cdf of ϵ_t is $F_\epsilon \in D(\alpha/2)$, we can find a slowly-varying function L and constant $C > 0$ such that

$$1 - F_\epsilon(x) = \frac{C + o(1)}{x^{\alpha/2}} L(x)$$

for $x > 0$, by Theorem 2.2.8 of Embrechts, Klüppelberg, and Mikosch (1997). Define a new slowly-varying function H by $H(x) = (1 - F_\epsilon(x)) \frac{x^{\alpha/2}}{C}$. Then we can write $1 - F_\epsilon(x) = x^{-\alpha/2} H(x) C$ for $x > 0$. Applying Theorem A.3.7 of

Embrechts et al. (1997), we find that $f_\epsilon(x) \sim C \frac{\alpha}{2} x^{-(\alpha/2+1)} H(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence it follows that

$$\frac{x^{1+\alpha/2} f_\epsilon(x)}{H(x)} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2} C \quad (1)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Now by Proposition 2.2.13 of Embrechts et al. (1997), we can choose the normalizing sequence a_n for the partial sums by solving $\frac{1}{n} = P[\epsilon > a_n^2] = a_n^{-\alpha} H(a_n^2) C$ so that $C = \frac{a_n^\alpha}{n H(a_n^2)}$. We also see that $a_n \sim n^{1/\alpha} C^{1/\alpha} K(n)$ for a slowly-varying function K ; hence, the scale C appears in the rate a_n , so that the limit of the normalized sample moments will not depend on the scale of the original data. The characteristic function of the sample second moments, normalized by the sequence a_n^2 and conditional on $\mathcal{G} = \{G_t, t \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, is

$$\phi(\nu) = E \left[\exp\{i \nu a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t^2\} | \mathcal{G} \right] = \prod_{t=1}^n E \left[\exp\{i \nu a_n^{-2} \epsilon_t G_t^2\} | \mathcal{G} \right].$$

Taking the complex logarithm yields

$$\begin{aligned} \log \phi(\nu) &= \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(E \left[\exp\{i \nu a_n^{-2} \epsilon_t G_t^2\} | \mathcal{G} \right] \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(1 + \int_0^\infty (\exp\{i \nu a_n^{-2} x G_t^2\} - 1) f_\epsilon(x) dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

which uses the fact that f_ϵ integrates to one over the positive real line. After a change of variable, the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty (\exp\{i \nu y\} - 1) f_\epsilon(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2}) a_n^2 G_t^{-2} dy \quad (2) \\ &= \frac{n H(a_n^2 G_t^{-2})}{a_n^\alpha} |G_t|^\alpha n^{-1} \int_0^\infty (\exp\{i \nu y\} - 1) y^{-(1+\alpha/2)} \\ &\frac{H(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2})}{H(a_n^2 G_t^{-2})} \frac{(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2})^{1+\alpha/2} f_\epsilon(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2})}{H(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2})} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now for each fixed $y > 0$ and any G_t ,

$$\frac{H(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2})}{H(a_n^2 G_t^{-2})} \frac{(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2})^{1+\alpha/2} f_\epsilon(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2})}{H(a_n^2 y G_t^{-2})} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2} C$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, due to (1) and the fact that H is slowly-varying. This convergence is uniformly bounded in y and G_t . In order to apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem and take the limit inside the integral, we must bound the integrand by an integrable function. For y in a neighborhood of zero, use the bound $|\exp\{i\nu y\} - 1| \leq \tilde{C}y$ for some constant $\tilde{C} > 0$. Since $yy^{-(1+\alpha/2)} = y^{\alpha/2}$ is integrable about zero if $\alpha < 2$, we can use $y^{\alpha/2}$ times an appropriate constant as our dominating function near the origin. Outside a compact set, use the bound $|\exp\{i\nu y\} - 1| \leq 2$. Since $y^{-(1+\alpha/2)}$ is integrable outside a compact set if $\alpha > 0$, we can use $2y^{-(1+\alpha/2)}$ as our dominating function.

