

# Restriction of Representations of Classical Groups: the Gross-Prasad Conjecture

Wee Teck Gan  
University of California, San Diego

September 19, 2010

# References

This talk is based on the following two papers of mine with **Benedict Gross** and **Deependra Prasad**:

This talk is based on the following two papers of mine with [Benedict Gross](#) and [Diepndra Prasad](#):

- Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups

This talk is based on the following two papers of mine with [Benedict Gross](#) and [Diepndra Prasad](#):

- Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups
- Restrictions of representations of classical groups: examples

This talk is based on the following two papers of mine with **Benedict Gross** and **Diepndra Prasad**:

- Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups
- Restrictions of representations of classical groups: examples

(These are to appear in *Asterisque* Vol 118)

# Geometric Analog

Let  $M$  be a manifold. Suppose that

# Geometric Analog

Let  $M$  be a manifold. Suppose that

- $[\omega] \in H^k(M)$ ;
- $i : C \hookrightarrow M$  a  $k$ -cycle.

# Geometric Analog

Let  $M$  be a manifold. Suppose that

- $[\omega] \in H^k(M)$ ;
- $i : C \hookrightarrow M$  a  $k$ -cycle.

The period of  $\omega$  over  $C$  is the integral

$$\int_C i^*(\omega).$$

**Question:** Is this nonzero? What is its value?

Special cases of such a question are significant in number theory, and are related to special values of L-functions.

# Geometric Analog

Suppose that

- a group  $G$  acts on  $M$  and  $C$  is a  $G$ -orbit.

# Geometric Analog

Suppose that

- a group  $G$  acts on  $M$  and  $C$  is a  $G$ -orbit.
- $\omega_C$  is a  $G$ -invariant volume form on  $C$ .

# Geometric Analog

Suppose that

- a group  $G$  acts on  $M$  and  $C$  is a  $G$ -orbit.
- $\omega_C$  is a  $G$ -invariant volume form on  $C$ .

For any function  $f$  on  $M$ ,  $i^*f \cdot \omega_C \in H^k(C)$  and we have the period

$$\mathcal{P}_C(f) = \int_C i^*f \cdot \omega_C.$$

# Geometric Analog

Suppose that

- a group  $G$  acts on  $M$  and  $C$  is a  $G$ -orbit.
- $\omega_C$  is a  $G$ -invariant volume form on  $C$ .

For any function  $f$  on  $M$ ,  $i^*f \cdot \omega_C \in H^k(C)$  and we have the period

$$\mathcal{P}_C(f) = \int_C i^*f \cdot \omega_C.$$

Let  $\Pi$  be the representation of  $G$  generated by a function  $f_0$ . Then regard  $\mathcal{P}_C$  as a  $G$ -invariant linear functional on  $\Pi$ .

**Question:** Is  $\mathcal{P}_C$  nonzero on  $\Pi$ ?

# Geometric Analog

Suppose that

- a group  $G$  acts on  $M$  and  $C$  is a  $G$ -orbit.
- $\omega_C$  is a  $G$ -invariant volume form on  $C$ .

For any function  $f$  on  $M$ ,  $i^*f \cdot \omega_C \in H^k(C)$  and we have the period

$$\mathcal{P}_C(f) = \int_C i^*f \cdot \omega_C.$$

Let  $\Pi$  be the representation of  $G$  generated by a function  $f_0$ . Then regard  $\mathcal{P}_C$  as a  $G$ -invariant linear functional on  $\Pi$ .

**Question:** Is  $\mathcal{P}_C$  nonzero on  $\Pi$ ?

It is natural to first consider the representation theoretic question:

When is  $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ ?

# Classical Groups

These are the orthogonal, symplectic, unitary and general linear groups. Uniform description:

# Classical Groups

These are the orthogonal, symplectic, unitary and general linear groups. Uniform description:

- $k$  a field with an involution (possibly trivial)

$$\sigma : k \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma^2 = 1$$

and fixed field  $k_0 = k^\sigma$ ;

# Classical Groups

These are the orthogonal, symplectic, unitary and general linear groups. Uniform description:

- $k$  a field with an involution (possibly trivial)

$$\sigma : k \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma^2 = 1$$

and fixed field  $k_0 = k^\sigma$ ;

- $V =$  a finite dimensional vector space over a field  $k$ ;

# Classical Groups

These are the orthogonal, symplectic, unitary and general linear groups. Uniform description:

- $k$  a field with an involution (possibly trivial)

$$\sigma : k \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma^2 = 1$$

and fixed field  $k_0 = k^\sigma$ ;

- $V$  = a finite dimensional vector space over a field  $k$ ;
- $\langle -, - \rangle$  a  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$ -hermitian form (non-degenerate) on  $V$  ( $\epsilon = \pm$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha v + \beta w, u \rangle &= \alpha \langle v, u \rangle + \beta \langle w, u \rangle \\ \langle u, v \rangle &= \epsilon \cdot \langle v, u \rangle^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

# Classical Groups

These are the orthogonal, symplectic, unitary and general linear groups. Uniform description:

- $k$  a field with an involution (possibly trivial)

$$\sigma : k \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma^2 = 1$$

and fixed field  $k_0 = k^\sigma$ ;

- $V$  = a finite dimensional vector space over a field  $k$ ;
- $\langle -, - \rangle$  a  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$ -hermitian form (non-degenerate) on  $V$  ( $\epsilon = \pm 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \alpha v + \beta w, u \rangle &= \alpha \langle v, u \rangle + \beta \langle w, u \rangle \\ \langle u, v \rangle &= \epsilon \cdot \langle v, u \rangle^\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

- Set

$$G(V) = \text{Aut}(V, \langle -, - \rangle)^0.$$

This is a classical group defined over the field  $k_0$ .

