

SURFACES GENERATING THE EVEN PRIMAL COHOMOLOGY OF AN ABELIAN FIVEFOLD

JONATHAN CONDER, EDWARD DEWEY, AND ELHAM IZADI

ABSTRACT. Given a very general abelian fivefold A and a principal polarization $\Theta \subset A$, we construct surfaces generating the algebraic part of the middle cohomology $H^4(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})$, and determine the intersection pairing between these surfaces. In particular, we obtain a new proof of the Hodge conjecture for $H^4(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})$ and show that it contains a copy of the root lattice of E_6 .

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Notation	3
1. Prym varieties and Prym-embeddings	4
2. 27 surfaces	7
3. 27 curves	9
4. The one-parameter family of abelian fivefolds	10
5. Families of surfaces	12
6. A nodal fiber	14
7. Proof of the main theorem	20
8. Appendix	21
References	28

INTRODUCTION

Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety (ppav) of dimension $g \geq 4$ with smooth symmetric theta divisor Θ . By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem and Poincaré Duality (see, e.g., [IW15]), the cohomology of Θ is determined by that of A except in the middle dimension $g - 1$. The primitive cohomology of Θ , in the sense of Lefschetz, is

$$H_{\text{pr}}^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) := \text{Ker} \left(H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup[\Theta]} H^{g+1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \right).$$

The primal cohomology of Θ is defined as (see [IW15] and [ITW17])

$$\mathbb{K} := \text{Ker} \left(H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H^{g+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}) \right)$$

where $i : \Theta \hookrightarrow A$ is the inclusion. This is a Hodge substructure of $H_{\text{pr}}^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z})$ of rank $g! - \frac{1}{g+1} \binom{2g}{g}$ and level $g - 3$ while the primitive cohomology $H_{\text{pr}}^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Z})$ has full level $g - 1$.

The action of -1 splits $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into the direct sum of its invariant piece $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$ and its anti-invariant piece $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^-$. As shown in [IW18, Lemma 6.1], the Hodge structure $\mathbb{K}^{(-1)^g}$ has level $g - 3$ while the Hodge structure $\mathbb{K}^{(-1)^{g-1}}$ has level $g - 5$.

The primal cohomology \mathbb{K} and its Hodge substructure $\mathbb{K}^{(-1)^{g-1}}$ are therefore interesting test cases for the general Hodge conjecture. The general Hodge conjecture predicts that $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is contained in the image, via Gysin pushforward, of the cohomology of a smooth (possibly reducible) variety of pure dimension $g - 3$ (see [IW15]). This conjecture was proved in [IS95] and [ITW17] in the cases $g = 4$ and $g = 5$. When $g = 4$, it also follows from the proof of the Hodge conjecture in [IS95] that, for (A, Θ) generic, \mathbb{K} is a simple Hodge structure (isogenous to the third cohomology of a smooth cubic threefold). In this case the primal cohomology is fixed under the action of -1 .

In the case $g = 5$, $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$ and $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^-$ have respective dimensions 6 and 72. The space $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$ consists of Hodge classes ([IW18, Corollary 6.2]) while $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^-$ is simple [IW18]. It follows from the main result of [ITW17] and the Lefschetz (1, 1) theorem that the classes belonging to $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$ are algebraic. Here we describe explicit natural surfaces in Θ which represent these classes. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1. Suppose (A, Θ) is a general ppav of dimension 5.

- (a) There are 27 smooth surfaces $V_i \subset \Theta$ (to be described below, up to translation), whose classes in $H^4(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})$ span $\mathbb{Q}[\Theta]^2 + \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$ (which is the space of Hodge classes if A is very general).
- (b) The sublattice of \mathbb{K}^+ spanned by classes of the form $[V_i] - [V_j]$ is isometric to $H_{\text{pr}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})(-2)$ for any smooth cubic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.
- (c) There is a (non-canonical) bijection between the V_i and the lines L_i in X such that the isometry sends $[V_i] - [V_j]$ to $[L_i] - [L_j]$.

We construct the surfaces in two different ways, both of which rely on the theory of Prym varieties. One uses Brill-Noether theory for Prym varieties [Wel85]; the other exhibits the surfaces as special subvarieties of A , in the sense of [Bea82]. By comparing these two constructions, exploiting a connection with the 27 lines on a cubic surface, we produce relations between the intersection numbers $[V_i].[V_j]$. With these in hand, it remains to compute $[V_i]^2$ for all i .

To do so, we adapt the one parameter degeneration of [IW18], whose central fiber is a compactification (A_0, Θ_0) of a semiabelian extension of a ppav (B, Ξ) of dimension 4. The limit theta divisor Θ_0 is singular and birational to B .

Each limit surface $V_0 \subset \Theta_0$ is birational to $\Xi_\alpha \cap \Xi_\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in B$ (subscripts denote translation). We identify V_0 using a Hilbert polynomial calculation, and make sense of $[V_0]^2$ using the smoothness of the total space of theta divisors. Finally, we compute the degree of $[V_0]^2$ using properties of the Prym-embedded curves in $\Xi_\alpha \cap \Xi_\beta$, which were studied in [Iza95] and [Krä15].

The paper is organized as follows. In [Section 1](#) we gather some known facts about Prym Varieties and prove some preliminary results about some subvarieties of Prym varieties that we will need later. In [Section 2](#) we define the 27 surfaces and compute their intersection numbers with the exception of their self-intersection numbers. [Section 3](#) contains analogous results for sets of 27 curve classes in abelian fourfolds that we will need later for our degeneration argument. In [Section 4](#) we describe the one-parameter degeneration of abelian fivefolds and their theta divisors that we will use to prove our main result. In [Section 5](#) we describe the one-parameter degenerations of surfaces that we use to compute the self-intersection numbers of the surfaces V_i , and in [Section 6](#) we describe the central fibers of these families. We complete the proof of our main result in [Section 7](#) by computing the self-intersection numbers of V_i , using the one-parameter families of surfaces. Finally, the Appendix, [Section 8](#), contains some computations used in the paper. It also contains the computation of the ranks and Hodge numbers of \mathbb{K}^+ and \mathbb{K}^- in all dimensions.

NOTATION

If C is a curve, then \tilde{C} always denotes a fixed étale double cover of C , with covering involution $\sigma : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ and quotient map $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. For $c \in \tilde{C}$ we denote $\sigma(c)$ by c' . We denote by $C^{(d)}$ the d^{th} symmetric power of C , and $G_d^r(C)$ (resp. $W_d^r(C)$) the space of linear systems (resp. complete linear systems) of degree d and dimension r (resp. at least r) on C . We typically denote a fixed element of $G_d^r(C)$ by g_d^r . The dual projective space of g_d^r is denoted by $g_d^{r\vee}$. The notation $C_1 \overset{\Delta}{\sim} C_2$ means C_1 and C_2 are tetragonally related (see [Paragraph 1.6](#)).

As usual, \mathcal{A}_g is the (coarse) moduli space of dimension g principally polarized abelian varieties (ppav), \mathcal{R}_g is the (coarse) moduli space of étale double covers $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ with C of genus g , \mathcal{M}_g is the (coarse) moduli space of smooth curves of genus g , and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is its compactification parametrizing stable curves.

If X is a scheme, then $\text{CH}_d(X)$ is the Chow group of algebraic cycles on X modulo rational equivalence.

If L is a lattice then $L(n)$ is the lattice obtained by multiplying the intersection form by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1. PRYM VARIETIES AND PRYM-EMBEDDINGS

1.1. Prym varieties. The *Prym map* $\mathcal{P}_0 : \mathcal{R}_{g+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ sends a Beauville admissible cover $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ (see [Bea77a]) to its Prym variety $\mathcal{P}_0(X) := \text{Im}(\sigma^* - \text{id}) \subset \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X})$, where $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is the covering involution. The map \mathcal{P}_0 is surjective for $g \leq 5$, hence generically finite for $g = 5$ by a dimension count. Its degree is 27 in this case [DS81].

