

HAAR MEASURE AND THE ARTIN CONDUCTOR

BENEDICT H. GROSS AND WEE TECK GAN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected reductive group, defined over a local, non-archimedean field k . The group $G(k)$ is locally compact and unimodular. In [Gr], a Haar measure $|\omega_G|$ was defined on $G(k)$, using the theory of Bruhat and Tits. In this note, we give another construction of the measure $|\omega_G|$, using the Artin conductor of the motive M of G over k . The equivalence of the two constructions is deduced from a result of Prasad [P].

1. THE ROOT DATUM AND MOTIVE OF G

In this section, k is an arbitrary field and G is a connected reductive group over k . We let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k , k_s the separable closure of k in \bar{k} , and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$.

Let $T \subset B \subset G$ be a maximal torus, contained in a Borel subgroup, defined over k_s . Let $\Psi = \Psi(G, B, T)$ be the based root datum defined by this choice. We recall (cf [Sp], pg3-12) that:

$$\Psi = (X^\bullet(T), \Delta^\bullet(T, B), X_\bullet(T), \Delta_\bullet(T, B)), \quad (1.1)$$

with $X^\bullet(T)$ and $X_\bullet(T)$ the character and cocharacter groups of T respectively, and Δ^\bullet and Δ_\bullet the simple roots and coroots determined by B respectively. Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of Ψ . The finite group W acts as automorphisms of $X^\bullet(T)$, and is generated by the reflections:

$$s_\alpha(x) = x - \langle x, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha \quad (1.2)$$

for $\alpha \in \Delta^\bullet$.

The Galois group Γ acts as automorphisms of Ψ , ie as automorphisms of the group $X^\bullet(T)$ preserving the finite set Δ^\bullet , as follows. If $\sigma \in \Gamma$, then we can find $g \in G(k_s)$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(g)(\sigma T) &= g\sigma(T)g^{-1} = T, \\ \text{and } \text{Int}(g)(\sigma B) &= g\sigma(B)g^{-1} = B, \end{aligned}$$

with g well-defined up to left multiplication by $T(k_s)$. Hence it induces a well-defined automorphism

$$\psi(\sigma) : X^\bullet(T) \longrightarrow X^\bullet(T)$$

Date: 14th January 1997.

preserving Δ^\bullet . Hence we get a group homomorphism $\psi : \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\Psi)$. Via ψ , Γ acts on $\text{Aut}(\Psi)$ by inner automorphisms.

Similarly, if $f : G \longrightarrow G$ is any automorphism of G over k_s , it induces an automorphism $\psi(f)$ of Ψ , which depends only on the image of f in the quotient group $\text{Out}_{k_s}(G)$ of outer automorphisms. The resulting map $\text{Out}_{k_s}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\Psi)$ is an isomorphism which respects the respective Galois actions on the two groups (cf [Sp], pg10).

The Galois group Γ also acts on W , via the formula:

$$\sigma(s_\alpha) = s_{\sigma(\alpha)} \quad (1.3)$$

and the semi-direct product $W \rtimes \Gamma$ acts on the rational vector space

$$E = X^\bullet(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad (1.4)$$

Let $R = \text{Sym}^\bullet(E)^W$, which is a graded $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma]$ -module. Let R_+ be the ideal of elements of positive degree in R , and define:

$$V = R_+/R_+^2 = \bigoplus_{d \geq 1} V_d \quad (1.5)$$

This is a graded $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma]$ -module, and Chevalley proved that $\dim(V) = \dim(E)$ (cf [Ch]). Steinberg extended the proof to show that E and V are isomorphic Γ -modules (cf [St], pg22). We sketch a proof of this result that does not involve the classification of irreducible root systems.

Proposition 1.6. *The $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma]$ -modules E and V are isomorphic.*

Proof. By the criterion in [Se, pg 104], it suffices to show that for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$, the fixed spaces E^σ and V^σ have the same dimension.

For any graded Γ -module $A = \bigoplus A_m$, we define the Poincare series of σ by:

$$P(A, \sigma)(t) = \sum \text{tr}(\sigma|A_m)t^m.$$

Then $P(A \otimes B) = P(A)P(B)$. Steinberg showed that there is an isomorphism of graded Γ -modules:

$$S^\bullet(E) \cong S^\bullet(\bigoplus V_d) \otimes A$$

Here A is finite dimensional, with basis $\{b_w\}_{w \in W}$, and Γ -action given by:

$$\sigma(b_w) = b_{\sigma(w)}$$

The degree of b_w is the length $l(w)$ of w , with respect to the generators s_α furnished by Δ^\bullet . This isomorphism yields the following identity of Poincare series:

$$\det(1 - \sigma t|E)^{-1} = \prod_{d \geq 1} \det(1 - \sigma t^d|V_d)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{w \in W^\sigma} t^{l(w)}$$

In particular, the quotient:

$$\frac{\prod_{d \geq 1} \det(1 - \sigma t^d|V_d)}{\det(1 - \sigma t|E)}$$

is a polynomial $P(t)$, with $P(1) \neq 0$. Hence $\dim(V^\sigma) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \dim(V_d^\sigma) = \dim(E^\sigma)$, as required. \square

As in [Gr], we define the **motive** M of G as the Artin-Tate motive

$$M = \bigoplus_{d \geq 1} V_d(1-d) \quad (1.7)$$

over k . This depends only on the isogeny class of the quasi-split inner form G_{qs} of G over k . Indeed, if T_{qs} is a maximal torus contained in a Borel subgroup $B_{qs} \subset G_{qs}$ over k , then,

$$E \cong X^\bullet(T_{qs}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad (1.8)$$

as a $W \rtimes \Gamma$ -module (cf [Sp], pg12).