# Table of Possibilities

The different possibilities for  $G(V)$  are given in the following table.

| $(k, \epsilon)$                             | $G(V)$                          |
|---|---------------------------------|
| $k = k_0, \epsilon = 1$                     | special orthogonal group $O(V)$ |
| $k = k_0, \epsilon = -1$                    | symplectic group $Sp(V)$        |
| $k/k_0$ quadratic field, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ | unitary group $U(V)$            |
| $k = k_0 \times k_0, \epsilon = \pm 1$      | general linear group $GL(V_0)$  |

# Example

- $k = \mathbb{C}$  and  $k_0 = \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\sigma$  is complex conjugation.

# Example

- $k = \mathbb{C}$  and  $k_0 = \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\sigma$  is complex conjugation.
- $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  and

$$\langle \underline{v}, \underline{w} \rangle = v_1 \cdot w_1^\sigma + \dots + v_n \cdot w_n^\sigma.$$

This is a positive definite hermitian form on  $V$ .

# Example

- $k = \mathbb{C}$  and  $k_0 = \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\sigma$  is complex conjugation.
- $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  and

$$\langle \underline{v}, \underline{w} \rangle = v_1 \cdot w_1^\sigma + \dots + v_n \cdot w_n^\sigma.$$

This is a positive definite hermitian form on  $V$ .

- 

$$G(V) = \mathrm{U}(n) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) : {}^t g^\sigma \cdot g = I\}$$

is a compact Lie group.

## Proposition

- *Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , there is a unique  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$  hermitian space  $V$  of a given dimension.*

## Proposition

- *Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , there is a unique  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$  hermitian space  $V$  of a given dimension.*
- *There is a unique symplectic space  $V$  of a given dimension over  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

# Classification of Forms over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$

## Proposition

- *Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , there is a unique  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$  hermitian space  $V$  of a given dimension.*
- *There is a unique symplectic space  $V$  of a given dimension over  $\mathbb{R}$ .*
- *Over  $\mathbb{R}$ , quadratic and hermitian spaces  $V$  are classified by their signatures  $(p, q)$ , with  $p + q = \dim V$ .*

# Classification of Forms over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Suppose that  $k$  is a  $p$ -adic field.

## Proposition

- *There is a unique symplectic space of a given dimension.*

# Classification of Forms over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Suppose that  $k$  is a  $p$ -adic field.

## Proposition

- *There is a unique symplectic space of a given dimension.*
- *Quadratic spaces  $V$  are classified by:*

$$(\dim V, \quad \text{disc}(V) \in k_0^\times / k_0^{\times 2}, \quad \epsilon(V) = \pm 1)$$

# Classification of Forms over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Suppose that  $k$  is a  $p$ -adic field.

## Proposition

- *There is a unique symplectic space of a given dimension.*
- *Quadratic spaces  $V$  are classified by:*

$$(\dim V, \quad \text{disc}(V) \in k_0^\times / k_0^{\times 2}, \quad \epsilon(V) = \pm 1)$$

- *Hermitian and skew-Hermitian spaces  $V$  are classified by:*

$$(\dim V, \quad \text{disc}(V) \in k_0^\times / \mathbb{N}k^\times)$$

# Pure Inner Forms

This is a notion due to Vogan.

# Pure Inner Forms

This is a notion due to Vogan.

- For a given  $V$ , with associated  $G(V)$ , we consider those  $V'$  with

$$\dim V' = \dim V$$

and in the quadratic case, we further require

$$\text{disc}(V') = \text{disc}(V).$$

The collection of  $G(V')$ 's obtained in this way are called the **pure inner forms** of  $G(V)$ .

# Pure Inner Forms

This is a notion due to Vogan.

- For a given  $V$ , with associated  $G(V)$ , we consider those  $V'$  with

$$\dim V' = \dim V$$

and in the quadratic case, we further require

$$\text{disc}(V') = \text{disc}(V).$$

The collection of  $G(V')$ 's obtained in this way are called the **pure inner forms** of  $G(V)$ .

- It is possible that  $V' \not\cong V$ , but  $G(V') \cong G(V)$ . But we still regard  $G(V)$  and  $G(V')$  as different elements in the set of pure inner forms.

Over a  $p$ -adic field,  $G(V)$  has

- a unique pure inner form, if  $V$  is symplectic, or if  $G(V) = \mathrm{GL}(V_0)$ ;

Over a  $p$ -adic field,  $G(V)$  has

- a unique pure inner form, if  $V$  is symplectic, or if  $G(V) = \mathrm{GL}(V_0)$ ;
- two pure inner forms if  $V$  is quadratic, hermitian or skew-hermitian.

Over a  $p$ -adic field,  $G(V)$  has

- a unique pure inner form, if  $V$  is symplectic, or if  $G(V) = \mathrm{GL}(V_0)$ ;
- two pure inner forms if  $V$  is quadratic, hermitian or skew-hermitian.

In general, for a given group  $G$ , the set of pure inner forms of  $V$  are classified by the Galois cohomology set  $H^1(k_0, G(V))$ .

# A Restriction Problem

Suppose that  $W \subset V$ , so that

$$G(W) \subset G(V).$$

We consider two cases:

# A Restriction Problem

Suppose that  $W \subset V$ , so that

$$G(W) \subset G(V).$$

We consider two cases:

- if  $V$  is quadratic or hermitian, assume  $\dim V - \dim W = 1$ ,

# A Restriction Problem

Suppose that  $W \subset V$ , so that

$$G(W) \subset G(V).$$

We consider two cases:

- if  $V$  is quadratic or hermitian, assume  $\dim V - \dim W = 1$ ,

**Problem:**

Given irreducible representations  $\pi$  of  $G(V)$  and  $\sigma$  of  $G(W)$ , determine

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G(W)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}).$$

# A Restriction Problem

Suppose that  $W \subset V$ , so that

$$G(W) \subset G(V).$$

We consider two cases:

- if  $V$  is quadratic or hermitian, assume  $\dim V - \dim W = 1$ ,

**Problem:**

Given irreducible representations  $\pi$  of  $G(V)$  and  $\sigma$  of  $G(W)$ , determine

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G(W)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}).$$

This counts the number of times the dual representation  $\sigma^\vee$  occurs as quotient of  $\pi$ .

