

# Math 261C: Randomized Algorithms

Lecture topic:  $k$ -th element/median summary & WalkSAT

Lecturer: Sam Buss

Scribe notes by: James Aisenberg

Date: April 4, 2014

## 1. $k$ -TH ELEMENT/MEDIAN SUMMARY

Number of comparisons

### (1) QuickSelect

- Number of comparisons:  $2(1 + \ln 2)n + o(n) \approx 3.386n$
- number of random bits:  $O(\log^2 n)$  with high probability

### (2) FR-Select

- Number of comparisons:  $n + \min\{k, n - k\} + o(n) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$
- number of random bits:  $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$  with high probability

### (3) D-Select – “D” = deterministic

- Number of comparisons:  $\approx 24n$  (for the algorithm sketched in class),  $\approx 5.43n$  [SPP '76], best known  $\approx 2.94n$  [D-Z '99]
- number of random bits: 0

## 2. SATISFIABILITY AND WALKSAT

SAT is the canonical  $NP$ -complete problem. Some conventions and terminology for SAT:

- *Variables*  $x_0, x_1 \dots$  that take on true/false values.
- A *literal* is either a variable  $x$ , or its negation,  $\neg x$  or  $\bar{x}$ .
- A *clause* is a finite set of literals. It denotes the *disjunction* or, “or”, of the literals. For example,  $\{x_3, \bar{x}_7, x_9\}$  means  $x_3 \vee (\neg x_7) \vee x_9$ .
- A finite set of clauses,  $\Gamma$  denotes the conjunction or, “and”, of the the clauses.
- The *satisfiability (SAT) problem* is: given  $\Gamma$  a set of clauses, is there a truth assignment  $\tau : \{x_0, \dots\} \rightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$  to the variables that makes every clause  $C \in \Gamma$  true?

- $k$ -SAT is the satisfiability problem restricted to clauses of size less than or equal to  $k$ .

Fact: SAT is NP-complete. If SAT is in P (polynomial time), then so is every NP problem.

The naive algorithm for SAT: try all combinations. If there are  $n$  variables,  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}$ , then there are  $2^n$  many  $\tau$ 's to try. The runtime is  $\approx |\Gamma| \cdot 2^n$ .

The naive randomized algorithm for SAT is as follows.

```

loop
  Choose  $\tau$  at random
  if  $\tau$  satisfies  $\Gamma$  then
    Output  $\tau$ 
  end if
end loop

```

Observe that if there are  $s$  satisfying assignments  $\tau$ , then each loop can succeed with probability  $\frac{s}{2^n} = p$ . So then the expected number of iterations is  $1/p$ . Thus, the expected runtime is  $\leq |\Gamma|2^n$  (i.e., no better than the deterministic algorithm).

WalkSAT improves on this. It is due to Schönning [1], and is similar to “GSAT” of Kautz *et al.* [2]. It will have runtime  $|\Gamma| \left(2 \cdot \frac{k-1}{k}\right)^n$  for  $\Gamma$ , an instance of  $k$ -SAT.

First we will give an algorithm for one iteration of WalkSAT, it takes as input  $\Gamma$  an instance of  $k$ -SAT in  $n$  variables, and  $m$ , a parameter. It either outputs a satisfying assignment, or no output.

```

procedure WALKSATITERATION( $\Gamma, m$ )
  Choose a truth assignment,  $\tau$  at random
  loop  $m$  times
    if  $\tau \models \Gamma$  ( $\tau$  satisfies  $\Gamma$ ) then
      Output  $\tau$  and halt.
    else
      Pick any clause  $C \in \Gamma$  such that  $\tau$  does not satisfy  $C$ 
      In  $C$ , pick some literal  $x$  at random.            $\triangleright$  So in particular  $\tau(x) = \perp$ .
      Modify  $\tau$  by flipping its value on that literal
    end if
  end loop
end procedure

```

To analyse this algorithm, we want a lower bound on the probability  $p$  that WalkSAT finds a satisfying assignment (assuming  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable). We'll show

$$p \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k-1}\right)^n \frac{1}{|\Gamma|^{\mathcal{O}(1)}}$$

Let  $\pi$  be some fixed satisfying assignment. Let  $d(\tau, \pi)$  be the “Hamming distance.” In other words, the number of  $x_i$ ’s where  $\tau(x_i) \neq \pi(x_i)$ .

Take some  $C$ , a clause falsified by  $\tau$ .  $C$  has  $k' \leq k$  many literals. On the other hand  $\pi \models C$ . We choose  $x$  at random in  $C$ . With probability  $l/k'$ ,  $\pi(x) = \top$  (of course,  $\tau(x) = \perp$ ). Let  $\tau'$  be the “new”  $\tau$ , so  $\tau'(x) \neq \tau(x)$ . So then  $d(\tau', \pi) = d(\tau, \pi) - 1$  with probability  $l/k' \geq 1/k$ . and  $d(\tau', \pi) = d(\tau, \pi) + 1$  with probability  $\frac{k'-l}{k'} \leq \frac{k-1}{k}$ .

To complete the proof: We describe a Markov process on  $n + 1$  states:  $0, \dots, n$ . If in state  $j < n - 1$ , the probability of going to  $j + 1$  is  $\frac{k-1}{k}$  and the probability of going to  $j - 1$  is  $\frac{1}{k}$ . If in state  $n$ , then the probability of transitioning to state  $n - 1$  is 1.

Success is defined by reaching state state 0. We’ll bound  $p$  by bounding  $q_j$ , which is the probability of succeeding (reaching state 0) in  $\leq 3n$  many steps when starting in state  $j$ .

The overall WalkSAT algorithm is as follows.

```

procedure WALKSAT( $\Gamma, n$ )
  loop                                      $\triangleright$  Sufficiently many times, about  $1/p$  many times
    WALKSATITERATION( $\Gamma, 3n$ )
    if WALKSATITERATION returns a satisfying assignment then
      Return that satisfying assignment
    end if
  end loop
end procedure

```

We will analyze the the success probability of the WalkSAT algorithm in the next lecture.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Schönig, *A probabilistic algorithm for  $k$ -SAT and constraint satisfaction problems*, 40th Symp. on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), 1999, pp. 410–414.
- [2] Kautz and B. Selman *Pushing the envelope: planning, propositional logic, and stochastic search*, Proceedings of the Thirteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 1996, pp. 1194-1201.