1.2. Prym torsors. The Prym variety $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ can also be defined as the identity component of $\text{Ker}(\text{Nm})$, where $\text{Nm} : \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$ is the norm map (which agrees with the push-forward on Chow groups $\text{CH}_0(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \text{CH}_0(X)$). The kernel of Nm has a second component, a translate of $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$, which we denote by $\mathcal{P}_0^-(X)$. We will also use the fiber of Nm over ω_X , whose components $\mathcal{P}_{2g}(X)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2g}^-(X)$ consist of line bundles L such that $h^0(L)$ is even or odd respectively.

1.3. Prym-embeddings. If X is not hyperelliptic, then neither is \tilde{X} , as the push-forward $\pi_* : \tilde{X}^{(2)} \rightarrow X^{(2)}$ preserves linear equivalence. In this case $\iota(p) := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(p - p')$ defines an embedding $\tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_0^-(X)$ (recall that $p' := \sigma(p)$). If $Z \subseteq \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$, then translates of $\iota(\tilde{X})$ contained in Z are called *Prym-embeddings* of \tilde{X} in Z .

We also have the canonical morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}^{(2)} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X) \\ p + q &\longmapsto [p, q] := \iota(p) + \iota(q) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(p + q - p' - q'). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $[p, q] = \kappa(p) - \kappa(q')$ for any Prym-embedding $\kappa : \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ (in fact any translate of ι).

1.4. Brill-Noether loci. Given $r > 0$, let $\mathcal{P}_{2g}^r(X)$ be the locus in $\mathcal{P}_{2g}(X) \amalg \mathcal{P}_{2g}^-(X)$ where $h^0 > r$ and $h^0 \not\equiv r \pmod{2}$. It inherits a scheme structure from the classical Brill-Noether locus $W_{2g}^r(\tilde{X}) \subseteq \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$, and is smooth at all L with $h^0(L) = r + 1$ if $X \in \mathcal{M}_{g+1}$ is sufficiently general [Wel85, Proposition 1.9 and Theorem 1.11].

The effective locus $\Theta_{2g} = \Theta_{2g}(X) := \mathcal{P}_{2g}^1(X) \subset \mathcal{P}_{2g}(X)$ defines a theta divisor in the sense that, for each $L_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{2g}(X)$, the translate $\Theta_{2g} - L_0$ is a theta divisor for $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$. Choosing L_0 determines a group structure on $\mathcal{P}_{2g}(X)$, where inversion is given by $L \mapsto L_0^2 - L$. If L_0 is a theta characteristic (meaning $L_0^2 \cong \omega_{\tilde{X}}$), this morphism (the *residuation* map) preserves $h^0(L)$ by Serre duality. In this case $\Theta_{2g} - L_0$ is a symmetric theta divisor.

By [Iza95, Proposition 3.11], the second Brill-Noether locus $\tilde{X}_\lambda := \mathcal{P}_{2g}^2(X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{2g}^-(X)$ consists of those L for which $\iota(\tilde{X}) + L \subset \Theta_{2g}$. Each $p \in \tilde{X}$ defines an embedding

$$W_p := \tilde{X}_\lambda + \iota(p) = \{L \in \mathcal{P}_{2g}(X) \mid h^0(L(-p)) > 1\} \subset \Theta_{2g}.$$

When $g = 5$, these are the surfaces we will use to generate \mathbb{K}^+ . In order to understand them better, we will show that the W_p are special subvarieties in the sense of Beauville [Bea82].

1.5. Special subvarieties. Given a g_d^r on X with $2r < d \leq 2g$, the associated *special subvarieties* of the symmetric power $\tilde{X}^{(d)}$ are the connected components S_i of the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S = S_1 \amalg S_2 & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{X}^{(d)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^r = g_d^r & \hookrightarrow & X^{(d)}. \end{array}$$

If the base locus of the g_d^r is reduced, then so is S . When $d \leq r + g$, we also consider the special subvarieties $T_i \subseteq \tilde{X}^{(2g-d)}$ associated to the residual linear system g_{2g-d}^{r+g-d} . After choosing the indices appropriately, for each $D \in T_i$, the image of $S_i + D \subseteq \tilde{X}^{(2g)}$ in $\text{Pic}^{2g}(\tilde{X})$ is a subvariety $V_D \subseteq \Theta_{2g}$. On the other hand $S_{3-i} + D$ maps into $\mathcal{P}_{2g}^-(X)$. The V_D are called *special subvarieties* of Θ_{2g} .

1.6. The tetragonal construction. For a (base point free) g_4^1 the above is known as the tetragonal construction [Don92]. Each special subvariety $\tilde{X}_i := S_i$ is a smooth curve, assuming the fibers of $X \rightarrow g_4^{1\vee} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ have at most one ramification point, with index at most 3. The quotients $\tilde{X}_i \rightarrow X_i$ induced by the covering involution $\tilde{X}^{(4)} \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \tilde{X}^{(4)}$ have the same Prym variety as $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Each X_i carries a g_4^1 for which the associated special subvarieties of $\tilde{X}_i^{(4)}$ are \tilde{X} and \tilde{X}_{3-i} . We say that the $\tilde{X}_i \rightarrow X_i$ are *tetragonally related* to $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, written $X_i \overset{\Delta}{\sim} X$, or that (X, X_1, X_2) is a *tetragonal triple*.

If $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$, given a Prym-embedding $\kappa : \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ and a lift $D \in \tilde{Y}^{(2g-4)}$ of a divisor in the g_{2g-4}^{g-3} on Y , there is a line bundle $L \in \mathcal{P}_{2g}(Y)$ and an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ such that $\varphi(\kappa(p)) = L^{-1}(P + D)$ for all $p \in \tilde{X}$ corresponding to $P \in \tilde{Y}^{(4)}$. In particular $\varphi(\kappa(\tilde{X})) = V_D - L$. Moreover, if $P, Q' \in \tilde{Y}^{(4)}$ correspond to $p, q' \in \tilde{X}$, then

$$\varphi([p, q]) = L^{-1}(P + D) \otimes L(-Q' - D) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(P - Q').$$

1.7. Prym-curves. A *Prym-curve* for an abelian variety A is an admissible cover $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ such that $\mathcal{P}_0(X) \cong A$. By abuse of notation, we will often denote a Prym-curve by its base curve X , the double cover $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ being implicit.

Definition 1.1. We call a Prym-curve $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ *good* if X is smooth (hence π is étale) and X is not hyperelliptic, trigonal or bielliptic.

When A is general and $g \geq 5$, every Prym-curve for A is good (see [Mum74, §7], [Rec74] and [Don92, §3]). When A is general and $g = 4$, the fiber of the Prym map at A always contains singular Prym curves. However, every smooth Prym-curve is good.

Lemma 1.2. Let X be a good Prym-curve and set $\Theta := \Theta_{2g}(X)$. If $p, q, r \in \tilde{X}$ are such that p, p', q and r are distinct, then:

- (a) $\Theta \cap \Theta_{[p,q]} = V_{p+q}$.
- (b) $\Theta \cap \Theta_{[p,q]} \cap \Theta_{[p,r]} = W_p \cup V_{p+q+r}$.
- (c) $\Theta_{[p,q]} \cap \Theta_{[p,r]} \cap \Theta_{[q,r]} = (W_p + [q, r]) \cup V_{p+q+r}$.
- (d) W_p and $W_p + [q, r]$ are algebraically equivalent in $\Theta_{[p,q]} \cap \Theta_{[p,r]}$.

If $s \in \tilde{X}$ is such that $\pi_*(p + q + r + s) \in X^{(4)}$ moves in a pencil, then

- (e) $V_{p+q+r} = V_{p+q+r+s} \cup V_{p+q+r+s'}$.