- if  $V$  is symplectic or skew-hermitian, assume  $\dim V = \dim W$ .

- if  $V$  is symplectic or skew-hermitian, assume  $\dim V = \dim W$ .

**Problem:**

Given irreducible representations  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  of  $G(V)$ , determine

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G(V)}(\pi \otimes \sigma \otimes \omega, \mathbb{C})$$

where  $\omega$  is a (so-called) Weil representation.

- if  $V$  is symplectic or skew-hermitian, assume  $\dim V = \dim W$ .

**Problem:**

Given irreducible representations  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  of  $G(V)$ , determine

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G(V)}(\pi \otimes \sigma \otimes \omega, \mathbb{C})$$

where  $\omega$  is a (so-called) Weil representation.

To be precise, one needs to work on the double cover of symplectic groups to talk about the Weil representation. Because of this technical complication, we will not consider this case henceforth.

# A Classical Example

If  $W \subset V$  are positive definite Hermitian spaces, then

$$G(W) = U(n-1) \subset G(V) = U(n).$$

The irreducible representations of  $U(n)$  are finite-dimensional.

# A Classical Example

If  $W \subset V$  are positive definite Hermitian spaces, then

$$G(W) = U(n-1) \subset G(V) = U(n).$$

The irreducible representations of  $U(n)$  are finite-dimensional.

Given such a  $\pi$ , we consider its restriction to  $U(n-1)$ , and ask which irreducible representations of  $U(n-1)$  occur, and with what multiplicity:

$$\pi|_{U(n-1)} = \bigoplus_{\sigma} m_{\pi,\sigma} \cdot \sigma.$$

# Irreducible Representations of Connected Compact Lie Groups

To answer this question, one first needs a classification of the irreducible representations of groups like  $U(n)$ .

# Irreducible Representations of Connected Compact Lie Groups

To answer this question, one first needs a classification of the irreducible representations of groups like  $U(n)$ .

This is supplied by the **Cartan-Weyl theory of highest weight**.

# Irreducible Representations of Connected Compact Lie Groups

To answer this question, one first needs a classification of the irreducible representations of groups like  $U(n)$ .

This is supplied by the **Cartan-Weyl theory of highest weight**.

## Theorem

*The irreducible representations of  $U(n)$  are naturally parametrized by the set of  $n$ -tuples of integers*

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

*satisfying*

$$a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$$

# A Classical Branching Law

The restriction problem from  $U(n)$  to  $U(n-1)$  has a very nice answer:

## Theorem

*Suppose  $\pi$  of  $U(n)$  corresponds to  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\sigma$  of  $U(n-1)$  corresponds to  $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$ . Then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{U(n-1)}(\pi, \sigma) \leq 1,$$

*and equality holds if and only if*

$$a_1 \geq b_1 \geq a_2 \geq b_2 \geq \dots b_{n-1} \geq a_n.$$

# Multiplicity (at most) One Theorems

Recently, the following important theorem was proved:

# Multiplicity (at most) One Theorems

Recently, the following important theorem was proved:

## Theorem

*Assume that  $W \subset V$  is quadratic or hermitian, so that  $\dim_k V - \dim_k W = 1$ . If  $\pi$  is a irreducible representation of  $G(V)$  and  $\sigma$  one of  $G(W)$ , then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G(W)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1.$$

# Multiplicity (at most) One Theorems

Recently, the following important theorem was proved:

## Theorem

*Assume that  $W \subset V$  is quadratic or hermitian, so that  $\dim_k V - \dim_k W = 1$ . If  $\pi$  is a irreducible representation of  $G(V)$  and  $\sigma$  one of  $G(W)$ , then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G(W)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1.$$

This is due to:

- Aizenbud-Gourevitch-Rallis-Schiffmann (with a little input from Waldspurger) when  $k$  is  $p$ -adic;
- Sun-Zhu and Aizenbud-Gourevitch when  $k$  is archimedean.

# Multiplicity (at most) One Theorems

Recently, the following important theorem was proved:

## Theorem

*Assume that  $W \subset V$  is quadratic or hermitian, so that  $\dim_k V - \dim_k W = 1$ . If  $\pi$  is a irreducible representation of  $G(V)$  and  $\sigma$  one of  $G(W)$ , then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G(W)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1.$$

This is due to:

- Aizenbud-Gourevitch-Rallis-Schiffmann (with a little input from Waldspurger) when  $k$  is  $p$ -adic;
- Sun-Zhu and Aizenbud-Gourevitch when  $k$  is archimedean.

**Question:** when is it nonzero?

## Example: $GL(n)$

Suppose that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are **generic** representations of  $GL(n)$  and  $GL(n-1)$  respectively. Then one has

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{GL(n-1)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) = 1.$$

## Example: $GL(n)$

Suppose that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are **generic** representations of  $GL(n)$  and  $GL(n-1)$  respectively. Then one has

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{GL(n-1)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) = 1.$$

In this case, we do not need a classification of the irreducible representations of  $GL(n)$  to state the answer to the restriction problem.

## Example: $GL(n)$

Suppose that  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are **generic** representations of  $GL(n)$  and  $GL(n-1)$  respectively. Then one has

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{GL(n-1)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) = 1.$$

In this case, we do not need a classification of the irreducible representations of  $GL(n)$  to state the answer to the restriction problem.

Here, **genericity** is a technical condition satisfied by most representations of  $GL(n)$ : it means that the representations have the "largest dimension" amongst all representations.

## Example: $U(2) \times U(1)$ revisited

Let's examine the example of  $U(2) \times U(1)$  in greater detail.  
We have looked at the positive definite case:

$$W \subset V,$$

where  $V$  has signature  $(2, 0)$  and  $W$  has signature  $(1, 0)$ .

