## Math 280 A Homework 7

## November 26, 2017

**Exercise 1.** It is clear that  $(X - X_n) \leq X$  therefore  $(X - X_n)_+ \leq X$ , which means  $E((X - X_n)_+ \mathbb{1}((X - X_n)_+ \mathbb{1}(X - X_n)_+ \mathbb{1}($  $(X_n)_+ \ge M) \le E(X \mathbb{1}(X \ge M))$ . This indicates that  $(X - X_n)_+$  is u.i. since  $E(X) < \infty$ . Also  $X_n \to X$  in probability implies  $(X - X_n)_+ \to 0$  in probability. Therefore  $(X - X_n)_+ \to 0$  in  $L_1$ . Now realize that

$$E|X_n - X| = E((X - X_n)_+) + E((X - X_n)_-) = 2E((X - X_n)_+) - E(X_n - X)$$

The right hand side goes to zero, which proves what desired.

**Exercise 2.** (I) It is trivial to show the unboundedness of  $E(|X_i|)$ , as  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} 1/k \log k \approx \log(\log K) \to \infty$ . (II) We will construct triangular array to apply the weak law of large numbers in triangular arrays. Denote  $X_{i,j} = X_j \mathbb{1}(|X_j| \le i)$ . To apply the theorem, we need to check: (1)  $nP(|X_i| \ge n) \to 0$ . This is because  $nP(|X_i| \ge n) = n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 1/k^2 \log k \le n/\log n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 1/k^2 \approx 1/\log n \to 0$ . (2)  $nE(X_{i,n}^2)/n^2 \to 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\log (\log n)} \leq [\log(\log n)]^2/n \to 0$ . Now apply the weak law of large number of triangular array we see that  $S_n/n \to \mu$  in probability where  $\mu$  is defined as  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k/k \log k$ .

**Exercise 3.** (I) It suffices to show that  $M(s)/s \to 0$ . This is done by rewrite  $M(s) = \int_0^s (F(s) - F(t)) dt =$  $sF(s) - \int_0^s F(t)dt$ . The first part gives 1 when divided by s. The second part also gives one since we can have some N such that  $F(N) \ge 1 - \epsilon$ . Then take  $N' = N^2$  and  $\int_0^{N^2} F(t) dt/N^2 \ge N(N-1)(1-\epsilon)/N^2 \approx 1-\epsilon$ , so the limit is 1.

(II) Just check the conditions for weak law of large numbers for triangular array. The first condition is given. The second one is

$$nE(X_i^2\mathbb{1}(X_i^2 \le b_n))/b_n^2 \to 0.$$

First realize that  $b_n = nM(n)$  always holds, since function f(s) = s/M(s) is right continuous and only jumps down when there is a discontinuous point. Therefore the junction point of f and n is always a solid point, which means the equality always holds. Now since  $jP(X_1 > b_i) \to 0$ , there is some N such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $P(X_1 > b_n) \le \varepsilon/n$ . Consider another large integer T, and use the fact that  $E(X_i^2 \mathbb{1}(X_i^2 \le b_n)) \le \varepsilon/n$ .  $\int_{0}^{b_n} 2y P(X_1 > y) dy \approx \sum_n 2P(X_1 > b_n)(b_{n+1}^2 - b_n^2)$ , we have when n = TN:

$$TNE(X_i^2 \mathbb{1}(X_i^2 \le b_{TN})) \le \frac{2TN\sum_{n \le N} P(X_1 > b_n)(b_{n+1}^2 - b_n^2)}{b_{TN}^2} + \frac{2TN\sum_{n = N+1}^{TN} P(X_1 > b_n)(b_{n+1}^2 - b_n^2)}{b_{TN}^2}$$

The first part is less than  $2TNb_N^2/b_{TN}^2$  and by plugging in  $b_n = nM(n)$ , we have the first part controlled by 2N/T. The second part is controlled by plugging in  $P(X_1 > b_n) \leq \varepsilon/n$ , which is

$$\frac{2TN\sum_{n=N+1}^{TN}b_j^2/j(j+1)}{b_{TN}^2} \leq \frac{2\varepsilon\sum_{n=N+1}^{TN}M^2(b_j)}{TNM^2(b_{TN})} \leq 2\varepsilon$$

Taking  $T = 2N/\varepsilon$  and we will have the whole thing controlled by  $4\varepsilon$ , which is what we need.

Now apply the weak law of large numbers and by using  $b_n = nM(n)$  the result follows.

**Exercise 4.** First realize that  $Y_n = X_n/|X_{n-1}|$  is IID with uniform distribution on the unit ball. And then  $\log |X_n| = \sum_{i=1}^n \log |Y_n|$ . In order to use the strong law of large numbers we need to specify the distribution of  $|Y_n|$ . In fact:

$$P(|Y_n| \le x) = P(|X_1| \le x) = \pi x^2 / \pi = x^2$$

Therefore the density for  $|Y_i|$  is f(y) = 2y, and the expectation of  $Y_i$  is  $\int_0^1 \log y \cdot 2y \, dy = -1/2$ . So  $\log |X_n|/n \to -1/2$  almost surely.

**Exercise 5.** Divide the upper and lower side by n, and the lower side goes to  $EX_1$  almost surely by strong law of large numbers. Therefore it suffices to show that almost surely  $\max_{i \le n} |X_i|/n \to 0$ . To see this, let  $\lambda_n = \varepsilon n$ , and observe that  $\sum_n P(|X_n| > \lambda_n) = \sum_n P(|X_i|/\varepsilon > n) \approx E(|X_i|/\varepsilon) < \infty$ . By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, this implies  $P(|X_n| > \lambda_n \quad i.o.) = 0$ . By a previous exercise, this also implies  $P(\max_{i \le n} |X_i| > \lambda_n \quad i.o.) = 0$ . By a previous exercise, this also implies  $P(\max_{i \le n} |X_i| > \lambda_n \quad i.o.) = 0$ . Therefore  $\limsup_n [\max_{i \le n} |X_i|/n] \le \varepsilon$  almost surely for arbitrary  $\varepsilon$ , which is  $\max_{i \le n} |X_i|/n \to 0$  with probability one.