We also define the invariant

$$d(G) \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}^\times) = H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}^\times) \quad (1.9)$$

as the character of Γ on $\wedge^{\text{top}} X^\bullet(T)$, or equivalently as the representation $\det(E)$ of Γ . This is analogous to, but simpler than Kottwitz's invariant $e(G) \in H^2(\Gamma, \mu_2)$ (cf [K]).

The canonical ring homomorphism $ch : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow k$ induces a map $\mathbb{Z}^\times \rightarrow \mu_2(k)$. We let

$$\delta(G) \in H^1(\Gamma, \mu_2) = k^\times / k^{\times 2} \quad (1.10)$$

be the image of the invariant $d(G)$. This is trivial when $\text{char}(k) = 2$, and can be computed in general as follows. Let K be the étale k -algebra of dimension 2 corresponding to $d(G)$. Write $K = k + k\alpha$, and suppose α satisfies the non-zero quadratic polynomial $a\alpha^2 + b\alpha + c = 0$ over k . Then $\delta(G) \equiv b^2 - 4ac \pmod{k^{\times 2}}$.

2. AUTOMORPHISMS OF G

Let f be an automorphism of G over k_s . Let $\psi(f)$ be the corresponding automorphism of the based root datum Ψ , and let $\text{Lie}(f)$ be the corresponding automorphism of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over k_s . The former depends only on the image of f in $\text{Out}_{k_s}(G)$; similarly we have the following:

Lemma 2.1. *The automorphism $\wedge^{\text{top}} \text{Lie}(f)$ of $\wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{g}$ depends only on the image of f in $\text{Out}(G)$.*

Proof. The action of inner automorphisms on $\wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{g}$ gives a homomorphism $G^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ of algebraic groups over k . This is trivial as G^{ad} is connected with trivial center. \square

Proposition 2.2.

$$ch(\det(\psi(f))) = \det(\text{Lie}(f)) \in ch(\mathbb{Z}^\times) = \mu_2(k)$$

Proof. Let $\{T, B, X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta^\bullet\}$ be a pinning of G over k_s , where X_α is a basis of the one-dimensional root space \mathfrak{g}_α . By the previous lemma, we may assume that the automorphism f preserves the pinning (cf [Sp], pg10). Then $Lie(f)$ preserves a Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{g} over k_s (cf [B-T] pg 53-54).

Let \mathfrak{t} be the Lie algebra of T , and \mathfrak{n}^\pm the nilpotent Lie algebra spanned by the positive and negative roots with respect to B . Then $Lie(f)$ preserves the triangular decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$$

Furthermore,

$$\det(Lie(f)|\mathfrak{t}) = ch(\det(\psi(f)))$$

as $\mathfrak{t} = X_\bullet(T) \otimes k$. Since the permutation induced by $Lie(f)$ on the positive elements of the Chevalley basis is the same as that on the negative elements, we have:

$$\det(Lie(f)|\mathfrak{n}^+) \cdot \det(Lie(f)|\mathfrak{n}^-) = 1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Recall that the invariant differential forms of top degree on G over an extension L of k form a one-dimensional L -vector space, which is the dual of $\wedge^{top} \mathfrak{g}_L$. We will refer to an element of this space as an **invariant differential** on G .

Corollary 2.3. *If ω is an invariant differential on G over k_s , and f is any automorphism of G over k_s , then $f^*(\omega) = ch(\det(\psi(f)))\omega$.*

3. THE SPLIT GROUP

Let G_0 be a split group over k , whose root datum is isomorphic to Ψ . Such a group exists by [B-T], and we may choose an isomorphism

$$\varphi : G \longrightarrow G_0 \tag{3.1}$$

defined over k_s .

For each $\sigma \in \Gamma$, the element

$$f(\sigma) = \varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma(\varphi) \tag{3.2}$$

defines an automorphism of G over k_s . The map $f : \Gamma \longrightarrow Aut_{k_s}(G)$ is a 1-cocycle, whose class in $H^1(\Gamma, Aut_{k_s}(G))$ is independent of the choice of φ . The map $\sigma \mapsto \psi(f(\sigma))$ is then a 1-cocycle with values in $Aut(\Psi)$. Composing this with:

$$\det : Aut(\Psi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\times \tag{3.3}$$

we get a group homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\times \\ \sigma &\mapsto \det(\psi(f(\sigma))) \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.5.

$$\det(\psi(f(\sigma))) = d(G)(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$$

