

Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 14 (1), 1971

## A CONSTRUCTIVE SOLUTION TO A TOURNAMENT PROBLEM

BY

R. L. GRAHAM AND J. H. SPENCER

**Introduction.** By a *tournament*  $T_n$  on  $n$  vertices, we shall mean a directed graph on  $n$  vertices for which every pair of distinct vertices form the endpoints of exactly one directed edge (e.g., see [5]). If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices of  $T_n$  we say that  $x$  *dominates*  $y$  if the edge between  $x$  and  $y$  is directed from  $x$  to  $y$ . In 1962, K. Schütte [2] raised the following question: Given  $k > 0$ , is there a tournament  $T_{n(k)}$  such that for any set  $S$  of  $k$  vertices of  $T_{n(k)}$  there is a vertex  $y$  which dominates all  $k$  elements of  $S$ . (Such a tournament will be said to have *property*  $P_k$ .)

In [3], P. Erdős showed by probabilistic arguments that for each  $k$ , such a  $T_{n(k)}$  must exist. Thus, it is meaningful to define  $f(k)$  to be the minimum value of  $n(k)$  for which such a  $T_{n(k)}$  exists. More precisely, Erdős showed that

$$(1) \quad f(k) \leq k^{2^k} (\log 2 + \epsilon)$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$  provided  $k$  is sufficiently large. In the other direction Szekeres and Szekeres [6] established

$$(2) \quad f(k) \geq (k+2)2^{k-1} - 1.$$

In this note, we give for each  $k$  an *explicit construction* of a tournament  $T_{n(k)}$  which has property  $P_k$ . Although the best bound we currently have on the value of  $n(k)$  needed by our construction shows that  $n(k)$  may be as large as  $k^{2^{2k-2}}$ , in fact, for small values of  $k$ , our tournaments are minimal.

**Construction of the tournament.** Let  $p$  be a prime congruent to 3 modulo 4 and let  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} = V$  be the set of vertices of  $T_p$ . Define the edges of  $T_p$  by directing an edge from  $i$  to  $j$  iff  $i-j$  is a quadratic residue of  $p$ , i.e., iff  $\left(\frac{i-j}{p}\right) = 1$ , where we use the familiar Legendre symbol (cf. [4]). Since  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  then  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$  so that any two distinct vertices are joined by exactly one edge and  $T_p$  is a well-defined tournament.

**THEOREM.** *If  $p > k^2 2^{2k-2}$  then  $T_p$  has property  $P_k$ .*

**Proof.** It is easily seen that  $T_p$  has property  $P_k$  iff for all  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in V$ ,

there exists an  $x \in V$  such that  $\binom{x-a_i}{p} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Set  $\chi(a) = \binom{a}{p}$  and let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  denote a set of  $k$  arbitrary fixed elements of  $V$ . Define  $g(A)$  by

$$(3) \quad g(A) = \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \notin A}}^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(x-a_j)].$$

If we can show  $g(A)$  is always  $> 0$  then the theorem is proved; for, in this case, there is a choice  $x = x_0 \notin A$  such that  $\prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(x_0 - a_j)] > 0$  and, hence,  $\chi(x_0 - a_j) \neq -1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Since  $x_0 \notin A$ , then  $x_0 - a_j \neq 0$  and  $\chi(x_0 - a_j) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\chi(x_0 - a_j) = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and by the previous remark, we would be done.

We next show  $g(A) > 0$ . Define  $h(A)$  by

$$(4) \quad h(A) = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(x-a_j)].$$

Thus,

$$(5) \quad g(A) = h(A) - \sum_{i=0}^k \prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(a_i - a_j)].$$