## Example: $U(2) \times U(1)$ revisited

Let's examine the example of  $U(2) \times U(1)$  in greater detail. We have looked at the positive definite case:

$$W \subset V,$$

where  $V$  has signature  $(2, 0)$  and  $W$  has signature  $(1, 0)$ .

If we take an irrep  $\pi_a$  of  $U(2)$  with Cartan-Weyl parameter  $(a, -a)$ , then  $\pi$  has trivial central character, and  $\pi_a|_{U(1)}$  consists of those  $\chi_b$ 's such that

$$-a \leq b \leq a.$$

What if one considers

$$W' \subset V'$$

where  $V'$  has signature  $(1, 1)$  and  $W'$  has signature  $(1, 0)$ ?

What if one considers

$$W' \subset V'$$

where  $V'$  has signature  $(1, 1)$  and  $W'$  has signature  $(1, 0)$ ?

**Observe:**  $U(1, 1)$  is a pure inner form of  $U(2, 0)$ , and that  $V'/W' \cong V/W$  has signature  $(0, 1)$ .

What if one considers

$$W' \subset V'$$

where  $V'$  has signature  $(1, 1)$  and  $W'$  has signature  $(1, 0)$ ?

**Observe:**  $U(1, 1)$  is a pure inner form of  $U(2, 0)$ , and that  $V'/W' \cong V/W$  has signature  $(0, 1)$ .

The group  $U(V') = U(1, 1)$  is closely related to the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .  
Indeed,

$$U(1, 1)/Z \cong SL_2(\mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}.$$

The map

$$U(1, 0) \rightarrow U(1, 1) \rightarrow U(1, 1)/Z$$

is injective, with image the maximal compact subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}$ .

Fact:

There is a pair of irreps  $\pi_a^+$  and  $\pi_a^-$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}$ , such that

$$\pi_a^+|_{\mathrm{U}(1,0)} = \bigoplus_{b>a} \chi_b$$

and

$$\pi_a^-|_{\mathrm{U}(1,0)} = \bigoplus_{b<a} \chi_b.$$

### Fact:

There is a pair of irreps  $\pi_a^+$  and  $\pi_a^-$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}$ , such that

$$\pi_a^+|_{U(1,0)} = \bigoplus_{b>a} \chi_b$$

and

$$\pi_a^-|_{U(1,0)} = \bigoplus_{b<a} \chi_b.$$

### Conclusion:

If one consider  $\pi \oplus \pi^+ \oplus \pi^-$  as a representation of  $U(1,0)$ , then one gets each irrep of  $U(1,0)$  exactly once.

# Local Langlands-Vogan Correspondence

The example of  $U(2) \times U(1)$  suggests:

If we group together certain irreps of  $G(V)$  and  $G(W)$ , and their pure inner forms, then the restriction problem will have a nice solution like the case of  $GL(n)$ .

# Local Langlands-Vogan Correspondence

The example of  $U(2) \times U(1)$  suggests:

If we group together certain irreps of  $G(V)$  and  $G(W)$ , and their pure inner forms, then the restriction problem will have a nice solution like the case of  $GL(n)$ .

Such a grouping is precisely what is proposed by the local Langlands conjecture (as extended by Vogan), which gives a classification of the irreps of  $G(V)$  and its pure inner forms.

# Local Langlands-Vogan Correspondence

The example of  $U(2) \times U(1)$  suggests:

If we group together certain irreps of  $G(V)$  and  $G(W)$ , and their pure inner forms, then the restriction problem will have a nice solution like the case of  $GL(n)$ .

Such a grouping is precisely what is proposed by the local Langlands conjecture (as extended by Vogan), which gives a classification of the irreps of  $G(V)$  and its pure inner forms.

In particular, it is a **highly nontrivial extension of the Cartan-Weyl theory of highest weight**.

# Local Langlands-Vogan Conjecture (Preliminary Form)

Let  $\text{Irr}(G)$  denote the set of irreps of  $G$ . Then given a space  $V$ , one has

$$\bigsqcup_{V'} \text{Irr}(G(V')) = \bigsqcup_{\phi} \Pi_{\phi}$$

# Local Langlands-Vogan Conjecture (Preliminary Form)

Let  $\text{Irr}(G)$  denote the set of irreps of  $G$ . Then given a space  $V$ , one has

$$\bigsqcup_{V'} \text{Irr}(G(V')) = \bigsqcup_{\phi} \Pi_{\phi}$$

where

- the union on the left runs over all pure inner forms  $G(V')$  of  $G(V)$ ;

# Local Langlands-Vogan Conjecture (Preliminary Form)

Let  $\text{Irr}(G)$  denote the set of irreps of  $G$ . Then given a space  $V$ , one has

$$\bigsqcup_{V'} \text{Irr}(G(V')) = \bigsqcup_{\phi} \Pi_{\phi}$$

where

- the union on the left runs over all pure inner forms  $G(V')$  of  $G(V)$ ;
- the indexing set on the right runs over the set of [Langlands parameters](#) for  $G(V)$ ;

# Local Langlands-Vogan Conjecture (Preliminary Form)

Let  $\text{Irr}(G)$  denote the set of irreps of  $G$ . Then given a space  $V$ , one has

$$\bigsqcup_{V'} \text{Irr}(G(V')) = \bigsqcup_{\phi} \Pi_{\phi}$$

where

- the union on the left runs over all pure inner forms  $G(V')$  of  $G(V)$ ;
- the indexing set on the right runs over the set of **Langlands parameters** for  $G(V)$ ;
- the sets  $\Pi_{\phi}$  are finite sets of irreps of  $G(V)$  and its pure inner forms: they are called **Vogan packets**.

# Langlands Parameters

A Langlands parameters (L-parameters)  $\phi$  is more or less a Galois representation.

# Langlands Parameters

A Langlands parameters (L-parameters)  $\phi$  is more or less a Galois representation.

Let

$$WD_k = W_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $W_k$  is the Weil group of  $k$ ; for all intent and purposes, we may assume

$$W_k = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k).$$

# Langlands Parameters

A Langlands parameters (L-parameters)  $\phi$  is more or less a Galois representation.

Let

$$WD_k = W_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $W_k$  is the Weil group of  $k$ ; for all intent and purposes, we may assume

$$W_k = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k).$$

Then

$$\phi : WD_k \longrightarrow G^\vee(\mathbb{C}).$$

# $L$ -parameters for quadratic case

- when  $V$  is quadratic, with odd dimension  $2n + 1$ , then

$$\phi : WD_k \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}),$$

is a  $2n$ -dimensional semisimple symplectic representation of  $WD_k$ .

# $L$ -parameters for quadratic case

- when  $V$  is quadratic, with odd dimension  $2n + 1$ , then

$$\phi : WD_k \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}),$$

is a  $2n$ -dimensional semisimple symplectic representation of  $WD_k$ .

- when  $V$  is quadratic with even dimension  $2n$ , then

$$\phi : WD_k \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$$

is a  $2n$ -dimensional semisimple orthogonal representation.

# $L$ -parameters for quadratic case

- when  $V$  is quadratic, with odd dimension  $2n + 1$ , then

$$\phi : WD_k \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}),$$

is a  $2n$ -dimensional semisimple symplectic representation of  $WD_k$ .

- when  $V$  is quadratic with even dimension  $2n$ , then

$$\phi : WD_k \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$$

is a  $2n$ -dimensional semisimple orthogonal representation.

Thus, an  $L$ -parameter is a **self-dual Galois representation with appropriate sign  $\epsilon$** : there is an isomorphism

$$b : \phi \rightarrow \phi^V$$

with  $b^V = \epsilon \cdot b$ .

# $L$ -parameters for hermitian case

When  $V$  is hermitian of dimension  $n$ , then

$$\phi : WD_k \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$$

is an  $n$ -dimensional semisimple representation of  $WD_k$  which is

$$\begin{cases} \text{conjugate symplectic, if } n \text{ is even} \\ \text{conjugate orthogonal, if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\phi$  is a **conjugate-self-dual representation with appropriate sign  $\epsilon$** , i.e. there is an isomorphism

$$b : \phi \rightarrow (\phi^\vee)^\sigma$$

with  $(b^\vee)^\sigma = \epsilon \cdot b$ .

# Relevant Pure Inner Forms

Let  $W \subset V$  be given, so that  $G(W) \subset G(V)$ .

## Definition

A pair  $G(W') \times G(V')$  is a **relevant pure inner form** of  $G(W) \times G(V)$  if

- $W' \subset V'$ ,
- $V/W \cong V'/W'$ .

For example, when  $W \subset V$  is hermitian, the group  $G(V) \times G(W)$  has 4 pure inner forms, of which two are relevant.

# Multiplicity One in Vogan packets

Let  $W \subset V$  be given, and consider a *generic* L-parameter  $\phi \times \phi'$  of  $G(V) \times G(W)$ , with Vogan packet  $\Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}$  of  $G(V) \times G(W)$  and its pure inner forms. Then

# Multiplicity One in Vogan packets

Let  $W \subset V$  be given, and consider a *generic* L-parameter  $\phi \times \phi'$  of  $G(V) \times G(W)$ , with Vogan packet  $\Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}$  of  $G(V) \times G(W)$  and its pure inner forms. Then

We may now make:

## Conjecture

$$\sum_{\text{relevant } (\pi, \sigma) \in \Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}} \dim \text{Hom}_{G(W)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) = 1.$$

# Multiplicity One in Vogan packets

Let  $W \subset V$  be given, and consider a *generic* L-parameter  $\phi \times \phi'$  of  $G(V) \times G(W)$ , with Vogan packet  $\Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}$  of  $G(V) \times G(W)$  and its pure inner forms. Then

We may now make:

## Conjecture

$$\sum_{\text{relevant } (\pi, \sigma) \in \Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}} \dim \text{Hom}_{G(W)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) = 1.$$

**Question:**

Which relevant  $(\pi, \sigma)$  has nonzero contribution?

# Component Groups of Langlands Parameters

In the Langlands-Vogan conjecture, the structure of an L-packet  $\Pi_\phi$  can be described as follows.

# Component Groups of Langlands Parameters

In the Langlands-Vogan conjecture, the structure of an L-packet  $\Pi_\phi$  can be described as follows.

Given  $\phi : WD_k \rightarrow G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ , one defines a finite group

$$A_\phi = \pi_0(Z_{G^\vee}(\phi)),$$

i.e. the group of connected components of the centralizer of  $\phi$  in  $G^\vee$ .

# Component Groups of Langlands Parameters

In the Langlands-Vogan conjecture, the structure of an L-packet  $\Pi_\phi$  can be described as follows.

Given  $\phi : WD_k \rightarrow G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ , one defines a finite group

$$A_\phi = \pi_0(Z_{G^\vee}(\phi)),$$

i.e. the group of connected components of the centralizer of  $\phi$  in  $G^\vee$ .

# Refined Langlands-Vogan Conjecture

## Conjecture

*Relative to a non-trivial character  $\psi$  of  $k$  or  $k/k_0$ , There is a natural bijection*

$$J_\psi : \Pi_\phi \leftrightarrow \text{Irr}(A_\phi).$$

# Refined Langlands-Vogan Conjecture

## Conjecture

*Relative to a non-trivial character  $\psi$  of  $k$  or  $k/k_0$ , There is a natural bijection*

$$J_\psi : \Pi_\phi \leftrightarrow \text{Irr}(A_\phi).$$

Thus, an irrep of  $G(V)$  (and its pure inner form) is given by

$$\pi = \pi(\phi, \eta)$$

with  $\phi$  is an  $L$ -parameter and  $\eta \in \text{Irr}(A_\phi)$ .

This is the generalization of the Cartan-Wely theory of highest weight. It has been announced by Arthur (at least for the quadratic case) about the details have not appeared.

# Quadratic and Hermitian Cases

For the case at hand, when  $G(V)$  is an orthogonal or unitary group, the component group  $A_\phi$  is easily described.

# Quadratic and Hermitian Cases

For the case at hand, when  $G(V)$  is an orthogonal or unitary group, the component group  $A_\phi$  is easily described.

Recall that  $\phi$  may be regarded as a representation of  $WD_k$ , which is (conjugate)-self-dual of some sign  $\epsilon$ .

# Quadratic and Hermitian Cases

For the case at hand, when  $G(V)$  is an orthogonal or unitary group, the component group  $A_\phi$  is easily described.

Recall that  $\phi$  may be regarded as a representation of  $WD_k$ , which is (conjugate)-self-dual of some sign  $\epsilon$ .

We may decompose this into irreducible summands:

$$\phi = \sum_i n_i \phi_i.$$

# Quadratic and Hermitian Cases

For the case at hand, when  $G(V)$  is an orthogonal or unitary group, the component group  $A_\phi$  is easily described.

Recall that  $\phi$  may be regarded as a representation of  $WD_k$ , which is (conjugate)-self-dual of some sign  $\epsilon$ .

We may decompose this into irreducible summands:

$$\phi = \sum_i n_i \phi_i.$$

Then

$$A_\phi \cong \bigoplus_{i \in S} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cdot a_i$$

where  $S$  is the set of  $i$ 's such that  $\phi_i$  is (conjugate)-self-dual of the same sign  $\epsilon$  as  $\phi$ .

Thus,  $A_\phi$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space equipped with a canonical basis.

## Example: Trilinear Forms for $GL_2$

Consider  $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ .

## Example: Trilinear Forms for $GL_2$

Consider  $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ .

Note that

$$SO(4) \cong PGL(2) \times PGL(2) \quad \text{and} \quad SO(3) \cong PGL(2).$$

## Example: Trilinear Forms for $GL_2$

Consider  $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ .

Note that

$$SO(4) \cong PGL(2) \times PGL(2) \quad \text{and} \quad SO(3) \cong PGL(2).$$

So the restriction problem is:

Given irreps  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$  of  $PGL(2)$  with L-parameters  $\phi_i$ , determine

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{GL(2)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}).$$

## Example: Trilinear Forms for $GL_2$

Consider  $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ .

Note that

$$SO(4) \cong PGL(2) \times PGL(2) \quad \text{and} \quad SO(3) \cong PGL(2).$$

So the restriction problem is:

Given irreps  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$  of  $PGL(2)$  with L-parameters  $\phi_i$ , determine

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{GL(2)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}).$$

The other pure inner form is the group  $PD^\times \times PD^\times \times PD^\times$ , where  $D$  is the quaternion division algebra. The Vogan packet containing  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3$  is either a singleton, or contains another irrep

$$\pi_1^D \otimes \pi_2^D \otimes \pi_3^D \quad (\text{Jacquet-Langlands transfer})$$

on this pure inner form.

# Prasad's Theorem

## Theorem



$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}) + \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{PD^\times}(\pi_1^D \otimes \pi_2^D \otimes \pi_3^D, \mathbb{C}) \\ = 1$$

## Theorem



$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{PGL}(2)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}) + \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{PD \times}(\pi_1^D \otimes \pi_2^D \otimes \pi_3^D, \mathbb{C}) \\ = 1$$



$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{PGL}(2)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$$

*if and only if*

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3) = 1.$$

Here the RHS is the local epsilon factor attached to the 8-dimensional representation  $\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3$  of  $WD_k$  by Deligne and Langlands. It takes value  $\pm 1$ .

# Epsilon Factors of (Conjugate)-Symplectic Parameters

To specify which pair of relevant representations  $(\pi, \sigma) \in \Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}$  has nonzero contribution to  $\text{Hom}$ , we need to specify a character  $\chi_\psi$  of the finite group  $A_\phi \times A_{\phi'}$ .

# Epsilon Factors of (Conjugate)-Symplectic Parameters

To specify which pair of relevant representations  $(\pi, \sigma) \in \Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}$  has nonzero contribution to  $\text{Hom}$ , we need to specify a character  $\chi_\psi$  of the finite group  $A_\phi \times A_{\phi'}$ .

A key observation, motivated by Prasad's theorem, is:

The representations  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are (conjugate)-self-dual with opposite signs, since  $\dim V$  and  $\dim W$  are of opposite parity.

# Epsilon Factors of (Conjugate)-Symplectic Parameters

To specify which pair of relevant representations  $(\pi, \sigma) \in \Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}$  has nonzero contribution to  $\text{Hom}$ , we need to specify a character  $\chi_\psi$  of the finite group  $A_\phi \times A_{\phi'}$ .

A key observation, motivated by Prasad's theorem, is:

The representations  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are (conjugate)-self-dual with opposite signs, since  $\dim V$  and  $\dim W$  are of opposite parity.

## Proposition

*If  $\phi$  is a conjugate symplectic representation of  $WD_k$ , and  $\psi$  is a character of  $k/k_0$ , then*

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi, \psi) = \pm 1.$$

*If  $\phi$  is conjugate orthogonal, then*

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi, \psi) = 1.$$



# A Distinguished Character of the Component Group

We shall define such a character  $\chi_\psi$  in the hermitian case.

Suppose

$$A_\phi = \bigoplus_{i \in S} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cdot a_i$$

and

$$A_{\phi'} = \bigoplus_{j \in S'} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cdot b_j.$$

## Definition

Set

$$\chi(a_i) = \epsilon(1/2, \phi_i \otimes \phi', \psi)$$

and

$$\chi(b_j) = \epsilon(1/2, \phi \otimes \phi'_j, \psi).$$

Note that the representation  $\phi_i \otimes \phi'$  is conjugate symplectic, so these epsilon factors are equal to  $\pm 1$ .