Proof. By (1.8) and Lemma 2.1, it suffices to prove this for G quasi-split over k . Hence we can assume that T and B are defined over k . Let $T_0 \subset B_0$ be a maximal torus of G_0 contained in a Borel subgroup, with T_0 and B_0 defined over k . Twisting by an inner automorphism of G_0 if necessary, we can suppose that the isomorphism φ in (3.1) maps T and B to T_0 and B_0 respectively. Then using φ , we can identify $G(k_s)$, $T(k_s)$ and $B(k_s)$ with $G_0(k_s)$, $T_0(k_s)$ and $B_0(k_s)$ respectively. Now suppose that $G(k)$ is the fixed-point set of the Γ -action $g \mapsto \sigma(g)$ on $G(k_s) = G_0(k_s)$. Then $G_0(k_s)$ is the fixed-point set of the Γ -action $g \mapsto f(\sigma)(\sigma(g)) = \rho(\sigma)(g)$. Now the action of $\psi(\rho(\sigma))$ on $X^\bullet(T) = X^\bullet(T_0)$ is trivial, since G_0 is split. Hence, for any $\chi \in X^\bullet(T)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f(\sigma))\chi &= \psi(\sigma)^{-1}\psi(\sigma)\psi(f(\sigma))\chi \\ &= \psi(\sigma)^{-1}\psi(\rho(\sigma))\chi \\ &= \psi(\sigma)^{-1}\chi \end{aligned}$$

Hence the action of $\psi(f(\sigma))$ on $X^\bullet(T)$ is the same as that of $\psi(\sigma)^{-1}$. This implies the result. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let ω_0 be an invariant differential on G_0 over k , and let $\omega = \varphi^*(\omega_0)$ on G over k_s . Then for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$,*

$$\sigma(\omega) = \delta(G)(\sigma) \cdot \omega$$

where $\delta(G)$ is the character of Γ with values in $\mu_2(k)$ defined by (1.10).

Proof. We have $\sigma(\omega) = ch(\det(\psi(f(\sigma))))\omega$ by Corollary 2.3. By the previous lemma,

$$\det(\psi(f(\sigma))) = d(G)(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$$

So we have:

$$ch(\det\psi(f(\sigma))) = \delta(G)(\sigma) \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$$

\square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $D \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ represent the class of $\delta(G)$. Then ω/\sqrt{D} is an invariant differential on G over k .*

Proof. Indeed, $\sigma(\sqrt{D}) = \delta(G)(\sigma)\sqrt{D}$, so the differential ω/\sqrt{D} is fixed by Γ . Note that when $\text{char}(k) = 2$, D is in $k^{\times 2}$ and so $\sqrt{D} \in k^\times$. \square

4. THE ARTIN CONDUCTOR OF M

We now assume that k is a local, non-archimedean field, with ring of integers A and uniformizer π . We let $q = \#(A/\pi A)$, and normalize the valuation on k^\times so that $v(\pi) = 1$, and the absolute value so that $|\alpha| = q^{-v(\alpha)}$. We adopt the convention that $|0| = 0$.

Let V be a continuous finite dimensional complex representation of Γ . We define the **Artin conductor** $a(V) \geq 0$ in \mathbb{Z} as follows. Let L be the fixed field of the kernel of the map $\Gamma \rightarrow GL(V)$; let $\Delta = Gal(L/k)$, which is a finite group, and let

$$\Delta \supset \Delta_0 \supset \Delta_1 \supset \dots$$

be the decreasing ramification filtration of Δ . Then $\Delta_0 = I$ is the inertia subgroup and Δ_1 the wild inertia subgroup. Let $g_i = \#\Delta_i$. Then [Se3, pg 99-101],

$$a(V) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{g_i}{g_0} \dim(V/V^{\Delta_i}) \quad (4.1)$$

We have $a(V) = \dim(V/V^I) + b(V)$, where $b(V)$ is a measure of the wild ramification of V .

If V is a quadratic character $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\times$, we can refine the integer $a(V)$ slightly, as follows. Let K be the étale k -algebra of dimension 2 corresponding to χ , and let $A_K \subset K$ be the subring of elements integral over A . Then A_K is a free A -module of rank 2. Writing $A_K = A + A\alpha$, we may define $D = D(\alpha) = Tr(\alpha)^2 - 4N(\alpha)$ in A . Then D is non-zero, and [M-H]

$$a(V) = a(\chi) = v(D) \quad (4.2)$$

If $A_K = A + A\alpha'$, then $D' \equiv D \pmod{A^{\times 2}}$. Hence we get a class D_V in $A/A^{\times 2}$ of valuation $a(V)$; this is the desired refinement.

We define the **conductor** of the motive $M = \bigoplus_{d \geq 1} V_d(1-d)$ of G by the formula:

$$a(M) = \sum_{d \geq 1} (2d-1)a(V_d) \quad (4.3)$$

Then $a(M) \geq 0$, with equality if $M = M^I$ is unramified.

Proposition 4.4. *The conductor $a(M)$ of M and the conductor $a(\det E)$ of the quadratic character $\det(E) = d(G) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\times$ satisfy:*

$$a(M) \equiv a(\det E) \pmod{2}$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$a(M) \equiv \sum_{d \geq 1} a(V_d) = a(V) \pmod{2}$$

By Proposition 1.6, $V \cong E$ as $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma]$ -modules, so $a(V) = a(E)$. Finally, since E is defined over \mathbb{R} , a result of Serre [Se2, pg173] gives the congruence:

$$a(E) \equiv a(\det E) \pmod{2}$$

□

This result allows us to refine the conductor $a(M)$ as in (4.2). Since $\det E$ is a quadratic character, there is a class D in $A/A^{\times 2}$ with

$$v(D) = a(\det E)$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\sigma(\sqrt{D}) = \delta(G)(\sigma) \cdot \sqrt{D}$$

for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$, where $\delta(G) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mu_2(k)$. We define the refinement:

$$D_M = D\pi^{a(M)-a(\det E)} \in A/A^{\times 2} \quad (4.5)$$

Corollary 4.6. *The class D_M in $A/A^{\times 2}$ satisfies:*

$$v(D_M) = a(M), \text{ the Artin conductor of } M, \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma(\sqrt{D_M}) = \delta(G)(\sigma) \cdot \sqrt{D_M}$$

for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$.