Expanding the inner terms in (4) we obtain

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} h(A) = & \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} 1 + \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \chi(x-a_j) + \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \chi(x-a_{j_1})\chi(x-a_{j_2}) + \dots \\ & \dots + \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_s} \chi(x-a_{j_1}) \dots \chi(x-a_{j_s}) + \dots \\ & \dots + \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} \chi(x-a_{j_1}) \dots \chi(x-a_{j_k}). \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms of (6) are  $p$  and  $0$  respectively. To estimate the remaining terms we rely on the following powerful result of D. A. Burgess [1]:

$$(7) \quad \left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \chi(x-a_{j_1}) \dots \chi(x-a_{j_s}) \right| \leq (s-1)\sqrt{p}$$

for  $a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_s}$  distinct. Thus, we have

$$(8) \quad \left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_s} \chi(x-a_{j_1}) \dots \chi(x-a_{j_s}) \right| \leq \binom{k}{s} (s-1)\sqrt{p}$$

and therefore

$$(9) \quad |h(A) - p| \leq \sqrt{p} \sum_{s=2}^k \binom{k}{s} (s-1).$$

A straightforward calculation shows

$$(10) \quad \sum_{s=2}^k \binom{k}{s} (s-1) = (k-2)2^{k-1} + 1$$

so that we have

$$(11) \quad h(A) \geq p - [(k-2)2^{k-1} + 1]\sqrt{p}.$$

Now consider the expression

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(a_i - a_j)] = h(A) - g(A)$$

which occurs in (5). If  $h(A) - g(A) \neq 0$  then for some  $i_0$  the product  $\prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(a_{i_0} - a_j)]$  is nonzero. Thus, for all  $j$ ,  $\chi(a_{i_0} - a_j) \neq -1$  so that for all  $j \neq i_0$ ,  $\chi(a_{i_0} - a_j) = 1$ . But this implies  $\chi(a_j - a_{i_0}) = -1$  for all  $j \neq i_0$  and consequently

$$(12) \quad \prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(a_i - a_j)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq i_0 \\ 2^{k-1} & \text{for } i = i_0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, in any case, we have

$$(13) \quad h(A) - g(A) \leq 2^{k-1}.$$

Applying (11) we obtain

$$(14) \quad g(A) \geq p - [(k-2)2^{k-1} + 1]\sqrt{p} - 2^{k-1}.$$

It is easily checked that for  $p > k^2 2^{2k-2}$ , the right-hand side of (14) is  $> 0$ . This proves the theorem.

**Concluding remarks.** The value  $k^2 2^{2k-2}$  is nearly the square of the nonconstructive upper bound (1) of Erdős. Specific constructions show that much smaller values  $p$  suffice to endow  $T_p$  with property  $P_k$ . For example,  $T_7$  has property  $P_2$  and  $T_{19}$  has property  $P_3$ . In [6] it is shown that  $f(2) = 7$  and  $f(3) = 19$  so that these tournaments are minimal. Also, it is true that  $T_{67}$  has property  $P_4$ . Since (2) gives  $f(4) \geq 47$  it is possible that  $T_{67}$  is also minimal.

If  $q$  is an odd power of a prime congruent to 3 modulo 4 then  $T_q$  can be defined with vertices as elements of  $GF(q)$  and an edge directed from  $i$  to  $j$  iff  $i - j$  is a square in  $GF(q)$ . It can be shown for example that  $T_{27}$  has property  $P_3$ . However, no examples are known for which the number of vertices of a  $T_q$  with property  $P_k$  is smaller than a suitable  $T_p$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions of D. H. and Emma Lehmer, whose ideas formed the basis for the proof of the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. A. Burgess, *On character sums and primitive roots*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **12** (1962), 179-192.
  2. P. Erdős, *Applications of probability to combinatorial problems*, Proc. of Colloq. on Combinatorial Methods in Probability Theory, August 1-10 (1962), 90-92.
  3. ———, *On a problem in graph theory*, Math. Gaz. **47** (1963), 220-223.
  4. W. J. LeVeque, *Topics in number theory*, Vol. I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1954.
- 4—C.M.B.

5. J. W. Moon, *Topics on tournaments*, Holt, New York, 1968.
6. E. Szekeres and G. Szekeres, *On a problem of Schütte and Erdős*, *Math. Gaz.* **49** (1965), 290–293.

BELL TELEPHONE LABORATORIES INC.,  
MURRAY HILL, NEW JERSEY  
THE RAND CORPORATION,  
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA