

---

# Monochromatic Equilateral Right Triangles on the Integer Grid

Ron Graham<sup>1\*</sup> and Jozsef Solymosi<sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science & Engineering, University of California, San Diego [graham@ucsd.edu](mailto:graham@ucsd.edu)

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada [solymosi@math.ubc.ca](mailto:solymosi@math.ubc.ca)

**Summary.** For any coloring of the  $N \times N$  grid using fewer than  $\log \log N$  colors, one can always find a **monochromatic** equilateral right triangle, a triangle with vertex coordinates  $(x, y)$ ,  $(x + d, y)$ , and  $(x, y + d)$ .

*AMS Subject Classification.* 05D10

*Keywords.* van der Waerden, Gallai–Witt Theorem.

## 1 Introduction

The celebrated theorem of van der Waerden [Wae27] states that for any natural numbers  $k$  and  $r$ , there is a number  $W(k, r)$  such that for any coloring of the first  $W(k, r)$  natural numbers by  $r$  colors, there is always a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length  $k$ . Answering a question of Erdős and Turán [ET36], Roth [Roth53] proved a density version of van der Waerden’s theorem for  $k = 3$ . He proved that  $r_3(N)$ , the cardinality of the largest subset of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  containing no three distinct elements  $x, x + d, x + 2d$  in arithmetic progression, is  $O(N/\log \log N)$ . This was not only the first proof for the conjecture of Erdős and Turán, but also the first efficient bound on  $W(3, r)$ . One of the goals of the present paper is to give a combinatorial proof of such a bound, proving that  $W(3, r) \leq 2^{2^{cr}}$ . The best known bound for  $W(3, r)$  is the one which follows from Bourgain’s [Bou99] result  $r_3(N) = O(N(\log \log N / \log N)^{1/2})$ , which is better than ours, but uses heavy tools from analysis. Van der Waerden’s Theorem was extended by Gallai, proving that in any finite coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , some color contains arbitrarily large square subarrays. The simplest density version of this extension is to prove that there is always a triangle in a dense  $N \times N$  grid with vertex coordinates

---

\* Research supported in part by Grant CCR-0310991

† Research supported by NSERC and OTKA grants

$(x, y)$ ,  $(x + d, y)$ , and  $(x, y + d)$ , if  $N$  is large enough compared to the density. This was first asked by Erdős and Graham in [EG80]. The first proof of the statement was given by Ajtai and Szemerédi [AS74] and later a much more general theorem, the so called Multidimensional Szemerédi Theorem [Sze75] was presented by Fürstenberg and Katznelson [FK79]. The proofs gave no (or very weak) bounds for the maximum density of subsets of the grid avoiding such triangles. The best bound is due to Shkredov [Shk], who proved that if the density of a subset of the  $N \times N$  grid is at least  $1/(\log \log \log N)^c$  then it contains a triangle. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *There is a universal  $c > 0$ , such that for any coloring of the  $N \times N$  grid by no more than  $c \log \log N$  colors, there is always a monochromatic triangle with vertex coordinates  $(x, y)$ ,  $(x + d, y)$ , and  $(x, y + d)$ .*

**Corollary 2 (van der Waerden's Theorem,  $k = 3$  case).** *For any coloring of  $[N]$  by no more than  $c \log \log N$  colors, there is always a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length 3. Using the usual notation,  $W(3, k) \leq 2^{2^{ck}}$ .*

*Proof.* Every coloring of the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers defines a coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  by giving the color of  $x - y$  to the point with coordinates  $(x, y)$ . In this way, a monochromatic triple with vertex coordinates  $(x, y)$ ,  $(x + d, y)$ , and  $(x, y + d)$ , defines a monochromatic arithmetic progression  $x - y - d, x - y, x - y + d$ .

It is worth mentioning that the traditional combinatorial proof using color focusing gives

$$W(3, k) \leq k^{k^{k^{\dots k^{4k}}}} \Big\} (k - 1),$$

a tower-type bound.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let us suppose that the points of the  $N \times N$  grid are colored by  $L$  colors, and there is no monochromatic equilateral right triangle. We will show that  $L$  must be large. Let us examine the coloring of the elements of the points on the diagonal of the grid, i.e., the points with coordinates  $(x, y)$  such that  $x + y = N + 1$ . Select the most popular color, denoted by  $c_1$ . The set of points of the diagonal with color  $c_1$  is denoted by  $S_1$ . For any pair  $p = (a, b)$ ,  $q = (c, d)$ , elements of  $S_1$ , the points  $(a, d)$  and  $(c, b)$  cannot have the color  $c_1$ . The Cartesian product defined by the points of  $S_1$  has the property that only the diagonal has points with color  $c_1$ . The lower-triangular part of the Cartesian product is denoted by  $T_1$ , i.e.,

$$T_1 = \{(x, y) : \exists s, t \ni (x, t), (s, y) \in S_1, s > x\}$$

Note that  $s_1 := |S_1| \geq \frac{N}{L}$ . We now define the color  $c_{i+1}$ , the set  $S_{i+1}$ , and  $T_{i+1}$  recursively, based on  $c_i$ ,  $S_i$ , and  $T_i$  (where  $i \geq 1$ ).