Proof. See the proof of [BD87, Proposition 1] for (a) and (b), and [Iza95, Proposition 2.4.1] for (e). Using (b),

$$\Theta_{[p,q]} \cap \Theta_{[p,r]} \cap \Theta_{[q,r]} = (\Theta_{[p,r']} \cap \Theta_{[p,q']} \cap \Theta) + [q, r] = (W_p \cup V_{p+q'+r'}) + [q, r] = (W_p + [q, r]) \cup V_{p+q+r},$$

giving (c). The algebraic equivalence $\Theta \sim \Theta_{[q,r]}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{2g}(X)$ restricts to

$$\Theta \cap \Theta_{[p,q]} \cap \Theta_{[p,r]} \sim \Theta_{[q,r]} \cap \Theta_{[p,q]} \cap \Theta_{[p,r]}$$

on $\Theta_{[p,q]} \cap \Theta_{[p,r]}$. Using (b) and (c), this gives (d). \square

Lemma 1.3. Suppose $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$ are good Prym-curves for (A, Θ) . If $p \in \tilde{X}$ corresponds to $P \in \tilde{Y}^{(4)}$, there is an isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{P}_{2g}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2g}(Y)$ for which $\psi(W_p) = V_P$.

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{P}_{2g}(Y)$ and $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ be as in Paragraph 1.6. Choose theta characteristics L_X and L_Y on \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} respectively, and define ψ by the formula

$$\psi(M) := \varphi(M - L_X) + L_Y.$$

We may choose L_Y so that ψ maps $\Theta_{2g}(X)$ onto $\Theta_{2g}(Y)$. For $M \in V_P$ and $q \in \tilde{X}$ (corresponding to $Q \in \tilde{Y}^{(4)}$),

$$\varphi(\iota(q) - \iota(p)) + M = \varphi[q, p'] + M = M(Q - P) \in \Theta_{2g}(Y).$$

(note that $M(-P)$ is effective). Applying ψ^{-1} shows that

$$\iota(q) - \iota(p) + \psi^{-1}(M) \in \Theta_{2g}(X)$$

for all $q \in \tilde{X}$, which means $\psi^{-1}(M) - \iota(p) \in \tilde{X}_\lambda$. Therefore $V_P \subseteq \psi(W_p)$.

As a special subvariety, V_P has class $\frac{1}{3}[\Theta]^3 \in H^6(A, \mathbb{Z})$ [Bea82, Théorème 1]. On the other hand V_{p+q+r} has class $\frac{2}{3}[\Theta]^3$ whenever $q, r \in \tilde{X}$. Hence, by Lemma 1.2(b), $\psi_*[W_p] = [V_P]$. \square

1.8. **λ -classes.** Let X be a Prym-curve for (A, Θ) . By definition, residuation sends W_p to $W_{p'}$ for all $p \in \tilde{X}$. The algebraic equivalence class of W_p in Θ_{2g} is independent of $p \in \tilde{X}$, and therefore fixed by residuation. Given an isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{P}_0(X) \rightarrow A$, there is a unique theta characteristic L_0 on \tilde{X} such that $\psi(\Theta_{2g} - L_0) = \Theta$. Let $[\tilde{X}_\lambda]$ be the algebraic equivalence class of $\psi(W_p - L_0) \subset \Theta$; it is independent of $p \in \tilde{X}$ and fixed by -1 . If (A, Θ) is very general, then $\text{Aut}(A, \Theta) = \{\pm 1\}$, which means $[\tilde{X}_\lambda]$ does not depend on ψ .

Corollary 1.4. If (X, Y, Z) is a tetragonal triple of good Prym-curves for a very general Prym variety (A, Θ) , then $[\tilde{X}_\lambda] + [\tilde{Y}_\lambda] + [\tilde{Z}_\lambda] = [\Theta]^2$.

Proof. If $p + q + r + s \in \tilde{Y} \subset \tilde{X}^{(4)}$ lifts a reduced divisor of the g_4^1 on X , and $\Xi := \Theta_{2g}(X)$, then

$$\Xi \cap \Xi_{[p,q]} \cap \Xi_{[p,r]} = W_p \cup V_{p+q+r+s} \cup V_{p+q+r+s'}$$

by Lemma 1.2 parts (b) and (e). Choosing isomorphisms as needed, Lemma 1.3 implies that the classes of (the images of) W_p , $V_{p+q+r+s}$ and $V_{p+q+r+s'}$ in Θ are $[\tilde{X}_\lambda]$, $[\tilde{Y}_\lambda]$ and $[\tilde{Z}_\lambda]$ respectively. \square

2. 27 SURFACES

In this section $g = 5$ and the \tilde{X}_λ are surfaces. The fiber of \mathcal{P}_0 at a general ppav $(A, \Theta) \in \mathcal{A}_5$ consists of 27 Prym-curves, and the tetragonal correspondence between them is isomorphic to the incidence correspondence for the lines on a smooth cubic surface [Don92, 4.2]. This is almost enough to compute the intersection pairing between the $[\tilde{X}_\lambda]$.

2.1. **27 lines.** The lines in the cubic surface obtained by blowing up 6 points $p_1, \dots, p_6 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ in general position (with respect to lines and conics) can be described as follows:

- The exceptional divisor E_i over each point p_i .
- The proper transform F_{ij} of the line joining p_i to p_j , for $i < j$. If $i > j$ we set $F_{ij} := F_{ji}$.
- The proper transform G_j of the conic containing p_i for $i \neq j$.

Two lines meet if and only if they both belong to a triple of the form (E_i, F_{ij}, G_j) with $i \neq j$, or (F_{ij}, F_{kl}, F_{mn}) with $\{i, j, k, l, m, n\} = \{1, \dots, 6\}$. The automorphism group $W(\mathbf{E}_6)$ of this configuration acts transitively on lines and sixers (i.e., sextuples of mutually skew lines) [Dol12, Proposition 9.1.4]. Any permutation of the indices acts on the sixer (E_1, \dots, E_6) and on the cubic surface.

Theorem 2.1. Let (A, Θ) be a very general ppav of dimension 5. Suppose that $[\tilde{X}_\lambda]^2 = 16$ whenever X is a Prym-curve for (A, Θ) . If X and Y are non-isomorphic Prym-curves, then

$$[\tilde{X}_\lambda] \cdot [\tilde{Y}_\lambda] = \begin{cases} 12 & \text{if } X \stackrel{\Delta}{\sim} Y, \\ 14 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, suppose that $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$ and form the tetragonal triple (X, Y, Z) . Let $\langle -, - \rangle$ be the pairing on (formal sums of) Prym-curves induced by the intersection form on Θ , so that

$$\langle X, X + Y + Z \rangle = [\tilde{X}_\lambda].([\tilde{X}_\lambda] + [\tilde{Y}_\lambda] + [\tilde{Z}_\lambda]) = [\tilde{X}_\lambda].[\Theta]^2 = \frac{g!}{3} = 40,$$

by [Corollary 1.4](#) and the fact that $[\tilde{X}_\lambda] = \frac{1}{3}[\Theta]^3$ in A (see [Lemma 1.3](#)). Permuting X, Y, Z , we obtain

$$\langle X, Y + Z \rangle = \langle Y, Z + X \rangle = \langle Z, X + Y \rangle = 40 - 16 = 24,$$

so $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle X, Z \rangle = \langle Y, Z \rangle = 12$.

