

## Lecture 3: April 1, 2011

Last time, we saw that the notion of algebraic freeness could be encapsulated in a combinatorial way using the "identity-component" linear functional on a group algebra. But when we calculated moments, the origins of this functional were unimportant. By analogy: a perfect model for independence in classical probability is afforded by orthogonal "cylinder sets" in a product probability space; but the definition of independence does not require this underlying structure to be known.

So, from here on, we work in the more general setting:

$(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$

$\nearrow$   
a  $*$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  $\nwarrow$   
a positive, faithful state on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In this general setting, we employ the combinatorial definition of freeness derived from algebraic freeness in  $\mathbb{C}F$  w.r.t.  $\varphi_F$ .

Def: Two subalgebras  $A, B < \mathcal{A}$  are free if, for all  $n \geq 2$ , and all  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a_i) = \varphi(b_i) = 0 \quad \forall i=1, \dots, n &\Rightarrow \varphi(a_1 b_1 \cdots a_n b_n) = 0 \\ &\& \varphi(b_1 a_2 \cdots a_n b_n) = 0 \\ &\& \varphi(a_1 b_1 \cdots b_{n-1} a_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: Thinking of  $\varphi$  as a generalization of  $\mathbb{E}$  for classical random variables, an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\varphi(a) = 0$  is said to be centered.

In general, we will often use the operation  $\hat{a} \equiv a - \varphi(a)$ , which is the centering of  $a$ .

Actually, we should state the definition for freeness of a collection of (possibly more than two) subalgebras.

Def: Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k < \mathcal{A}$ . They are free if, for any  $n$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  such that  $i_1 \neq i_2, i_2 \neq i_3, \dots, i_{n-1} \neq i_n$ , and any centered elements  $a_1 \in A_{i_1}, \dots, a_n \in A_{i_n}$ ,

$$\varphi(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = 0.$$

Subsets  $X_1, \dots, X_k \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  are free iff the subalgebras  $\langle X_i \rangle_{\mathcal{O}}$  or they generate are free. In particular: elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  are free iff for any  $n$ , any  $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_n$  with  $i_j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , and polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ ,

$$\varphi(P_j(a_{i_j})) = 0 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n \implies \varphi(P_1(a_{i_1}) \cdots P_n(a_{i_n})) = 0.$$

We have already explored the consequences of freeness. We can restate some of them here.

Eg. If  $A, B < \mathcal{O}$  are free and  $a \in A, b \in B$ , then  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ .

(In particular, if  $a \in A, b \in B$  are fixed, then  $a^n \in A$  and  $b^m \in B \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ; hence,  $\varphi(a^n b^m) = \varphi(a^n)\varphi(b^m)$ , as we saw before.

Eg. If  $\{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are free, then  $\varphi(a_1 b a_2) = \varphi(a_1 a_2) \varphi(b)$ .

This is an easy generalization of the calculation from the end of the previous lecture. Combining with the first example, this means that if  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are all free, then  $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \varphi(a_1) \cdots \varphi(a_n)$ .

Eg. If  $\{a_1, a_2\}, \{b_1, b_2\}$  are free, then (generalizing Ex. 2.3) we have

$$\varphi(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2) = \varphi(a_1 a_2) \varphi(b_1) \varphi(b_2) + \varphi(a_1) \varphi(a_2) \varphi(b_1 b_2) - \varphi(a_1) \varphi(a_2) \varphi(b_1) \varphi(b_2).$$

In all these examples, the key feature is this: if  $A, B$  are free, then any joint moment across  $A$  and  $B$  separates into combinations of moments within  $A$  and  $B$  separately. Let's state and prove this as a proposition.

Prop: Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k < \mathcal{O}$  be free subalgebras, and let  $B = \langle A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k \rangle_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Then  $\varphi|_B$  is uniquely determined by  $\varphi|_{A_1}, \dots, \varphi|_{A_k}$ .

Pf. A general element of  $B$  is a linear combination of terms of the form  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ , where we may assume wlog that  $a_j \in A_{i_j}$  for  $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_n$  (if two adjacent elements are in the same subalgebra, just multiply them and consider the product as a single element). So, it suffices to show that the joint moment

$$\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n)$$

is determined by  $\varphi|_{A_1}, \dots, \varphi|_{A_k}$ .

To see this, we proceed inductively on  $n$ . If  $n=1$ , since  $a_1$  is in  $A_{i_1}$ , the moment is obviously determined by  $\varphi|_{A_{i_1}}$ . Assume, therefore, that we know any moment of length  $n-1$  is determined by the separate restrictions  $\varphi|_{A_{i_k}}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, k$ . Consider the centerings:  $\dot{a}_i = a_i - \varphi(a_i)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \cdots a_n &= (\dot{a}_1 + \varphi(a_1)) \cdots (\dot{a}_n + \varphi(a_n)) \\ &= \dot{a}_1 \cdots \dot{a}_n + \sum \text{terms of length } \leq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  are free and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  come from successively distinct subalgebras, it follows that  $\varphi(\dot{a}_1 \cdots \dot{a}_n) = 0$ . Therefore, by the linearity of  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n)$  is equal to a linear combination of moments of length  $\leq n-1$ , and so, by the inductive hypothesis, is determined by  $\varphi|_{A_1}, \dots, \varphi|_{A_k}$ .  $\square$

So far, the  $*$ -structure of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has not played an observable role in freeness. Let's explore how it comes into play.

Def: Subsets  $X_1, \dots, X_k \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  are called  $*$ -free if  $X_1 \cup X_1^*, \dots, X_k \cup X_k^*$  are free. (Note:  $X^* = \{x^* : x \in X\}$ .) In particular, if  $A_1, \dots, A_k \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  are  $*$ -subalgebras (meaning closed under  $*$ ) then they are free iff they are  $*$ -free.

Prop: If  $A_1, A_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  are  $*$ -free subalgebras, then  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ .

Pf. Suppose  $a \in A_1 \cap A_2$ . Then  $a^* \in A_1^* \cap A_2^*$ , and so the two elements

$$b = (a + a^*)/2, \quad c = (a - a^*)/2i$$

are both in  $A_1 \cup A_1^*$  and in  $A_2 \cup A_2^*$ . These two subsets are free, and so  $b$  is free from itself, as is  $c$ . Now, working with  $b$ , this means

$$\varphi(b) = \varphi(b \cdot b) = \varphi(b)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But then } \varphi(b^2) &= \varphi[(b - \varphi(b))^2] = \varphi(b^2 - 2\varphi(b) \cdot b + \varphi(b)^2) \\ &= \varphi(b^2) - \varphi(b)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

b/c  $\varphi$  is faithful.

$$\text{Since } b^* = \left(\frac{a + a^*}{2}\right)^* = \frac{a^* + a}{2} = b, \text{ also } b^* = b; \text{ so } 0 = \varphi(b^2) = \varphi(b b^*) \Rightarrow b = 0$$

So  $0 = b^* = b - \varphi(b) \cdot 1$ , and hence  $b = \varphi(b) \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ . Since  $c^* = c$  as well, an analogous argument proves that  $c = \varphi(c) \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ . Thus,

$$a = \frac{a+a^*}{2} + i \cdot \frac{a-a^*}{2i} = b + ic \in \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$$



## A Note on Non-Commutativity

We started with a model for freeness (freeness in groups) that is maximally non-commutative: no relations at all. But now we've abstracted to a combinatorial definition of freeness that a priori, could make sense for commuting random variables. The next result shows this is not the case — freeness is an intrinsically non-commutative concept.

Prop: Suppose  $a, b$  are self-adjoint:  $a = a^*, b = b^*$ . If  $a, b$  are free and also commute ( $ab = ba$ ) then at least one of  $a, b$  is constant.

Pf. Since  $ab = ba$ ,  $a^2 b^2 = abab$ . By freeness, on the one hand  $\varphi(a^2 b^2) = \varphi(a^2) \varphi(b^2)$ . But also, appealing again to Ex. 2.3,

$$\varphi(a^2 b^2) = \varphi(abab) = \varphi(a^2) \varphi(b^2) + \varphi(a)^2 \varphi(b^2) - \varphi(a)^2 \varphi(b)^2$$

Combining, this gives

$$\varphi(a^2) \varphi(b^2) = \varphi(a^2) \varphi(b^2) + \varphi(a)^2 \varphi(b^2) - \varphi(a)^2 \varphi(b)^2$$

which simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varphi(a^2) \varphi(b^2) - \varphi(a^2) \varphi(b)^2 - \varphi(a)^2 \varphi(b^2) + \varphi(a)^2 \varphi(b)^2 \\ &= [\varphi(a^2) - \varphi(a)^2] [\varphi(b^2) - \varphi(b)^2] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, at least one of these two quantities is 0; wlog say  $\varphi(a^2) - \varphi(a)^2 = 0$ . As above, this shows that

$$\varphi[(a - \varphi(a))^2] = \varphi(a^2) - \varphi(a)^2 = 0$$

Since  $a = a^*$ ,  $(a - \varphi(a))^2 = (a - \varphi(a))(a - \varphi(a))^*$  and so since  $\varphi$  is faithful, we have  $a - \varphi(a) \cdot 1 = 0$ , as required.