# Local Gross-Prasad Conjecture

## Conjecture

The unique relevant representation  $(\pi, \sigma) \in \Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'}$  such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G(W')}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$$

corresponds to the character  $\chi_\psi$  of  $A_\phi \times A_{\phi'}$  under the bijection

$$J_\psi : \Pi_\phi \times \Pi_{\phi'} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(A_\phi \times A_{\phi'})$$

# Results: Quadratic Case

- $SO(3) \times SO(2)$ : Waldspurger, Tunnell and Saito.

# Results: Quadratic Case

- $SO(3) \times SO(2)$ : Waldspurger, Tunnell and Saito.
- $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ : D. Prasad

# Results: Quadratic Case

- $SO(3) \times SO(2)$ : Waldspurger, Tunnell and Saito.
- $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ : D. Prasad
- In a series of 5 recent papers, Waldspurger and Moeglin-Waldspurger have proven:

## Theorem

*The local GP conjecture for  $SO(n) \times SO(n - 1)$  is true, assuming the Langlands-Vogan correspondence is known and has some expected properties.*

# Results: Hermitian Case

- $U(1) \times U(2)$  and  $U(2) \times U(3)$ : checked in my second paper with Gross-Prasad.
- there is every reason to expect that the techniques of Waldspurger will give the general case, but it will take many pages to verify the details!

# Global Setting

The local GP conjecture has a global counterpart.

# Global Setting

The local GP conjecture has a global counterpart.

Let  $E$  be a number field with involution  $\sigma$  such that  $F = F^\sigma$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}_F$  be the ring of adeles of  $F$ .

For example: if  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ , then

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \prod_p' \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{R}.$$

# Global Setting

The local GP conjecture has a global counterpart.

Let  $E$  be a number field with involution  $\sigma$  such that  $F = F^\sigma$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}_F$  be the ring of adeles of  $F$ .

For example: if  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ , then

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \prod_p' \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{R}.$$

One may consider quadratic or hermitian spaces

$$W \subset V$$

over  $E$ , and the associated groups

$$G(W) \subset G(V)$$

over  $F$ .

# Global Period Integral

Let  $\Pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $G(V)(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , so that

$$\Pi \subset L^2(G(V)(F) \backslash G(V)(\mathbb{A}_F))$$

Similarly, let  $\Sigma$  be a cuspidal representation of  $G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)$

## Definition

The global period integral on  $\Pi \otimes \Sigma$  is the linear functional

$$\mathcal{P} : \Pi \otimes \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{P}(f \otimes \varphi) = \int_{G(W)(F) \backslash G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(h) \cdot \varphi(h) dh$$

# Global Period Integral

Let  $\Pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $G(V)(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , so that

$$\Pi \subset L^2(G(V)(F) \backslash G(V)(\mathbb{A}_F))$$

Similarly, let  $\Sigma$  be a cuspidal representation of  $G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)$

## Definition

The global period integral on  $\Pi \otimes \Sigma$  is the linear functional

$$\mathcal{P} : \Pi \otimes \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{P}(f \otimes \varphi) = \int_{G(W)(F) \backslash G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(h) \cdot \varphi(h) dh$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{P} \in \text{Hom}_{G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)}(\Pi \otimes \Sigma, \mathbb{C}).$$

# The Global Problem

Problem:

When is  $\mathcal{P}$  nonzero?

# The Global Problem

**Problem:**

When is  $\mathcal{P}$  nonzero?

Since  $\Pi = \otimes'_v \pi_v$  and  $\Sigma = \otimes'_v \sigma_v$ , if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G(W)(F_v)}(\pi_v \otimes \sigma_v, \mathbb{C}) = 0$$

for some place  $v$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  is necessarily zero.

# The Global Problem

## Problem:

When is  $\mathcal{P}$  nonzero?

Since  $\Pi = \otimes'_v \pi_v$  and  $\Sigma = \otimes'_v \sigma_v$ , if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G(W)(F_v)}(\pi_v \otimes \sigma_v, \mathbb{C}) = 0$$

for some place  $v$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  is necessarily zero.

So the question is: in the absence of local obstructions, is there further global obstruction to the non-vanishing of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

# Global Gross-Prasad Conjecture

## Conjecture

*Suppose that  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  are tempered. Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is nonzero if and only if*

- for all  $v$ ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G(W)(F_v)}(\pi_v \otimes \sigma_v, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$$

- 

$$L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma) \neq 0.$$

# Global Gross-Prasad Conjecture

## Conjecture

*Suppose that  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  are tempered. Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is nonzero if and only if*

- for all  $v$ ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G(W)(F_v)}(\pi_v \otimes \sigma_v, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$$

- 

$$L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma) \neq 0.$$

Ginzburg-Jiang-Rallis have shown the implication ( $\Rightarrow$ ), under some assumptions on  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$ .

# Refined GP Conjecture (Ichino-Ikeda)

Ichino and Ikeda have conjectured a precise formula relating  $|\mathcal{P}|^2$  and  $L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma)$ , when  $V$  is quadratic.

# Refined GP Conjecture (Ichino-Ikeda)

Ichino and Ikeda have conjectured a precise formula relating  $|\mathcal{P}|^2$  and  $L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma)$ , when  $V$  is quadratic.

(When  $V$  is unitary, the analogous conjecture was formulated in the pending PhD thesis of Neal Harris at UCSD).

# Refined GP Conjecture (Ichino-Ikeda)

Ichino and Ikeda have conjectured a precise formula relating  $|\mathcal{P}|^2$  and  $L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma)$ , when  $V$  is quadratic.

(When  $V$  is unitary, the analogous conjecture was formulated in the pending PhD thesis of Neal Harris at UCSD).