Now, suppose that $X \overset{\not\Delta}{\sim} Y$. Choose a Prym-curve Z_1 tetragonally related to X and Y . As above, we form triples (X, Z_1, X_1) and (Y, Z_1, Y_1) so that

$$40 = \langle X, Y + Z_1 + Y_1 \rangle = \langle X_1, Y + Z_1 + Y_1 \rangle = \langle Y, X + Z_1 + X_1 \rangle = \langle Y_1, X + Z_1 + X_1 \rangle$$

and hence

$$(2.1) \quad \langle X, Y \rangle = 28 - \langle X_1, Y \rangle = \langle X_1, Y_1 \rangle = 28 - \langle X, Y_1 \rangle.$$

This does not immediately give $\langle X, Y \rangle = 14$, but we can find more equations of the form [\(2.1\)](#) by varying X, Y and Z_1 . For this, it helps to label the Prym-curves as in [Paragraph 2.1](#). We have similar identities for all the 4-tuples of surfaces obtained, as above, from a pair of skew lines in the cubic surface. For distinct indices i, j, k , we have the following pairs of tetragonally related triples

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} E_i & & G_i \\ & \diagdown & / \\ & F_{ij} & \\ & / & \diagdown \\ G_j & & E_j \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} E_i & & E_j \\ & \diagdown & / \\ & G_k & \\ & / & \diagdown \\ F_{ik} & & F_{jk} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} G_i & & G_j \\ & \diagdown & / \\ & E_k & \\ & / & \diagdown \\ F_{ik} & & F_{jk} \end{array} \end{array}$$

The application of [\(2.1\)](#) gives

$$\langle E_i, G_i \rangle = \langle G_j, E_j \rangle = 28 - \langle E_i, E_j \rangle = 28 - \langle G_i, G_j \rangle,$$

$$\langle E_i, E_j \rangle = \langle F_{ik}, F_{jk} \rangle = 28 - \langle E_i, F_{jk} \rangle = 28 - \langle E_j, F_{ik} \rangle,$$

$$\langle G_i, G_j \rangle = \langle F_{ik}, F_{jk} \rangle = 28 - \langle G_i, F_{jk} \rangle = 28 - \langle G_j, F_{ik} \rangle.$$

It follows from the equations above that all intersection numbers of the form $\langle E_i, E_j \rangle, \langle G_i, G_j \rangle$ or $\langle F_{ik}, F_{jk} \rangle$ are equal and all intersection numbers of the form $\langle E_i, G_i \rangle, \langle E_i, F_{jk} \rangle$ or $\langle G_i, F_{jk} \rangle$ are equal. Now note that we also have the surfaces obtained from the tetragonally related triple (F_{12}, F_{34}, F_{56}) . Intersecting the sum of these three surfaces with E_1 , we obtain:

$$\langle E_1, F_{34} \rangle + \langle E_1, F_{56} \rangle = 28.$$

Since $\langle E_1, F_{34} \rangle = \langle E_1, F_{56} \rangle$, we obtain

$$\langle E_1, F_{34} \rangle = 14$$

and hence all the other intersection numbers above are also equal to 14. \square

3. 27 CURVES

For a general ppav B of dimension $g = 4$, the fiber of \mathcal{P}_0 over B is two-dimensional, a double cover of the Fano surface of lines on a particular cubic threefold [Don92, 5.1], [Iza95, 6.27]. The covering involution is given by $X \mapsto X_\lambda$, where $\tilde{X}_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda$ is the quotient by residuation [Iza95, 3.11, 3.13]. Each point $\alpha \in B$ determines a hyperplane section of the cubic threefold, and a choice of Prym-curve X lying over each of its lines [Iza95, 3.16, 4.8, 4.9, 5.7, 5.10]. The curves \tilde{X}_λ admit embeddings in $\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha$, where Ξ is a theta divisor for B . In this section we prove an analog of [Theorem 2.1](#) for these embeddings.

For $0 \neq \alpha \in B$, one has $W_p \subset \Theta \cap \Theta_\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha = [p, q]$ for some $q \in \tilde{X}$ [Iza95, 3.16].

Definition 3.1. An α -curve is a Prym-curve X for B such that $W_p \subset \Theta \cap \Theta_\alpha$ for some $p \in \tilde{X}$, where $\Theta := \Theta_8(X)$ is the canonical translate of Ξ in $\mathcal{P}_8(X)$.

Lemma 3.2. If X is an α -curve such that X and X_λ are good, then the translates of \tilde{X}_λ in $\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha$ are algebraically equivalent.

Proof. Pick $q, r \in \tilde{X}$ such that $\alpha = [q', r]$. The two embeddings of \tilde{X}_λ in $\Theta \cap \Theta_\alpha$ are $W_{q'}$ and W_r . Given $p \in \tilde{X} \setminus \{q, q', r, r'\}$, it follows that the embeddings of \tilde{X}_λ in $(\Theta \cap \Theta_\alpha) + [p, q] = \Theta_{[p,q]} \cap \Theta_{[p,r]}$ are $W_{q'} + [p, q] = W_p$ and $W_r + [p, q] = W_p + [q, r]$, which are algebraically equivalent by [Lemma 1.2\(d\)](#). Now translate back to $\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha$. \square

3.1. Curve classes. As a consequence there is a well-defined class $[\tilde{X}_\lambda] \in H^2(\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$. It is invariant under the involution $\beta \mapsto \alpha - \beta$ on $\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha$. Since $\gamma_X := [\tilde{X}_\lambda] - \frac{1}{3}[\Xi] \in H^2(\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha, \mathbb{Q})$ pushes forward to 0 in B , it belongs to $\mathbb{W}_\mathbb{Q}^+$, where $\mathbb{W} \subset H^2(\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$ is the primal cohomology for $\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha \hookrightarrow B$. Krämer showed that $\mathbb{W}^+ \cong \mathbf{E}_6(-2)$ [Krä15, 5.1], and that γ_X is a norm-minimizing element of the dual lattice [Krä15, Lemma 7.2]. We refine his calculation using the argument of [Theorem 2.1](#), after establishing the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose B is very general and $\alpha \in B$ is general.

- (a) If X is an α -curve, then X and X_λ are good.
- (b) For α -curves $X \hat{\simeq} Y$, the other curve in the tetragonal triple (X, Y, Z) is also an α -curve, and $[\tilde{X}_\lambda] + [\tilde{Y}_\lambda] + [\tilde{Z}_\lambda] = [\Xi]$ in $\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha$.

Proof. Since B is general, the fiber of \mathcal{P}_0 over B contains a dense open subset of good Prym-curves [Iza95, 3.3, 3.10]. The complement Z is therefore (at most) one-dimensional. Recall that X is an α -curve if and only if α belongs to the surface $\Sigma(X) := \{[p, q] \mid p, q \in \tilde{X}\}$ [Iza95, 3.16]. For dimension reasons, we may assume that $\alpha \notin \cup_{X \in Z \cup \lambda(Z)} \Sigma(X)$, which gives (a).

For any $\alpha \in B$ and Prym-curves $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$ such that $\alpha \in \Sigma(X) \cap \Sigma(Y)$, call α *good* for $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$ if there is a reduced divisor $p + q + r + s \in \tilde{Y}$ such that $\alpha = [p, q]$. According to [Iza95, 5.9], $\Sigma(X) \cap \Sigma(Y)$ has (possibly impure) dimension one, and a general point on it is good for $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$. Hence the set of elements of $\Sigma(X)$ which are not good for $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$ for some Y is of dimension ≤ 1 . The union of these sets for all Prym-curves X is at most a threefold in B . So a general $\alpha \in B$ is good for all pairs $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$ such that $\alpha \in \Sigma(X) \cap \Sigma(Y)$.

Given α -curves $X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y$, we may pick $p + q + r + s \in \tilde{Y}$ as above, and form the tetragonal triple (X, Y, Z) . The argument of [Corollary 1.4](#) completes the proof of (b). \square

Proposition 3.4. Suppose $\alpha \in B$ is general. If X and Y are α -curves, then

$$[\tilde{X}_\lambda].[\tilde{Y}_\lambda] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X = Y \\ 4 & \text{if } X \overset{\Delta}{\sim} Y, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since α is general, $\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha$ is smooth, so the adjunction formula shows that $[\tilde{X}_\lambda].[\tilde{X}_\lambda] = 0$.

For the other cases, suppose that B is very general. The tetragonal correspondence between α -curves is isomorphic to the incidence correspondence between the 27 lines on a smooth cubic surface [Iza95, 5.9, 5.12, 6.8]. We may therefore argue as in [Theorem 2.1](#) using [Lemma 3.3](#).