Remark: The self-adjointness condition is very natural. In the context of classical random variables in  $(L^\infty, \mathbb{E})$ , where everything commutes,  $X=X^*$  means  $X=\bar{X}$  - i.e.  $X$  is real-valued. So, if two classical real-valued r.v.'s are free, one of them is constant. (Indeed, it is easy to check that this also holds true for  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued r.v.'s.)

Remark: It is easy to check the (vacuously) true statement that constants are always free from everything.

## Relation to Orthogonality

Since  $\varphi$  is positive and faithful, it is easy to check that the bilinear form

$$(a, b) \mapsto \varphi(b^*a)$$

is an inner product on  $\mathcal{O}$ . In the classical setting, this is the usual  $L^2$ -inner-product wrt which we define orthogonality. Indeed, if  $X, Y$  are (real-valued) classical r.v.'s that are independent, then

$$\mathbb{E}(X \dot{Y}) = \mathbb{E}(X) \mathbb{E}(Y) = 0.$$

So independence implies orthogonality (after centering). The following is a super up version of this for free random variables (which is a very useful tool for computation).

Prop: Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k < \mathcal{O}$  be free subalgebras. Consider centered elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  with  $a_i \in A_{i_j}$  and  $b_j \in A_{j_j}$ , where the indices  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_m$  satisfy  $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_n$  and  $j_1 \neq \dots \neq j_m$ .

Then

$$\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n b_m \cdots b_1) = \begin{cases} \varphi(a_1 b_1) \cdots \varphi(a_n b_n) & \text{if } n=m \text{ \& } i_j = j_j \forall j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pf: Since the  $a_i$ 's are from consecutively-distinct algebras, as are the  $b_j$ 's, if  $i_n \neq j_m$  then the whole list is consecutively-distinct, and since all elements are centered, by definition of freeness it follows that  $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n b_m \cdots b_1) = 0$ .

Suppose instead, that  $i_n = j_m$ , so  $a_n, b_m$  are in the same algebra. Set  $c = a_n b_m$ . Then the product  $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} c b_{m-1} \cdots b_1$  consists of elements sampled from consecutively-distinct algebras.

The only catch is that  $c$  is not necessarily centered. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} c b_{m-1} \cdots b_1) &= \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} (c + \varphi(c)) b_{m-1} \cdots b_1) \\ &= \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} c b_{m-1} \cdots b_1) + \varphi(c) \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} b_{m-1} \cdots b_1) \\ &\quad \circ \text{ by freeness.}\end{aligned}$$

So, if  $i_n = j_m$ , we have  $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n b_m \cdots b_1) = \varphi(a_n b_m) \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} b_{m-1} \cdots b_1)$ .  
Now iterate this observation  $\min(n, m)$  times. If  $n \neq m$ , say  $n > m$ , the result will be

$$\varphi(a_n b_m) \varphi(a_{n-1} b_{m-1}) \cdots \varphi(a_{n-m+1} b_1) \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{n-m})$$

○ by freeness.

Thus, we only get  $\neq 0$  when  $n = m$  and  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ , resulting in the claimed equation. ◻

These orthogonality relations will come in handy in following lectures.

So, in general terms, we have seen that freeness is/provides an algorithm for computing joint moments from separate individual moments. But thus far, this is an "algorithm" in name only. If  $a, b$  are free, what is  $\varphi(a^3 b^7 a^2 b a b)$  in terms of  $\{\varphi(a^k), \varphi(b^k); 1 \leq k \leq 7\}$ ? This could be worked out iteratively by hand, but would be very time-consuming.

A lot of this course will be devoted to understanding the combinatorics underlying freeness. This will involve lattices of non-crossing partitions, and Möbius inversion.

Before we get there, we should see a little more clearly why freeness is an interesting, important concept.

The first step en route is distributions of non-commutative random variables. That's next week's topic.

Exercise: at several points in the above proofs, we used (implicitly) the fact that if  $a = a^*$ ,  $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that this is true. As a consequence, show that, in general,  $\varphi(a^*) = \overline{\varphi(a)}$ .

Hint: Any self-adjoint can be decomposed as  $a = \left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)^2$ .