5. THE HAAR MEASURE $|\omega_G|$

We continue to assume that k is local and non-archimedean. Let G_0 be the split form of G over k , and let \underline{G}_0 be a Chevalley model for G_0 over A . Let ω_0 be an invariant differential on \underline{G}_0 over A with non-zero reduction ($\text{mod } \pi$). Then ω_0 is determined up to multiplication by an element of A^\times .

Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow G_0$ be an isomorphism over k_s , and define:

$$\omega = \varphi^*(\omega_0) \quad (5.1)$$

on G over k_s . By the above remarks, and Corollary 2.3, ω is determined up to multiplication by A^\times , independent of the choice of φ .

Let D_M in $A/A^{\times 2}$ be defined by (4.5). By Proposition 3.6, and Corollaries 3.7 and 4.6, the invariant differential

$$\omega_G = \omega / \sqrt{D_M} = \varphi^*(\omega_0) / \sqrt{D_M} \quad (5.2)$$

on G is defined over k , and is well-determined up to multiplication by an element of A^\times .

Since $|\alpha| = 1$ for all $\alpha \in A^\times$, the Haar measure

$$|\omega_G| \text{ on } G(k) \quad (5.3)$$

is well-defined, independent of the choices of ω_0 and φ . This completes the definition of $|\omega_G|$.

6. PROPERTIES OF $|\omega_G|$

We have the following properties of the Haar measure $|\omega_G|$ on $G(k)$, when we vary the group G or the local field k .

Proposition 6.1. *1) If $G = G_1 \times G_2$, then $|\omega_G| = |\omega_{G_1}| \otimes |\omega_{G_2}|$ on $G(k) = G_1(k) \times G_2(k)$.*

2) If $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ is an inner twisting, defined over k_s , then $\varphi^|\omega_{G'}| = |\omega_G|$ on $G(k)$.*

3) If $f : G \longrightarrow G'$ is a central isogeny, defined over k , and N_f is the rank of the finite flat group scheme $\ker f$, then

$$f^*|\omega_{G'}| = |N_f| \cdot |\omega_G| \text{ on } G(k).$$

4) If K is a finite separable extension of k , G_K is a connected reductive group over K , and $G = \text{Res}_{K/k}(G_K)$ is the restriction of scalars to k , then $|\omega_{G_K}|_K = |\omega_G|$ on $G_K(K) = G(k)$.

Remarks: In part (2), the pull-back φ^* on Haar measures is defined in [L, pg69]. In part (3), the groups $G(k)$ and $G(k')$ are locally isomorphic provided N_f is invertible in k . If $N_f = 0$ in k , we define $f^*|\omega_{G'}|$ to be zero, so that (3) holds trivially.

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) are simple consequences of the definitions, as $M_G = M_{G_1} \oplus M_{G_2}$ in (1) and $M_G = M_{G'}$ in (2).

For part (3), the equality of motives allows one to reduce to the case when G and G' are split over k . Let $T \subset B \subset G$ be chosen over k , and let $T' = f(T) \subset B' = f(B)$ in G' . The central isogeny f then induces an injection:

$$X_\bullet(T) \longrightarrow X_\bullet(T')$$

which maps Δ_\bullet to Δ'_\bullet and has cokernel of order N_f .

By [Sp, pg7], we can define the groups G and G' , as well as the central isogeny f over \mathbb{Z} : $f_{\mathbb{Z}} : G_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow G'_{\mathbb{Z}}$ from the isogeny of the root data. Then $\text{Lie}(f_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is an isomorphism on the non-zero root spaces, and induces an injection $\text{Lie}(T_{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow \text{Lie}(T'_{\mathbb{Z}})$ with kernel of order N_f . If ω_G and $\omega_{G'}$ are bases for the invariant differential over \mathbb{Z} , we then have:

$$f_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\omega_{G'}) = \pm N_f \cdot \omega_G$$

The result then follows by specializing to k .

For part (4), we have:

$$M_G = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_K}^{\Gamma}(M_{G_K})$$

where Γ_K is the subgroup of Γ fixing K . Let $\varepsilon_{K/k}$ be the sign character of the permutation representation of Γ on $\Gamma/\Gamma_K = \text{Hom}(K, k_s)$; let $D_{K/k} \in A/A^{\times 2}$ be associated to the quadratic character $\varepsilon_{K/k}$, and let $f_{K/k}$ be the degree of the residue class extension in K/k .