Since intersection numbers are constant in smooth families, the result holds even when (B, Ξ) has extra automorphisms (but remains sufficiently general). \square

Corollary 3.5. If $\alpha \in B$ is general, then the invariant primal cohomology $\mathbb{W}^+ \subset H^2(\Xi \cap \Xi_\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by the classes $\delta_i := [\tilde{X}_{i\lambda}] - [\tilde{Y}_\lambda]$, for any collection of α -curves X_1, \dots, X_6, Y such that the X_i are mutually tetragonally unrelated and Y is related to exactly two of the X_i .

Proof. If X and Y are α -curves corresponding to lines E and F on a cubic surface, then [Proposition 3.4](#) says that $[\tilde{X}_\lambda].[\tilde{Y}_\lambda] = 2(E.F + 1)$. Thus, the matrix with entries $\delta_i.\delta_j$ is a Gram matrix for $E_6(-2)$. In particular, its determinant is the discriminant of \mathbb{W}^+ , namely 192. The map $\mathbb{Z}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}^+$ defined by the δ_i has to be invertible for this to hold. \square

4. THE ONE-PARAMETER FAMILY OF ABELIAN FIVEFOLDS

We summarize the construction of the family of theta divisors from [IW18]. The goal is to produce a rank one degeneration with smooth total space.

4.1. Enriques and K3 surfaces. Let R be a very general Enriques surface and $\rho : \tilde{R} \rightarrow R$ the K3 étale double cover corresponding to the canonical class (which is 2-torsion) $K_R \in \text{Pic}(R)$. Let H be a very ample line bundle on R with $H^2 = 10$. A general element in the linear system $|H| \cong \mathbb{P}^5$ is a smooth curve of genus 6; such curves are parameterized by the Zariski open subset $|H| \setminus D$, where D is the dual variety of the embedding of R in $|H|^\vee$. For each curve $C \in |H| \setminus D$, we obtain a nontrivial étale double cover $\tilde{C} := \rho^{-1}(C) \rightarrow C$. Associating to such a cover its Prym variety $\mathcal{P}_0(C)$ defines a morphism from $|H| \setminus D$ to \mathcal{A}_5 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |H| \setminus D & \xrightarrow{\mu_H} & \mathcal{R}_6 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \mathcal{P}_0 \\ & \mathcal{A}_5 & \end{array}$$

The linear systems $|H|$ form a projective bundle \mathcal{H} over the moduli space of Enriques surfaces, and the maps μ_H are restrictions of a rational map $\mu : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_6$. Mori and Mukai [MM83] showed that μ is dominant.

4.2. A family of curves. Suppose $T \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \subset |H|$ is a Lefschetz pencil, i.e., it is transverse to the dual variety D . Then the singular curves of the pencil consist of finitely many irreducible nodal curves. Denote by $\mathcal{C} := \text{Bl}_{10} R$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} := \text{Bl}_{20} \tilde{R}$) the blow-up of R (resp. \tilde{R}) along the base locus of T (resp. ρ^*T). We obtain a family of irreducible étale double covers parameterized by T :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{C} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & T & \end{array}$$

4.3. Singular fibers. There are 42 points $t_1, \dots, t_{42} \in T$ where the fiber $C_i := \mathcal{C}_{t_i}$ of the family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T$ is singular. The Prym varieties of the double covers in this family are well-defined as semi-abelian varieties. They are abelian at the smooth fibers and have a rank 1 toric part at the singular fibers. This family of Prym varieties and their theta divisors can be compactified to families

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta & \longleftrightarrow & A \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & T & \end{array}$$

with smooth total spaces. The fibers $\Theta_i \subset A_i$ over t_i have the following descriptions.

Denote by (B_i, Ξ_i) the Prym variety of $\tilde{X}_i \rightarrow X_i$, where X_i (resp. \tilde{X}_i) is the normalization of C_i (resp. \tilde{C}_i). It is a ppav of dimension 4. The semi-abelian Prym variety of $\tilde{C}_i \rightarrow C_i$ is an extension of B_i by \mathbb{C}^\times . Such an extension is determined by a point $\beta \in B_i$, well-defined up to ± 1 . The

normalization P_i of A_i is the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over B_i associated to this semi-abelian variety, and A_i is obtained from P_i by identifying the ∞ -section $B_\infty \cong B_i$ with the 0-section $B_0 \cong B_i$ after translation by β . The normalization of Θ_i is the blow-up $\tilde{B}_i \rightarrow B_i$ along $\Xi_i \cap \Xi_{i\beta}$. To recover Θ_i from \tilde{B}_i , one glues the proper transforms of Ξ_i and $\Xi_{i\beta}$ after translation by β .

4.4. Correcting the degree. In order to construct surfaces in a fiber Θ_t , it is more natural to work in degree 10, i.e., we should have $A_t = \mathcal{P}_{10}(\mathcal{C}_t)$ and $\Theta_t = \Theta(\mathcal{C}_t)$. The construction of these families is similar to the degree zero case [AFS15, §3.5]. To check that their total spaces remain smooth, one can use étale-local (or local analytic) sections of $\Theta \rightarrow T$ to show that the families in degree 0 and 10 are étale-locally (or locally analytically) isomorphic. Alternatively, if one is willing to throw away the smooth fibers A_t for which Θ_t is singular (which is harmless for our purposes), it is easy to prove directly (as in [IW18, 2.5]) that Θ is nonsingular.

5. FAMILIES OF SURFACES

We construct families of special surfaces in the fibers of $\Theta \rightarrow T$.

5.1. Nets of degree 6. Suppose $C \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_6$ is irreducible and has at most one node. Given a g_6^2 on C , i.e., a net of degree 6, and an étale double cover $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$, one can define special surfaces $S \subset \tilde{C}^{(6)}$ as in the introduction. The relative version of this does not work over T because the fibers of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T$ have no canonical choice of g_6^2 . We will fix this by passing to a new base U ; the map $U \rightarrow T$ should be unramified in order to preserve the smoothness of Θ . There is a non-empty Zariski open subset $\mathcal{U}_6^2 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_6$ parameterizing curves C with $|G_6^2(C)| = 5$. Let $\Delta_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_6$ be the boundary component whose generic points parameterize irreducible curves.

Lemma 5.1. The intersection $\mathcal{U}_6^2 \cap \Delta_0$ is not empty.

Proof. Suppose $C \in \Delta_0$ is general and let $X \xrightarrow{\nu} C$ be its normalization. Let $p, q \in X$ be the points over the node $r \in C$. Recall that $\text{Pic}^6(C) \xrightarrow{\nu^*} \text{Pic}^6(X)$ is a \mathbb{C}^\times -torsor with fiber

$$\mathbb{P}(L|_p \oplus L|_q) \setminus \{L|_p \oplus 0, 0 \oplus L|_q\}$$

over $L \in \text{Pic}^6(X)$ [OS79, Corollary 12.4]. Given $L' \in \text{Pic}^6(C)$ with $\nu^*L' \cong L$, the corresponding line in $L|_p \oplus L|_q$ is the kernel of the subtraction map $L|_p \oplus L|_q \rightarrow L'|_r$. This map is the evaluation at the node of the surjection in the following exact sequence, obtained by tensoring $\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \nu_*\mathcal{O}_X$ with L' :

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow \nu_*L \rightarrow L'|_r \rightarrow 0.$$

If $h^0(L') = 3$ then (5.1) forces $h^0(L) = 3$ by Clifford's theorem and the genericity of X . Thus we may identify the maps $H^0(X, L) \rightarrow L|_w$ and $H^0(C, L') \rightarrow L'|_{\nu(w)}$ for each $w \in X$. In particular

$$H^0(X, L(-p-q)) = H^0(X, L(-p)) = H^0(X, L(-q))$$

is two-dimensional (as X is neither hyperelliptic nor trigonal, it has no g_5^2).

Conversely, if $h^0(L(-p-q)) = h^0(L) - 1 = 2$, then exactly one L' lying over L satisfies $h^0(L') = 3$. Indeed, if $h^0(L') = h^0(L)$ then the surjection in (5.1) vanishes on global sections, i.e., the composition

$$H^0(X, L) \rightarrow L|_p \oplus L|_q \rightarrow L'|_r$$

is zero. Since $h^0(L(-p-q)) = 2$, the above sequence must be exact, so the line corresponding to L' is unique (and it is neither of the summands, because X has no g_5^2).

It remains to show that exactly five $L \in W_6^2(X)$ satisfy $h^0(L(-p-q)) = 2$. On a general smooth curve X of genus five, every g_6^2 has the form $|\omega_X(-D)|$ for a unique $D \in X^{(2)}$. The pair $(X, \omega_X(-p-q))$ is general, so the image of X in $|\omega_X(-p-q)|^\vee$ is a nodal sextic [ACG11, XXI, §10]. By the genus formula it has five nodes, so there are five $D \in X^{(2)}$ such that $h^0(\omega_X(-D-p-q)) = 2$, giving five choices for $L := \omega_X(-D)$. \square

5.2. First base change. If $T \subset |H|$ is sufficiently general, then Lemma 5.1 implies that there is a Zariski open subset $T^\circ \subset T$ such that $\mathcal{C}_t \in \mathcal{U}_6^2$ for all $t \in T^\circ$ and $C := \mathcal{C}_0$ is singular for a unique point $0 \in T^\circ$. Let $X \xrightarrow{\nu} C$ be the normalization. By an argument analogous to [IW18, Lemma 2.3], we may assume that the five pairs $(X, \nu^*g_6^2)$ are also general. Let $U := \mathcal{G}_6^2(\mathcal{C}_{T^\circ})$ parameterize nets of degree 6 on the fibers of $\mathcal{C}_{T^\circ} := \mathcal{C} \times_T T^\circ \rightarrow T^\circ$. It is étale of degree 5 over T° .

5.3. Surfaces of divisors. Each fiber of the family $\mathcal{C}_U \rightarrow U$ has a canonical g_6^2 . These linear systems form a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow U$ embedded in the relative symmetric power $\mathcal{C}_U^{(6)}$. Put $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{C}_U^{(6)}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U^{(6)}$.

5.4. Second base change. The map $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow U$ naturally factors as $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$, where \tilde{U} is the double cover of U parameterizing the connected components of the fibers of $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow U$. From now on we think of \mathcal{S} as a family over \tilde{U} ; for instance $\mathcal{S}_u := \mathcal{S} \times_{\tilde{U}} \{u\}$ is connected for $u \in \tilde{U}$. The following fact ensures that $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is unramified, so that $\Theta_{\tilde{U}}$ is nonsingular:

Lemma 5.2. For every g_6^2 on C , the corresponding fiber of \mathcal{S} in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{(6)}$ has two connected components.

Proof. One checks (e.g., using (5.1)) that the surface in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{(6)}$ is the image of the surface in $\tilde{X}^{(6)}$ determined by $\nu^*g_6^2$, which has two connected components. These components are smooth, and their images in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{(6)}$ are disjoint, provided that the image of $C \rightarrow g_6^{2\vee}$ is admissible in the sense of Welters [Wel81, (8.14), (9.2), (9.6)]. This means that the image of C is nodal and that for any line l in $g_6^{2\vee}$, the divisor cut on l by (the image of) C is either reduced or contains exactly one divisor

of the form $2P, 3P$, or $2P + 2Q$. It is easy to see that a general plane sextic of geometric genus five is admissible [DH88, 1(c)]. Since the five pairs $(X, \nu^*g_6^2)$ are general, the result follows. \square

Lemma 5.3. Given $u \in \tilde{U}$ lying over $0 \in T$, there is a connected Zariski open neighborhood $\tilde{U}^\circ \subseteq \tilde{U}$ of u such that \mathcal{S}_v is irreducible for all $v \in \tilde{U}^\circ$, and smooth unless v lies over 0. Consequently $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{U}^\circ}$ is integral.

Proof. By [Wel81, (8.13)], if the image of $X \rightarrow g_6^{2\vee}$ is admissible (see the proof of Lemma 5.2), then the special surfaces obtained from g_6^2 in $\tilde{X}^{(6)}$ are smooth. As in the proof of Lemma 5.2, general nodal plane sextics are admissible. The same argument applies to a general curve of genus 6 together with a g_6^2 , so \mathcal{S}_v is smooth for most $v \in \tilde{U}$ provided that T and R are sufficiently general. We let \tilde{U}° be the connected component of \tilde{U} containing u minus the points v where \mathcal{S}_v is singular.

Since $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is finite, flat and generically étale, \mathcal{S} satisfies Serre's conditions R_0 and S_1 (in fact it is Cohen-Macaulay), so \mathcal{S} is reduced. The previous paragraph then implies that $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{U}^\circ}$ is integral. \square

5.5. Third base change. In order to embed the surfaces we constructed into the theta divisors of our family, we need to choose lifts of divisors of the residual g_4^1 that we will then add to lifts of the divisors of the g_6^2 to obtain lifts of canonical divisors. For this we need to introduce a third base change, defined as follows. Taking the g_4^1 residual to each g_6^2 determines, in a completely analogous way, a family $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ of 1-dimensional special subvarieties in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{U}}^{(4)}$. The morphism $C \rightarrow g_4^{1\vee}$ determined by the image $g_6^2 = K_C - g_4^1 \in U$ of $u \in \tilde{U}$ is generically unramified, so $\mathcal{D}_u \rightarrow g_4^1$ is also generically unramified, which means \mathcal{D}_u is generically smooth. Given a general divisor $D \in \mathcal{D}_u$, there is a connected curve $\tilde{T} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{U}^\circ}$ containing D such that $\tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{U}^\circ$ is étale. Such a curve can be obtained, for instance, by choosing a hyperplane section of \mathcal{D} (in some projective embedding) which meets the smooth locus of \mathcal{D}_u transversely, then removing the ramification locus from a component which contains D .

5.6. The family of surfaces. The natural embedding of $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{T}}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{T}}^{(10)}$ induces a rational map $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{T}} \rightarrow \Theta_{\tilde{T}}$, defined on the open subset $\mathcal{S}^\circ \subset \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{T}}$ of divisors avoiding the nodes. Note that $\mathcal{S}_E^\circ \neq \emptyset$ for all $E \in \tilde{T}$, and $\mathcal{S}_E^\circ = \mathcal{S}_E$ unless E lies over $0 \in T$. There is a dense open subset $\tilde{T}^\circ \subset \tilde{T}$ such that the image of the birational morphism $\mathcal{S}_t \rightarrow \Theta_t$ is smooth for all $t \in \tilde{T}^\circ$. Since $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow U$ is flat, the image of $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{T}^\circ} \rightarrow \Theta_{\tilde{T}^\circ}$ is flat over \tilde{T}° . The (scheme-theoretic) closure \mathcal{V} of the image of \mathcal{S}° in $\Theta_{\tilde{T}}$ agrees with that of $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{T}^\circ}$, because \mathcal{S}° is integral. In particular $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ is flat [Har77, III, 9.8].

6. A NODAL FIBER

In this section we determine the fiber $V \subset \Theta_0$ of \mathcal{V} (see Paragraph 5.6) over $D \in \tilde{T}$.

6.1. Notation. Let $P \rightarrow A_0$ and $\tilde{B} \rightarrow \Theta_0$ be the normalizations. Recall from [Paragraph 4.3](#) that $P \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle with distinguished sections B_0 and B_∞ , and $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ is the blowup along $\Xi \cap \Xi_\beta$ for the extension datum $\beta \in B$. If $p, q \in \tilde{X}$ lie over one of the nodes of \tilde{C} , we may assume that $\beta = [p, q']$ (the other choice $[p', q]$ corresponds to relabelling B_0 and B_∞) [[IW18](#), Proposition 1.5].