In order to compute this integral, note that $\int_0^\infty \sin(x) x^{-p} dx = C_p^{-1}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (\cos(\nu y) - 1) y^{-(1+\alpha/2)} dy &= \int_0^\infty (\cos(|\nu|y) - 1) y^{-(1+\alpha/2)} dy \\ &= |\nu|^{\alpha/2} \int_0^\infty (\cos(v) - 1) v^{-(1+\alpha/2)} dv \\ &= |\nu|^{\alpha/2} \left(-\frac{2}{\alpha} \right) \int_0^\infty \sin(v) v^{-\alpha/2} dv \\ &= |\nu|^{\alpha/2} \left(-\frac{2}{\alpha} \right) C_{\alpha/2}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \sin(\nu y) y^{-(1+\alpha)} dy &= \text{sign}(\nu) \int_0^\infty \sin(|\nu|y) y^{-(1+\alpha/2)} dy \\ &= \text{sign}(\nu) |\nu|^{\alpha/2} \int_0^\infty \sin(v) v^{-(1+\alpha/2)} dv \\ &= \text{sign}(\nu) |\nu|^{\alpha/2} C_{1+\alpha/2}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $sign(x)$ denotes the sign function. At this point it is useful to observe, using the definition of C_p^{-1} and the Gamma function, that

$$C_{p-1}^{-1} = \frac{\Gamma(3-p) \cos(\pi(p-1)/2)}{2-p} = \Gamma(2-p) \sin(\pi p/2) = (1-p)C_p^{-1} \tan(\pi p/2).$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^\infty (\exp\{i\nu y\} - 1)y^{-(1+\alpha/2)} dy = -|\nu|^{\alpha/2} \frac{2}{\alpha} C_{\alpha/2}^{-1} (1 - i sign(\nu) \tan(\pi\alpha/4)).$$

Now it is easy to show that $\frac{nH(a_n^2 G_t^{-2})}{a_n^\alpha} \rightarrow C^{-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed t , since H is slowly-varying and by our previous expression for C . Now (2) is $O(1/n)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \phi(\nu) &= o(1) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n |G_t|^\alpha C^{-1} \left(-|\nu|^{\alpha/2} \frac{2}{\alpha} C_{\alpha/2}^{-1} (1 - i sign(\nu) \tan(\pi\alpha/4)) \frac{\alpha}{2} C \right) \\ &= o(1) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n |G_t|^\alpha \left(-|\nu|^{\alpha/2} C_{\alpha/2}^{-1} (1 - i sign(\nu) \tan(\pi\alpha/4)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to exponentiate $\log \phi(\nu)$ at this point. Next, we note that G_t obeys the weak law of large numbers. To see this, note that by Theorem 3.1 of Taqqu (1975) for $H > 1/2$, the variance of $\sum_{t=1}^n |G_t|^\alpha - E|G|^\alpha$ is $O(n^{2H} K(n))$ for a slowly varying function K and H satisfying $m(\zeta - 1) = 2H - 2$, where m is the Hermite rank of $h(x) = |x|^\alpha - E|Z|^\alpha$ (Z denotes a standard normal random variable). We claim that $m = 2$. Recall that the Hermite rank of a function h is the index of the first nonzero coefficient in the Hermite polynomials expansion of h . Following Taqqu (1975), let

$$J(q) = E[h(Z)H_q(Z)] \quad H_q(x) = (-1)^q e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^q}{dx^q} e^{-x^2/2}$$