If ω_K is an invariant differential on G_K over K , then the exterior product:

$$\omega = \frac{\bigwedge_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_K} \omega_K^{\sigma}}{(\sqrt{D_{K/k}})^{\dim(G_K)}} \quad (6.2)$$

is an invariant differential on G defined over k . Note that

$$G(k_s) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_K} G_K^{\sigma}(k_s).$$

Now, suppose $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g}_K , the Lie algebra of G_K , such that $\omega_K(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n) = 1$. Let $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r\}$ be a basis of the free A -module A_K , the ring of integers of K . Then $\{\theta_i X_j : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} , the Lie algebra of G , and by a direct computation, one sees that:

$$\bigwedge_{\sigma} \omega_K^{\sigma} \left(\bigwedge_{i,j} \theta_i X_j \right) = D_{K/k}^{\frac{\dim(G_K)}{2}}$$

Hence, $|\omega_K|_K = |\omega|$ as Haar measures on $G_K(K) = G(k)$. This is compatible with scaling ω_K by $\beta \in K^{\times}$, as $|\beta|_K = |\mathbb{N}_{K/k}(\beta)|$.

Now write $M_K = \oplus U_d(1-d)$ and $M = \oplus V_d(1-d)$, with $V_d = \text{Ind}(U_d)$. By [Se3, pg101],

$$a(V_d) = f_{K/k} \cdot a(U_d) + \dim U_d \cdot a(\varepsilon_{K/k}) \quad (6.3)$$

Since $\sum (2d-1) \dim U_d = \dim(G_K)$, we have:

$$a(M) = f_{K/k} \cdot a(M_K) + \dim(G_K) \cdot a(\varepsilon_{K/k}) \quad (6.4)$$

The corresponding result for the refinements D_{M_K} of $a(M_K)$ in $A_K/A_K^{\times 2}$ and D_M of $a(M)$ in $A/A^{\times 2}$ is then:

$$D_M \equiv \mathbb{N}_{K/k}(D_{M_K}) \cdot D_{K/k}^{\dim(G_K)} \quad (6.5)$$

Now if $G_{0,K}$ is a split form of G_K , $\varphi_K : G_K \rightarrow G_{0,K}$ an isomorphism over k_s , and $\omega_{0,K}$ an invariant differential on $G_{0,K}$ with good reduction, then by definition:

$$\omega_{G_K} = \frac{\varphi_K^*(\omega_{0,K})}{\sqrt{D_{M_K}}}$$

As observed above, the form on G over k which gives the same Haar measure on $G(k) = G_K(K)$ as ω_{G_K} is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{\bigwedge \varphi_K^*(\omega_{0,K})^{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{N}_{K/k}(D_{M_K}) \cdot D_{K/k}^{\dim(G_K)}}} \\ &= \frac{\varphi^*(\omega_0)}{\sqrt{D_M}} \\ &= \omega_G \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

7. COMPARISON WITH BRUHAT-TITS THEORY

First, we assume that G is quasi-split over k . In [Gr, §4], a Haar measure $|\omega'_G|$ was defined on $G(k)$. The definition used the theory of special points in the building of G , and models over A . If G is split, then $|\omega'_G| = |\omega_G|$ by definition. It seems likely that this is true in general. The key case, when G is absolutely quasi-simple and simply connected, was treated by Prasad [P]. We deduce what we can from his results here.

Since the Haar measure $|\omega'_G|$ is also defined using an invariant differential ω'_G on G over k , we have:

$$|\omega'_G| = \lambda_G |\omega_G| \quad (7.1)$$

with λ_G in the subgroup $q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathbb{R}_+^\times .

Proposition 7.2. *We have $\lambda_G = 1$ if G is unramified over k . Furthermore,*

- 1) $\lambda_{G_1 \times G_2} = \lambda_{G_1} \lambda_{G_2}$.
- 2) $\lambda_G = \lambda_{G'}$ if G and G' are separably isogeneous over k .
- 3) $\lambda_{G_K} = \lambda_G$ if $G = \text{Res}_{K/k}(G_K)$.

Proof. If G is unramified, $a(M) = 0$, and D_M is in $A^\times/A^{\times 2}$. Also, ω'_G is defined using a hyperspecial point in the building of G , which is a special vertex in the building over the maximal unramified extension in k_s . Hence $\omega_G = \varphi^*(\omega_0)/\sqrt{D_M}$ is a unit multiple of ω'_G , and $\lambda_G = 1$.

Properties (1) – (4) of Proposition 6.1 hold for $|\omega'_G|$, which implies properties (1) – (3) in the Proposition. \square

Corollary 7.3. *If $\text{char}(k) = 0$, then $|\omega_G| = |\omega'_G|$.*

If $\text{char}(k) = p$, then $|\omega_G| = |\omega'_G|$ if G is a torus with Galois splitting field of degree prime to p , or if G is semi-simple with fundamental group of order prime to p .

Proof. If the characteristic of k is zero, any central isogeny is separable. By Proposition 7.2, it suffices to prove the equality $|\omega_G| = |\omega'_G|$ for G semi-simple, simply-connected, and for G a torus. Indeed, G is isogeneous to the product of the simply-connected cover of its derived group, and its connected center.

If G is semi-simple and simply-connected, then G is isomorphic to a product $\prod \text{Res}_{K_i/k}(G_i)$, with each G_i absolutely quasi-simple over K_i . Again by Proposition 7.2, it suffices to prove the equality for G absolutely quasi-simple. This is the content of Theorem 1.6 of Prasad [P].

If G is a torus, there is an integer n such that $G^n \times \prod \text{Res}_{K_i/k} \mathbb{G}_m$ is isogeneous to $\prod \text{Res}_{K_j/k} \mathbb{G}_m$ by a Theorem of Ono [O, Thm 1.5.1, pg 114]. Since the result is true for \mathbb{G}_m , it is true for G^n . So $\lambda_G^n = 1$; since λ is positive, we also have: $\lambda_G = 1$.

