

# Monotone Formula for majority (Math 262A), Session 13

Samuel Buss \*

Let's review the definition of Majority and Threshold first.

**Definition 1.** Let  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}$  be input bits, we define the threshold function as

$$Th_k^n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum x_i \geq k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and we also define the majority function as

$$Maj^n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = Th_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}^n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

Also we showed in the previous lecture that both  $Th_k^n$  and  $Maj^n$  are in  $NC^1$ , and also all of these functions are monotone boolean functions, thus it is natural to ask that

*Could we prove that  $Maj^n, Th_k^n \in \text{monotone } NC^1$ ?*

As the first stage of this, we can try the divide and conquer method, i.e.,

**Definition 2.** We can represent the threshold function as

$$Th_k^n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \bigvee_{l \leq \min\{k, n/2\}} (Th_l^{n/2}(x_0, \dots, x_{n/2-1}) \wedge Th_{k-l}^{n/2}(x_{n/2}, \dots, x_n)),$$

here we assumed that  $n$  is the power of 2.

Then what is the depth of these formula? In fact, we have that

**Lemma 3.** With unbounded fan in  $\wedge$ 's and  $\vee$ 's, the depth of the formula above is  $O(\log n)$ .

The lemma is easy to prove, however in the case of fan-in 2  $\wedge$ 's and  $\vee$ 's, the depth is  $O((\log n)^2)$  and the size is  $2^{(\log n)^2} = n^{O(\log n)}$ . Fortunately, we can also show that  $Maj^n, Th_k^n \in \text{monotone } NC^1$  by the following probabilistic method.

---

\*Lectured on October 4, 2013, and scribed by Jiapeng Zhang

**Theorem 4** (Valiant 1983). *Majority has monotone fan-in 2 formula of depth  $O(\log n)$ , hence size  $n^{O(1)}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Maj(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$  be the majority function, and without loss of generality, we assume that  $n$  is even. We consider the circuits that will contains subcircuits that compute  $Z' = (Z_1 \vee Z_2) \wedge (Z_3 \vee Z_4)$ , i.e.,

**Definition 5.** *A level 0 formula  $\varphi$  is selected at random as*

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} x_i, & \text{with probability } \frac{2\alpha}{n-1} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{2\alpha n}{n-1} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 0.38$ .

A level  $i + 1$  formula is selected at random by choosing level  $i$  formulas  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_4$  at random, and setting  $\varphi = (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \wedge (\varphi_3 \vee \varphi_4)$ .

**Lemma 6** (Valiant 83). *Let  $\alpha$  as above, let  $1 < \gamma < 4\alpha$ , then we can choose  $t = (1 + \frac{1}{(\log \gamma)^2}) \log n + O(1) = O(\log n)$  such that, for  $\varphi$  a randomly chosen level  $t$  formula,  $\Pr[\varphi \equiv Maj(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})] \geq 1/2$ .*

**Lemma 7** (continue). *In fact, for values  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$  as the inputs, then*

- if  $\sum_i a_i \geq n/2$ ,  $\Pr_\varphi[\varphi(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 1] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ ;
- if  $\sum_i a_i < n/2$ ,  $\Pr_\varphi[\varphi(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 0] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ ;

Lemma 6 follows from lemma 7 by a standard averaging argument, hence it is sufficient to prove lemma 7.

*Proof.* (of lemma 7). Fix  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ , let  $k = \sum_i a_i$ , define

$$P_l := P_{l,k} = \Pr[\varphi(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 1],$$

where  $\varphi$  is randomly chosen level  $l$  formula. Then we are going to show that

$$P_t \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \text{ if } k \geq n/2;$$

$$P_t \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \text{ if } k < n/2.$$

By the definition, we have that  $P_0 = \frac{2\alpha k}{n-1}$  and

$$P_{i+1} = (1 - (1 - P_i)^2)^2 = 4P_i^2 - 4P_i^3 + P_i^4.$$

Let  $f(x) = 4x^2 - 4x^3 + x^4$ , then we have

$$f(0) = 0, f(\alpha) = \alpha, \text{ and } f(1) = 1;$$

$$f'(0) = 0, f'(\alpha) = 4\alpha, \text{ and } f'(1) = 0;$$

$$f''(0) = 8, \text{ and } f''(1) = -4;$$

Also, by the definitions

If  $k < n/2$ , then

$$P_0 \leq \frac{2\alpha(\frac{n}{2} - 1)}{n - 1} = \frac{\alpha(n - 2)}{n - 1} < \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{n};$$

Similarly, if  $k \geq n/2$ , then

$$P_0 \geq \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{n},$$

here we used the fact that  $n$  is even.

By continuity of the first derivative, for any  $1 < \gamma < 4\alpha$ ,  $\exists \epsilon_0$  such that if  $|P_i - \alpha| < \epsilon_0$ , then

$$|f(P_i) - \alpha| \geq |P_i - \alpha| \cdot \gamma.$$

Choose  $l_1 = \log_\gamma(n \cdot \epsilon_0/2) = \log_\gamma(n) = O(\log n)$ , then  $\frac{2}{n}\gamma^{l_1} \geq \epsilon_0$ , so

if  $k < \frac{n}{2}$ , then  $P_{l_1} < n - \epsilon_0$

if  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ , then  $P_{l_1} > n + \epsilon_0$ ,

where  $\epsilon_0$  is a constant that does not depend on  $n$ .

So we can take  $l_2 = l_1 + c$ , where  $c$  is a constant such that

if  $k < \frac{n}{2}$ , then  $P_{l_2} < \frac{1}{16}$

if  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ , then  $P_{l_2} > 1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8}$ ,

From more calculus facts, since  $f(x) \leq 8x^2$  and  $(1 - f(1 - x)) \leq 4x^2$  for the range  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have that at some point the two cases begin to diverge at a quadratic rate. Thus:

if  $k < \frac{n}{2}$ , let  $Q_i = 8P_i$ . Since  $P_{i+1} \leq 8P_i^2$ , then  $Q_{i+1} \leq Q_i^2$ . Also  $Q_{l_2} \leq 1/2$ , we have that  $Q_{l_2+\log n+3} \leq 2^{-(n+4)}$ , thus

$$P_{l_2+\log n+3} \leq 2^{-(n+1)}$$

if  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ , let  $Q_i = 4(1 - P_i)$ . Since  $P_{i+1} \leq 8P_i^2$ , so  $Q_{i+1} \leq Q_i^2$  and  $Q_{l_2} \leq 1/2$ , thus  $Q_{l_2+\log n+2} \leq 2^{-(n+3)}$ , thus

$$P_{l_2+\log n+2} \geq 1 - 2^{-(n+1)}$$

Let  $l = l_2 + \log n + 3$ , then lemma 7 then follows. □

The theorem also follows from the lemma 6. □