Suppose the pointset  $T_i$  avoids the colors  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_i$ . There is a line with slope  $-1$ , which contains many points of  $T_i$ . Let  $m$  be such that

$$|\{(x, y) : x + y = m\} \cap T_i| \geq \frac{|T_i|}{N}.$$

Select the points with the most popular color,  $c_{i+1}$ , in  $T_i$  along the line  $x + y = m$ . The set of these points will be  $S_{i+1}$ , and

$$T_{i+1} = \{(x, y) : \exists s, t \ni (x, t), (s, y) \in S_{i+1}, s > x\}.$$

Thus, the pointset  $T_{i+1}$  avoids the colors  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{i+1}$ . Note that we have the inequality

$$s_{i+1} = |S_{i+1}| \geq \frac{\binom{s_i}{2}}{(L-i)N}.$$

If we reach Step L with  $s_L \geq 2$  then we have a contradiction, since we run out of colors for  $T_L$ .

From the formula above, one can already get a feeling for the magnitude of the bound. However, for the formal proof of Theorem 1, we prove the following.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $s_1 \geq N/r$ ,  $s_{i+1} \geq \frac{1}{(r-i)N} \binom{s_i}{2}$  and  $N = N(r) = (2r)^{2^r}$  then  $s_r \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $i$  that for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we have:

- (a)  $s_i \geq \frac{N}{2^{2^i-1}-1r^{2^i-1}}$ ,
- (b)  $s_i \geq r/i$ .

This is clearly true for  $i = 1$ . Suppose it is true for some  $i < r$ . Then

$$s_{i+1} \geq \frac{1}{(r-i)N} \binom{s_i}{2} = \frac{s_i^2}{2rN} \cdot \frac{r}{r-i} \cdot \frac{s_i-1}{s_i}$$

But

$$\frac{r}{r-i} \cdot \frac{s_i-1}{s_i} \geq 1$$

since  $s_i \geq r/i$  by induction. Hence, we have

$$s_{i+1} \geq \frac{s_i^2}{2rN} \geq \frac{1}{2rN} \cdot \frac{N^2}{2^{2^i-2}r^{2^{i+1}-2}} = \frac{N}{2^{2^i-1}r^{2^{i+1}-1}}$$

which is (a) for  $i+1$ . It is easy to see that (b) also holds for  $i+1$  as well. The inequality for  $s_r$  is now

$$s_r \geq \frac{(2r)^{2^r}}{2^{2^{r-1}-1}r^{2^r-1}} \geq 2^{2^{r-1}+1}r \geq 2.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma and Theorem 1.

We note here that with a similar but somewhat more complicated argument, we can prove that there are many monochromatic corners when the number of colors is small. In particular, we can show:

**Theorem 4.** *For any integer  $r > 0$ , if the lattice points in the  $N \times N$  grid are arbitrarily  $r$ -colored, and  $N > 2^{2^{3r}}$  then there are always at least  $\delta(r)N^3$  monochromatic “corners”, i.e., triples of points  $(x, y), (x + d, y), (x, y + d)$  for some  $d > 0$ , where  $\delta(r) = (3r)^{-2^{r+2}}$ .*

We note that this is similar in spirit to the results of [FGR88] where it is shown that in fact a **positive fraction** of the objects being colored must occur monochromatically. The proof follows that of Theorem 1 and is omitted.

We should also point out that this approach can be used to prove directly a quantitative version van der Waerden’s theorem for 3-term arithmetic progressions, namely that if  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is colored by at most  $c \log \log p$  colors, then some monochromatic 3-term arithmetic progression must be formed. Similarly, analogous results can be obtained for the occurrence of monochromatic affine lines in  $GF(3)^n$  using this approach.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors wish to acknowledge the insightful comments of Fan Chung and Steven Butler in preparing the final version of the manuscript.

## References

- [AS74] M. Ajtai and E. Szemerédi, Sets of lattice points that form no squares, *Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar.* **9** (1974), 9–11.
- [Bou99] J. Bourgain, On triples in arithmetic progression. *Geom. Func. Anal.* **9** (1999), 968–984.
- [EG80] P. Erdős and R. L. Graham, ‘Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory’, *Monographies de L’Enseignement Mathématique*, vol 28, Université de Genève L’Enseignement Mathématique, (1980).
- [ET36] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On some sequences of integers, *J. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1936), 261–264.
- [FGR88] P. Frankl, R. L. Graham and V. Rödl, Quantitative theorems for regular systems of equations, *Jour. Comb. Th. A* **47** (1988), 246–261.
- [FK79] H. Fürstenberg, and Y. Katznelson, An ergodic Szemerédi Theorem for commuting transformations, *J. Analyse Math.* **34** (1979), 275–291.
- [Roth53] K. F. Roth, On certain sets of integers, *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1953), 104–109.
- [Shk] I. D. Shkredov, On one problem of Gowers, Math. Arxiv math. NT/0405406.
- [Sze75] E. Szemerédi, On sets of integers containing no  $k$  elements in arithmetic progression, *Acta Arith.* **27** (1975), 199–245.
- [Wae27] B. L. van der Waerden, Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde* **15** (1927), 212–216.