If the characteristic of k is p , and G is a torus with Galois splitting field of degree prime to p , then the same Theorem of Ono alluded to above says that the isogeny from $G^n \times \prod \text{Res}_{K_i/k} \mathbb{G}_m$ to $\prod \text{Res}_{K_j/k} \mathbb{G}_m$ can be chosen to be separable. Hence the same argument as above works to give the result.

If G is semi-simple with fundamental group of order prime to p , the isogeny $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ from the simply-connected cover is separable. So it suffices to check the result for \tilde{G} . By the above argument, we may assume that $G = \tilde{G}$ is absolutely quasi-simple, where the result follows from Prasad [P]. \square

Now if G is not necessarily quasi-split, choose an inner twisting $\varphi : G \longrightarrow G_{qs}$, where G_{qs} is the quasi-split inner form of G . In [Gr], the measure $|\omega'_G|$ on $G(k)$ was defined to be $\varphi^*|\omega'_{G_{qs}}|$. Then we have:

Corollary 7.4. *If $\text{char}(k) = 0$, then $|\omega_G| = |\omega'_G|$. Furthermore, let $J \subset G(k)$ be an Iwahori subgroup. Then,*

$$\int_J |\omega_G| = q^{-N} \cdot \det(1 - Fw_G|E(1)^I)$$

with $N = \sum (d-1)\dim V_d^I$, F the geometric Frobenius in Γ/I with eigenvalue q^{-1} on $\mathbb{Q}(1)$, and w_G the element of the Weyl group W^I associated to the inner twisting $\varphi : G \longrightarrow G_{qs}$ over the maximal unramified extension of k .

Proof. This was established for $|\omega'_G|$ in [Gr, §4]. Note that if $G = G_{qs}$ is quasi-split, then $w_G = 1$. \square

8. THE SPACE OF HAAR MEASURES

Let P_G be the one-dimensional real vector space of invariant measures on $G(k)$, and let P_G^+ be the cone of positive Haar measures in P_G . We define, from $|\omega_G|$, the following element of P_G^+ :

$$\mu_G = |\omega_G| \cdot q^{-a(M)/2} \tag{8.1}$$

Let $\varphi : G \longrightarrow G'$ be an isomorphism over k_s . We define an \mathbb{R} -linear map:

$$\varphi^* : P_{G'} \longrightarrow P_G \tag{8.2}$$

as follows. Let μ' be an element of $P_{G'}$, and write $\mu' = c|\omega'|$, for some invariant differential ω' on G' over k , and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $d \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ be the class of the map:

$$\delta(G) \cdot \delta(G') : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mu_2(k)$$

It follows from Proposition 3.6 that the differential

$$\omega = \varphi^*(\omega')/\sqrt{d}$$

on G is defined over k . We then define:

$$\varphi^*(\mu') = c|\omega| \cdot |d|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in P_G \tag{8.3}$$

This is independent of the choice of ω' and d , and we have the following result.

Proposition 8.4. *The map $\varphi^* : P_{G'} \longrightarrow P_G$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism, which maps $P_{G'}^+$ to P_G^+ . Furthermore, $\varphi^*(\mu_{G'}) = \mu_G$.*

The isomorphism $P_{G'} \cong P_G$ is independent of the choice of the isomorphism $\varphi : G \longrightarrow G'$ over k_s .

Proof. All the statements will follow once we show that $\varphi^*(\mu_{G'}) = \mu_G$. This identity follows from a comparison of G and G' with the split group G_0 over k_s . Indeed, $\mu_{G_0} = |\omega_0|$, and for $\varphi : G \rightarrow G_0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_G &= |\omega_G| q^{-a(M)/2} \\ &= |\varphi^*(\omega_0)/\sqrt{D_{M_G}}| \cdot |D_{M_G}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \varphi^*(\mu_{G_0}). \end{aligned}$$

□

9. GLOBAL MEASURE

In this section, we assume that k is a global field. Let ω be a non-zero invariant differential on G over k , and let $|\omega|_v$ be the associated Haar measure on $G(k_v)$, for each place v . We define the **global conductor** $f(M)$ of the motive M of G by the formula:

$$f(M) = \prod_{v \text{ finite}} q_v^{a(M/k_v)} \quad (9.1)$$

This product is finite because for almost all v , G is unramified over k_v and $a(M/k_v) = 0$. The conductor $f(M)$ is an integer ≥ 1 . If G is an inner form of a split group over k , then $f(M) = 1$.

If v is finite, let $|\omega_{G_v}|$ be the Haar measure on $G(k_v)$ defined in §5. If v is archimedean, let $|\omega_{G_v}|$ be the measure on $G(k_v)$ defined in [Gr, §11]. In the archimedean case, we can also define $|\omega_{G_v}|$ as follows. Let G_0 be the split form of G over k_v , and $G_{0,\mathbb{Z}}$ the Chevalley model for G_0 over \mathbb{Z} . Let ω_0 be an invariant differential on G_0 which generates the free \mathbb{Z} -module $\text{Hom}(\wedge^{\text{top}} \text{Lie}(G_{0,\mathbb{Z}}), \mathbb{Z})$. Then ω_0 is determined up to sign. If $\varphi : G \rightarrow G_0$ is an isomorphism over k_s , and K (respectively K_0) is the maximal compact subgroup of G (respectively G_0), then,

$$\omega_{G_v} = \frac{\varphi^*(\omega_0)}{i^{\dim(G/K) - \dim(G_0/K_0)}} \quad (9.2)$$

is defined on G over k_v , and is determined up to sign. The Haar measure $|\omega_{G_v}|$ is thus well-defined.