There is a unique divisor in the pencil $|\pi_*D|$ passing through the node of C ; the corresponding divisor on the normalization X can be written as π_*E where $E = p + q' + u + v$ for some $u, v \in \tilde{X}$. Since D is general it can be identified with a divisor on \tilde{X} . Replacing v by v' if necessary, we may assume that D and E belong to the same curve $\tilde{Y} \subset \tilde{X}^{(4)}$ (among the two curves tetragonally related to \tilde{X} via $|\pi_*D|$). The divisor $F := p + q' + u' + v'$ also belongs to \tilde{Y} . Let $d, e, f \in \tilde{Y}$ correspond to $D, E, F \in \tilde{X}^{(4)}$. If g_Y denotes the g_4^1 on Y , then $h^0(g_Y - \pi_*(e + f)) > 0$.

Lemma 6.1. The special subvariety V_{d+e+f} is smooth.

Proof. Set $g_5^1 := |\omega_Y(-\pi_*(d + e + f))|$, and suppose for a moment that $Y \rightarrow g_5^{1\vee}$ is a well-defined morphism with only simple ramification. By Welters' criterion [[Wel81](#), (8.13)], the associated special subvarieties $S_1, S_2 \subset \tilde{Y}^{(5)}$ are smooth. We may assume that V_{d+e+f} is the image of S_1 . If some pencil in $\tilde{Y}^{(5)}$ meets S_1 , its image in $Y^{(5)}$ must be g_5^1 , so the pencil must be all of S_1 . This is not possible: if a divisor $p_1 + \dots + p_5$ belongs to S_1 , so does $p'_1 + p'_2 + \dots + p_5$, hence $p_1 + p_2$ is linearly equivalent to $p'_1 + p'_2$ and \tilde{Y} is hyperelliptic, which contradicts our genericity assumptions. Therefore S_1 maps isomorphically onto V_{d+e+f} .

It remains to show that $Y \rightarrow g_5^{1\vee}$ has simple ramification. For this, we just need the pair (Y, g_5^1) , or equivalently $(Y, \pi_*(d + e + f))$, to be sufficiently general [[ACG11](#), XXI, (11.9)].

The data $(X, \pi_*(p + q))$ is in finite correspondence with the data $(Y, \pi_*(e + f))$. So, for a general choice of $(X, \pi_*(p + q))$, $(Y, \pi_*(e + f))$ will also be general. We can then choose d to be a general point on \tilde{Y} . \square

6.2. The central fiber. We will show that V is birational to

$$W := \Xi_{[d,e]} \cap \Xi_{[d,f]} = (\Xi \cap \Xi_{[u,v]}) + [d, f] \subset B$$

(see [Paragraph 1.6](#)). Since X is general, we may assume that $[u, v], [p, q'] \in B$ are too [[Iza95](#), 4.6]. This implies that W and $\Xi \cap \Xi_\beta$ are smooth by a Bertini-style argument.

Lemma 6.2. The projection $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W} &\rightarrow W, \\ \Delta \cap \tilde{W} &\rightarrow V_{d+e+f}, \\ \tilde{\Xi} \cap \tilde{W} &\rightarrow W_d, \\ \tilde{\Xi}_\beta \cap \tilde{W} &\rightarrow W_d + \beta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta \subset \tilde{B}$ is the exceptional divisor and $\tilde{Z} \subset \tilde{B}$ denotes the proper transform whenever $Z \subset B$.

Proof. Note that W_d and $W_d + \beta$ are the embeddings of \tilde{Y}_λ in W , as $\beta = [e, f]$ (see [Paragraph 1.6](#)). By [Proposition 3.4](#), $W_d \cap (W_d + \beta) = \emptyset$. It follows by [Lemma 1.2\(b\)](#) and [\(c\)](#) that

$$\Xi \cap \Xi_\beta \cap W = \Xi \cap \Xi_{[e,f]} \cap \Xi_{[d,e]} \cap \Xi_{[d,f]} = (V_{d+e+f} \cup W_d) \cap (V_{d+e+f} \cup W_d + \beta) = V_{d+e+f}.$$

Next note that

$$W = (W \setminus W_d) \cup (W \setminus W_d + \beta)$$

and

$$V_{d+e+f} = (V_{d+e+f} \setminus W_d) \cup (V_{d+e+f} \setminus W_d + \beta).$$

Now $V_{d+e+f} \setminus W_d$ is a Cartier divisor in $W \setminus W_d$, and $V_{d+e+f} \setminus W_d + \beta$ is a Cartier divisor in $W \setminus W_d + \beta$. This implies that $\Xi \cap \Xi_\beta \cap W = V_{d+e+f}$ is a Cartier divisor in W , giving the first two isomorphisms.

Moreover $(\tilde{\Xi} \cap \tilde{W}) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow (\Xi \cap W) \setminus (\Xi \cap \Xi_\beta) = W_d \setminus V_{d+e+f}$ is an isomorphism. Since V_{d+e+f} is smooth, Ξ intersects W transversely along $V_{d+e+f} \setminus W_d$, which means $\tilde{\Xi} \cap \tilde{W} = \tilde{W}_d \xrightarrow{\cong} W_d$ as sets and also generically as schemes. Next, the isomorphism holds scheme-theoretically everywhere because $\tilde{\Xi} \cap \tilde{W}$ is a generically smooth Cartier divisor in the smooth variety \tilde{W} , so it is reduced. The fourth isomorphism is similar. \square

Lemma 6.3. V contains the image $\overline{W} \subset \Theta_0$ of $\tilde{W} \subset \tilde{B}$.

Proof. First note that $W = (\Xi \cap \Xi_{[u,v]}) + [d, f] = V_{u+v} + \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(D - F')$ by [Lemma 1.2\(a\)](#) and [Paragraph 1.6](#). Thus, a general point $\overline{L} \in \overline{W}$ corresponds to exactly one $L \in W \setminus V_{d+e+f}$, which can be represented by

$$G + u + v + D - F' = G + D - p' - q$$

for some divisor $G \in \tilde{X}^{(6)}$ supported away from the nodes with $\pi_*G \in \nu^*g_6^2$. By abuse of notation we can think of G as a divisor on \tilde{C} , in which case $\pi_*G \in g_6^2$ and $G + D \in \mathcal{S}^\circ$.

Let $\Theta_0^\circ \cong \tilde{B} \setminus (\tilde{\Xi} \cup \tilde{\Xi}_\beta)$ be the smooth locus of Θ_0 . The map $\Theta_0^\circ \hookrightarrow \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ is induced by $\nu^* : \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X})$. By choosing appropriate theta characteristics on \tilde{C} and \tilde{X} , it can be taken to send $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(G + D) \in V$ to $L \in W$ (we could have chosen $G + D - p - q'$ instead; however $G + D - p - q$ and $G + D - p' - q'$ have the wrong parity). It follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(G + D) = \overline{L}$. This shows that V contains an open subset of \overline{W} , and hence all of \overline{W} . \square

Lemma 6.4. The Hilbert polynomial of V is $\chi(\mathcal{O}_V(n\Theta_0)) = 20n^2 - 40n + 22$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ is flat, it suffices to compute the Hilbert polynomial of \mathcal{V}_t for any $t \in \tilde{T}^\circ$. For simplicity set $Z := \mathcal{C}_t$ and let $G \in \tilde{Z}^{(4)}$ correspond to t , so that $\mathcal{V}_t = V_G$. The special surface $S \subset \tilde{Z}^{(6)}$ associated to $g_4^1 := |\pi_*G|$ has two components; let S_1 be the one whose image is V_G . Since $S_1 \rightarrow V_G$ is a birational morphism between smooth varieties, their Hilbert polynomials are

the same. We will show that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S(n\Theta_t)) = 40n^2 - 80n + 44$, which gives the result (after some algebra) because the calculation also works on each of the two curves tetragonally related to Z via g_4^1 . Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S(2n\Theta_t)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S(n\tilde{\Theta}))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\tilde{\Theta}$ is a theta divisor for $\text{Pic}^6(\tilde{Z})$, it suffices to show that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S(n\tilde{\Theta})) = 160n^2 - 160n + 44$.