For each  $v$ , they defined a natural linear functional

$$\mathcal{P}_v : \pi_v \otimes \overline{\pi}_v \otimes \sigma_v \otimes \overline{\sigma}_v \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

using "integration of matrix coefficients". It was shown by Waldspurger that (for tempered reps)

$$\mathcal{P}_v \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{G(W_v) \times G(W_v)}(\pi_v \otimes \sigma_v, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0.$$

# Refined GP Conjecture (Ichino-Ikeda)

Ichino and Ikeda have conjectured a precise formula relating  $|\mathcal{P}|^2$  and  $L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma)$ , when  $V$  is quadratic.

(When  $V$  is unitary, the analogous conjecture was formulated in the pending PhD thesis of Neal Harris at UCSD).

For each  $v$ , they defined a natural linear functional

$$\mathcal{P}_v : \pi_v \otimes \overline{\pi}_v \otimes \sigma_v \otimes \overline{\sigma}_v \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

using "integration of matrix coefficients". It was shown by Waldspurger that (for tempered reps)

$$\mathcal{P}_v \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{G(W_v) \times G(W_v)}(\pi_v \otimes \sigma_v, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0.$$

So

$$\prod_v \diamond_v \in \text{Hom}_{G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F) \times G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)}(\Pi \otimes \Sigma, \mathbb{C})$$

and is nonzero if and only if RHS is nonzero.

# Ikeda-Ichino Conjecture

On the other hand, the global period integral  $\mathcal{P}$  defines an element (possibly zero)

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}} \in \text{Hom}_{G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F) \times G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)}(\Pi \otimes \overline{\Pi} \otimes \Sigma \otimes \overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}).$$

# Ikeda-Ichino Conjecture

On the other hand, the global period integral  $\mathcal{P}$  defines an element (possibly zero)

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}} \in \text{Hom}_{G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F) \times G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)}(\Pi \otimes \overline{\Pi} \otimes \Sigma \otimes \overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since the Hom space above is at most 1-dimensional,  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\prod_v \mathcal{P}_v$  must be scalar multiples of each other.

# Ikeda-Ichino Conjecture

On the other hand, the global period integral  $\mathcal{P}$  defines an element (possibly zero)

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}} \in \text{Hom}_{G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F) \times G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)}(\Pi \otimes \overline{\Pi} \otimes \Sigma \otimes \overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since the Hom space above is at most 1-dimensional,  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\prod_v \mathcal{P}_v$  must be scalar multiples of each other.

## Conjecture

*One has*

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}} = 2^\beta \cdot \Delta(G(V)) \cdot \frac{L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma)}{L(1, \Pi, \text{Ad}) \cdot L(1, \Sigma, \text{Ad})} \cdot \prod_v \mathcal{P}_v,$$

*where  $\beta$  is an explicit constant, and  $\Delta(G(V))$  is a product of zeta values depending only on  $V$ .*

# Ikeda-Ichino Conjecture

On the other hand, the global period integral  $\mathcal{P}$  defines an element (possibly zero)

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}} \in \text{Hom}_{G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F) \times G(W)(\mathbb{A}_F)}(\Pi \otimes \overline{\Pi} \otimes \Sigma \otimes \overline{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since the Hom space above is at most 1-dimensional,  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\prod_v \mathcal{P}_v$  must be scalar multiples of each other.

## Conjecture

*One has*

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{P}} = 2^\beta \cdot \Delta(G(V)) \cdot \frac{L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma)}{L(1, \Pi, \text{Ad}) \cdot L(1, \Sigma, \text{Ad})} \cdot \prod_v \mathcal{P}_v,$$

*where  $\beta$  is an explicit constant, and  $\Delta(G(V))$  is a product of zeta values depending only on  $V$ .*

Observe that this implies the global GP conjecture.

- $SO(3) \times SO(2)$  and  $U(2) \times U(1)$ : Waldspurger

# Results

- $SO(3) \times SO(2)$  and  $U(2) \times U(1)$ : Waldspurger
- $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ : Harris-Kudla (unrefined) and Ichino (refined)

# Results

- $SO(3) \times SO(2)$  and  $U(2) \times U(1)$ : Waldspurger
- $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ : Harris-Kudla (unrefined) and Ichino (refined)
- $SO(5) \times SO(4)$  (certain cases) : Gan-Ichino (refined)

- $SO(3) \times SO(2)$  and  $U(2) \times U(1)$ : Waldspurger
- $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ : Harris-Kudla (unrefined) and Ichino (refined)
- $SO(5) \times SO(4)$  (certain cases) : Gan-Ichino (refined)
- $U(3) \times U(2)$ : pending thesis of Neal Harris at UCSD, and pending work of Wei Zhang.

- $SO(3) \times SO(2)$  and  $U(2) \times U(1)$ : Waldspurger
- $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ : Harris-Kudla (unrefined) and Ichino (refined)
- $SO(5) \times SO(4)$  (certain cases) : Gan-Ichino (refined)
- $U(3) \times U(2)$ : pending thesis of Neal Harris at UCSD, and pending work of Wei Zhang.

For the refined global conjecture for  $U(n) \times U(n - 1)$ , Jacquet and Rallis have pioneered a approach via a **relative trace formula**. But a lot of work remains to be done.

# Derivatives

If  $L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma) = 0$ , then the value of  $L'(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma)$  is interesting.

If  $L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma) = 0$ , then the value of  $L'(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma)$  is interesting.

## Conjecture

*Assume that  $\epsilon(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma) = -1$ , so that  $L(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma) = 0$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- $L'(1/2, \Pi \times \Sigma) \neq 0$ ;
- $\Pi_f \times \Sigma_f$  occurs in a certain Chow group of a Shimura variety associated to  $V \times W$ , and the natural height pairing is nonzero when restricted to  $\Pi_f \otimes \Sigma_f$ .

This is a generalization of the Gross-Zagier formula (as extended by Yuan-Zhang-Zhang).

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!