where H_q is the q th Hermite polynomial. In particular,

$$H_0(x) = 1 \quad H_1(x) = x \quad H_2(x) = x^2 - 1$$

are the first several Hermite polynomials, which are orthonormal with respect to the standard normal distribution. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J(0) &= 0 \\
J(1) &= E[Z |Z|^\alpha] = 0 \\
J(2) &= E[(|Z|^\alpha - E|Z|^\alpha)(Z^2 - 1)] \\
&= E[|Z|^{2+\alpha}] - E[|Z|^\alpha] \\
&= 2^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma((\alpha+3)/2) - 2^{\alpha/2} \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma((\alpha+1)/2) \\
&= \pi^{-1/2} 2^{\alpha/2} \Gamma((\alpha+1)/2) \alpha \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $m = 2$. Hence $H = \zeta$, and the variance of the centered sum is $O(n^{2\beta} K(n))$; actually, examining the proof, we see that K is unnecessary in this case. However, this argument only holds for $\zeta > 1/2$ (since H is greater than $1/2$ in Taqqu (1975)). When $\zeta \leq 1/2$, a similar bound for the variance also holds. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Taqqu (1975), we see that

$$\text{Var}\left[\sum_{t=1}^n h(G_t)\right] = \sum_{s,t} \sum_{q \geq m} \frac{J(q)^2}{q!} R_G^q(t-s) = \sum_{q \geq m} \frac{J(q)^2}{q!} \sum_{s,t} R_G^q(t-s).$$

Now, if $q \geq 2$, $R_G^q(h)$ is summable if $\zeta < 1/2$, but grows at a logarithmic rate if $\zeta = 1/2$ and $q = 2$. Thus a conservative bound is $\sum_{t,s} R_G^q(t-s) = O(n \log n)$ (if $\beta < 1/2$, we may dispense with the log) for all $q \geq 2$. Also by Bessel's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{q \geq m} \frac{J(q)^2}{q!} \leq \frac{1}{m!} \sum_q (E[h(Z)H_q(Z)])^2 \leq \frac{1}{m!} \|h\|_2^2 < \infty.$$

So the variance of the sum is of order $n^{2\beta}$, $n \log n$, or n depending on whether $\beta > 1/2$, $\beta = 1/2$, or $\beta < 1/2$ respectively. In any event, the Weak Law of Large Numbers holds for all these cases. Therefore $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n |G_t|^\alpha \xrightarrow{P} E|G|^\alpha$

and hence it also converges in distribution. Now using the boundedness of the function $\exp\{-|\nu|^{\alpha/2}C_{\alpha/2}^{-1}(1 - i \operatorname{sign}(\nu) \tan(\pi\alpha/4))\cdot\}$, it follows from weak convergence that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\exp\left\{-i \nu a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t^2\right\} \right] &\rightarrow E \left[\exp\left\{-|\nu|^{\alpha/2}C_{\alpha/2}^{-1}E|G|^\alpha(1 - i \operatorname{sign}(\nu) \tan(\pi\alpha/4))\right\} \right] \\ &= \exp\left\{-|\nu|^{\alpha/2}C_{\alpha/2}^{-1}E|G|^\alpha(1 - i \operatorname{sign}(\nu) \tan(\pi\alpha/4))\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the characteristic function of an $\alpha/2$ stable variable with skewness one, location zero, and scale $C_{\alpha/2}^{-2/\alpha}(E|G|^\alpha)^{2/\alpha}$. Finally, from Samorodnitsky and Taqqu (1994, p. 142), we have $E|G|^\alpha = (R_G(0))^{\alpha/2}2^{\alpha/2}\pi^{-1/2}\Gamma(1 + \alpha/2)$ so that the limiting scale is $C_{\alpha/2}^{-2/\alpha}R_G(0)2\pi^{-1/\alpha}(\Gamma(1 + \alpha/2))^{2/\alpha}$. If α is known, the above constants can be computed, except for $R_G(0)$, which depends on the model. \square

References

- [1] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C. and Mikosch, T. (1997). *Modelling Extremal Events*. Springer, Berlin.
- [2] McElroy, T. and Politis, D. (2005). Computer intensive rate estimation, diverging statistics, and scanning. Technical Report available from: www.math.ucsd.edu/~politis/PAPER/scans.pdf
- [3] Samorodnitsky, G. and Taqqu, M.S. (1994). *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- [4] Taqqu, M.S. (1975). Weak convergence to fractional Brownian motion and to the Rosenblatt process. *Z. Wahr. Verw. Gebiete* **31**, 287 – 302.