Proposition 9.3. *We have $|\omega|_v = |\omega_{G_v}|$ for almost all v , and the following product formula holds:*

$$\prod_v \frac{|\omega_{G_v}|}{|\omega|_v} = f(M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^\times.$$

Proof. For almost all v , G is unramified over k_v , and ω generates the A_v -module of invariant differentials on the reductive model \underline{G} over A_v . At these places, $|\omega|_v = |\omega_{G_v}|$.

For v finite, let $\mu_{G_v} = |\omega_{G_v}|q^{-a(M/k_v)/2}$ as in (8.1). For v archimedean, let $\mu_{G_v} = |\omega_{G_v}|$. Then the product formula is equivalent to the statement:

$$\prod_v \frac{\mu_{G_v}}{|\omega|_v} = 1 \quad (9.4)$$

This is independent of the choice of $\omega \neq 0$, by the usual product formula: $\prod_v |\alpha|_v = 1$, for $\alpha \in k^\times$.

We first prove (9.4) for $G = G_0$ split over k . In this case, we take ω_0 to generate the Chevalley differentials over \mathbb{Z} ; then ω_0 is determined up to sign, and $|\omega_0|_v = |\omega_{G_v}| = \mu_{G_v}$ for all v . Hence (9.4) holds, because all the terms are 1.

Now let G be arbitrary, and choose an isomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow G_0$ with the split form over k_s . Let $d \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ be in the class of $\delta(G)$, let ω_0 be as above, and let $\omega = \varphi^*(\omega_0)/\sqrt{d}$ over k . Then we have, for all v :

$$\frac{\mu_{G_v}}{|\omega|_v} = |d|_v^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mu_{(G_0)_v}}{|\omega_0|_v} = |d|_v^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Since $\prod_v |d|_v^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$, the Proposition is proved. \square

Remarks: This gives a proof of Theorem 11.5 in [Gr, §11], when k is a number field. Indeed, we have shown in §7 that $|\omega_G| = |\omega'_G|$, where $|\omega'_G|$ is the Haar measure defined in [Gr]. Also the constant $\varepsilon(M)$ in the functional equation of the L -function of M is given by the formula:

$$\varepsilon(M) = |d_k|^{\frac{\dim(G)}{2}} f(M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (9.5)$$

where d_k is the discriminant of k over \mathbb{Q} . It also gives a proof of theorem 11.5 when k is a function field, assuming that G has finite fundamental group of order prime to $\text{char}(k)$, and putting $|d_k| = q^{2g-2}$ as in [P].

10. MASS FORMULAE

We can use Proposition 9.3 to derive a number of explicit mass formulae. Let k be a totally real number field, and let G be a connected, reductive group over k , with $G(k \otimes \mathbb{R}) = \prod_{v|\infty} G(k_v)$ compact. Recall that $M = \bigoplus_{d \geq 1} V_d(1-d)$, and let:

$$\Lambda(M, s) = \prod_v L_v(M, s) = \prod_{d \geq 1} \Lambda(V_d, s+1-d)$$

be the global L -function of the motive M , so that:

$$\Lambda(M, s) = L_\infty(M, s)L(M, s) \quad (10.1)$$

where $L(M, s)$ is the usual Artin L -function of M . We have Artin's functional equation [T pg 18-19]:

$$\Lambda(M, s) = \varepsilon(M, s)\Lambda(M^\vee, 1-s) \quad (10.2)$$

with:

$$\varepsilon(M, s) = \left(|d_k|^{dim(G)} f(M) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \quad (10.3)$$

In particular, taking $\Lambda(M) = \Lambda(M, 0)$, we find that:

$$\Lambda(M) = |d_k|^{\frac{dim(G)}{2}} f(M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda(M^\vee(1)) \quad (10.4)$$

Now let \mathbb{A} be the ring of adèles of k , and let $K = G(k \otimes \mathbb{R}) \times \prod_v \text{finite } K_v$ be an open compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A})$. The double coset space:

$$\Sigma = G(k) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / K$$

is then finite. If $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ represents the class of σ , then

$$\Gamma_\sigma = G(k) \cap gKg^{-1}$$

is a finite arithmetic subgroup of $G(k)$, of order w_σ . We define:

$$Mass_K = \sum_\sigma \frac{1}{w_\sigma} \quad (10.5)$$

where the sum is taken over all σ in the double coset space Σ .

If μ_K is the unique Haar measure on the locally compact group $G(\mathbb{A})$ giving the open compact subgroup K volume 1, then we also have:

$$Mass_K = \int_{G(k) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \mu_K \quad (10.6)$$

Proposition 10.7. *Assume that G is quasi-split over k_v for all finite places v , and that $K_v = \underline{G}^0(A_v) \subset G(k_v)$ is the special open compact subgroup defined in [Gr, §4]. Then,*

$$Mass_K = \tau(G) \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot L(M)$$

where $\tau(G)$ is the Tamagawa number of G , n is the rank of the complex Lie group $G(k \otimes \mathbb{C})$, and $L(M) = L(M, 0)$.

Remarks: Note that if l is the rank of G over k_s and d is the degree of k over \mathbb{Q} , then $n = ld$.

Proof. Let $\omega \neq 0$ be an invariant differential on G over k , and $|\omega|_v$ the associated Haar measure on $G(k_v)$. For v finite, if G is unramified over k_v , with reductive model \underline{G} over A_v , and ω has good reduction (*mod* π_v), then,

$$\int_{\underline{G}(A_v)} L_v(M^\vee(1)) |\omega|_v = 1$$

Hence the product:

$$\otimes_v L_v(M^\vee(1)) |\omega|_v$$

defines a measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$. By definition, the Tamagawa measure $|\omega|_{\mathbb{A}}$ is given by:

$$|\omega|_{\mathbb{A}} = \frac{\otimes_v L_v(M^\vee(1))|\omega|_v}{|d_k|^{\frac{\dim(G)}{2}} \Lambda(M^\vee(1))} \quad (10.8)$$

Note that this is well-defined since the fact that $G(k \otimes \mathbb{R})$ is compact implies that $\Lambda(M^\vee(1))$ is finite. Also, it is independent of the choice of $\omega \neq 0$. The Tamagawa number $\tau(G)$ is then defined by:

$$\tau(G) = \int_{G(k)/G(\mathbb{A})} |\omega|_{\mathbb{A}} \quad (10.9)$$

On the other hand, the Haar measure μ_K on $G(\mathbb{A})$ is the product:

$$\mu_K = \mu_{G(k \otimes \mathbb{R})} \otimes \prod_{v \text{ finite}} |\omega_{G_v}| L_v(M^\vee(1)) \quad (10.10)$$

where $\mu_{G(k \otimes \mathbb{R})}$ is the measure giving $G(k \otimes \mathbb{R})$ volume 1. Indeed, by Corollary 7.3, we have $|\omega_{G_v}| = |\omega'_{G_v}|$, and the latter measure is constructed such that:

$$\int_{K_v} |\omega'_{G_v}| L_v(M^\vee(1)) = 1$$

By [Gr, §7], we have:

$$\mu_{G(k \otimes \mathbb{R})} \cdot 2^n \prod_{v|\infty} L_v(M) e_v(G) = \prod_{v|\infty} |\omega_{G_v}| L_v(M^\vee(1)) \quad (10.11)$$

In fact, $\prod_{v|\infty} e_v(G) = 1$ as G is quasi-split at all finite places of k (cf [K]). Hence,

$$\mu_K = 2^{-n} \prod_{v|\infty} L_v(M)^{-1} \prod_v |\omega_{G_v}| L_v(M^\vee(1)) \quad (10.12)$$

By Proposition 9.3, we also have the formula:

$$\prod_v \frac{|\omega_{G_v}| L_v(M^\vee(1))}{|\omega|_v L_v(M^\vee(1))} = f(M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_K &= 2^{-n} \prod_{v|\infty} L_v(M)^{-1} \cdot \Lambda(M^\vee(1)) |d_k|^{\frac{\dim(G)}{2}} f(M)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\omega|_{\mathbb{A}} \\ &= 2^{-n} \prod_{v|\infty} L_v(M)^{-1} \cdot \Lambda(M) |\omega|_{\mathbb{A}} \\ &= 2^{-n} L(M) |\omega|_{\mathbb{A}} \end{aligned}$$

and the mass formula follows from (10.9). \square

Even if G is not quasi-split at all finite places v , one can obtain an explicit mass formula, by replacing K_v at the bad primes by an Iwahori subgroup $J_v \subset G(k_v)$, and using Corollary 7.4. We leave the details to the reader.

REFERENCES

- [B-T] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, *Groupes Reductifs I*, IHES 41(1972), Pg 5-252; *II*, IHES 60(1984), Pg 5-184.
- [Ch] C. Chevalley, *Invariants of Finite Groups Generated by Reflections*, Amer. J. Math. 77(1955), Pg 778-782.
- [Gr] B.H. Gross, *On the Motive of a Reductive Group*, Invent. Math(1997), to appear.
- [K] R. Kottwitz, *Sign Changes in Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Groups*, Trans. AMS 278(1983), Pg 289-297.
- [L] G. Laumon, *Cohomology of Drinfeld Modular Varieties*, Cambridge studies in advanced math. 41(1996).
- [M-H] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller, *Symmetric Bilinear Forms*, Springer Erg. 73(1973).
- [O] T. Ono, *On the Arithmetic of Algebraic Tori*, Annals of Math. 74(1961), Pg 101-139.
- [P] G. Prasad, *Volumes of S -arithmetic Quotients of Semisimple Groups*, IHES 69(1989), Pg 91-117.
- [Se] J.P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, Springer GTM 42.
- [Se2] J.P. Serre, *Conducteurs d'Artin des caracteres reels*, Invent. Math. 14(1971), Pg 173-183.
- [Se3] J.P. Serre, *Local Fields*, Springer GTM 67.
- [Sp] T. Springer, *Reductive Groups*, in Proceedings of Symposium in Pure Math. Vol. 33 Part 1.
- [St] R. Steinberg, *Endomorphisms of Linear Algebraic Groups*, Memoirs AMS 80(1968).
- [T] J. Tate, *Les conjectures des Stark sur les fonctions L d'Artin en $s=0$* , Birk. Progress in Math. 47(1984).