Let $\iota : g_6^2 \hookrightarrow Z^{(6)}$ be the embedding of the g_6^2 residual to g_4^1 , with $\rho : S \rightarrow g_6^2$ and $\tilde{\iota} : S \hookrightarrow \tilde{Z}^{(6)}$ the associated projections. Since $\pi^{(6)} : \tilde{Z}^{(6)} \rightarrow Z^{(6)}$ is an affine morphism, $\pi_*^{(6)}$ commutes with arbitrary base change. In particular $\iota^* \pi_*^{(6)} H = \rho_* \tilde{\iota}^* H$ for $H := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}^{(6)}}(n\tilde{\Theta})$. Since $R^i \rho_* = 0$ for $i > 0$

$$(6.1) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S(n\tilde{\Theta})) = \chi(\iota^* \pi_*^{(6)} H) = \int_{|L|} \text{ch}(\iota^* \pi_*^{(6)} H) \text{td}(|L|) = \int_{|L|} \iota^* \text{ch}(\pi_*^{(6)} H) \text{td}(|L|).$$

By Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch

$$(6.2) \quad \text{ch}(\pi_*^{(6)} H) = \pi_*^{(6)}(\text{ch}(H) \text{td}(\tilde{Z}^{(6)})) \cdot \text{td}(Z^{(6)})^{-1}.$$

The Chern classes of symmetric products are well-known [ACGH85, VII, (5.4)]. In particular

$$c(\tilde{Z}^{(6)}) = 1 - 4\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{\theta} + 10\tilde{\eta}^2 + 5\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}^2 + \dots,$$

(see Lemma 8.1 for notation) and hence

$$\text{td}(\tilde{Z}^{(6)}) = 1 - 2\tilde{\eta} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta} + \frac{13}{6}\tilde{\eta}^2 + \frac{13}{12}\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\theta} + \frac{1}{8}\tilde{\theta}^2 + \dots.$$

Since

$$\text{ch}(H) = 1 + n\tilde{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}n^2\tilde{\theta}^2 + \dots,$$

it follows that

$$\text{ch}(H) \text{td}(\tilde{Z}^{(6)}) = 1 - 2\tilde{\eta} + \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\tilde{\theta} + \frac{13}{6}\tilde{\eta}^2 + \left(-2n + \frac{13}{12}\right)\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\theta} + \left(\frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{8}\right)\tilde{\theta}^2 + \dots,$$

so by Lemma 8.1

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*^{(6)}(\text{ch}(H) \text{td}(\tilde{Z}^{(6)})) &= 64 + (160n - 144)\eta + (32n - 16)\theta + \left(160n^2 - 320n + \frac{484}{3}\right)\eta^2 \\ &\quad + \left(80n^2 - 112n + \frac{112}{3}\right)\eta\theta + (8n^2 - 8n + 2)\theta^2 + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Again a general formula gives

$$c(Z^{(6)}) = 1 + \eta - \theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 + \dots,$$

and hence

$$\text{td}(Z^{(6)})^{-1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\eta + \frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{1}{6}\eta^2 - \frac{1}{3}\eta\theta + \frac{1}{8}\theta^2 + \dots.$$

Using (6.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\pi_*^{(6)} H) &= 64 + (160n - 176) \eta + (32n + 16) \theta + (160n^2 - 400n + 244) \eta^2 \\ &\quad + (80n^2 - 48n - 48) \eta \theta + (8n^2 + 8n + 2) \theta^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The class of a linear system in $Z^{(d)}$ can be computed using a special case of the secant plane formula [ACGH85, VIII, (3.2)]. After simplifying (using [Mac62, (6.3)]), the class of $|L|$ in $Z^{(6)}$ is

$$10\eta^4 - 4\eta^3\theta + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2\theta^2.$$

It follows that the degree of $\iota^* \text{ch}(\pi_*^{(6)} H)$ is $160n^2 - 400n + 244$. The class of a pencil in $|L|$ is

$$-5\eta^5 + \eta^4\theta,$$

so the intersection of $\iota^* \text{ch}(\pi_*^{(6)} H)$ with a line has degree $160n - 176$. The class of a point is obviously η^6 , so the codimension 0 term of $\iota^* \text{ch}(\pi_*^{(6)} H)$ has degree 64. Therefore

$$\iota^* \text{ch}(\pi_*^{(6)} H) = 64 + (160n - 176) h + (160n^2 - 400n + 244) h^2,$$

where $h := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{|L|}(1))$. Finally (6.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathcal{O}_S(n\tilde{\Theta})) &= \int_{|L|} (64 + (160n - 176) h + (160n^2 - 400n + 244) h^2) \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}h + h^2\right) \\ &= \int_{|L|} 64 + (160n - 80) h + (160n^2 - 160n + 44) h^2 \\ &= 160n^2 - 160n + 44, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Proposition 6.5. $V = \overline{W}$.

Proof. Since $\overline{W} \subseteq V$, it suffices to show that the Hilbert polynomial of \overline{W} is also $20n^2 - 40n + 22$. The projection $\tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ factors through $P \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$. Moreover \tilde{B} moves in a pencil of divisors on P spanned by $B_0 + \varphi^{-1}(\Xi_\beta)$ and $B_\infty + \varphi^{-1}(\Xi)$ [IW18, 1.2]. Therefore \tilde{B} is polarized by

$$(B_0 + \varphi^{-1}(\Xi_\beta))|_{\tilde{B}} = \tilde{\Xi} + \tilde{\Xi}_\beta + \Delta.$$

This restricts to a divisor on \tilde{W} , which, by Lemma 6.2, can be identified with the divisor

$$(6.3) \quad \Phi := W_d + (W_d + \beta) + V_{d+e+f} = W_d + (\Xi_\beta \cap W)$$

on W . Write ξ for the restriction of $[\Xi]$ to W , considered as an algebraic equivalence class, and let ζ be the class of a point. Note that $\xi^2 = 4!\zeta = 24\zeta$. The normal bundle sequence for $W \hookrightarrow B$ gives

$$\text{td}(W) = \text{td}(\mathcal{O}_B(\Xi))^{-2} = \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2} + 2\zeta\right)^{-2} = 1 - \xi + 14\zeta.$$

By [Lemma 1.2\(d\)](#) and [\(6.3\)](#), $[\Phi] = \xi + \omega$, where $\omega := [W_d]$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}[\Phi]^2 = \frac{1}{2}(24\zeta + 2\xi\omega) = 12\zeta + \xi\omega = 20\zeta$$

(see [Theorem 2.1](#)), which gives

$$\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_W(n\Phi)) \text{td}(W) = (1 + (\xi + \omega)n + 20\zeta n^2)(1 - \xi + 14\zeta).$$

The coefficient of ζ in this expression is

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_W(n\Phi)) = 20n^2 - (\xi + \omega)\xi n + 14 = 20n^2 - 32n + 14.$$

The quotient map $W \xrightarrow{\psi} \overline{W}$ identifies the disjoint curves W_d and $W_d + \beta$. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}} \rightarrow \psi_*\mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow \psi_*\mathcal{O}_{W_d} \rightarrow 0.$$

Twisting by $\Psi := \Theta_0|_{\overline{W}}$ and using the projection formula gives

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}(n\Psi) \rightarrow \psi_*\mathcal{O}_W(n\Phi) \rightarrow \psi_*\mathcal{O}_{W_d}(n\Phi) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $n\Phi$ has degree $8n$ on the genus 9 curve W_d , the Hilbert polynomial of \overline{W} is

$$20n^2 - 32n + 14 - (8n - 8) = 20n^2 - 40n + 22,$$

as required. □

Lemma 6.6. If $\xi := [\Xi]$ and $\omega := [W_d]$, then

$$[\widetilde{W}] = (\xi^2, \xi - \omega) \text{ in } \text{CH}_2^{\text{alg}}(\widetilde{B}) = \text{CH}_2^{\text{alg}}(B) \oplus \text{CH}_1^{\text{alg}}(\Xi \cap \Xi_\beta),$$

where CH_d^{alg} is the group of d -dimensional algebraic cycles modulo algebraic equivalence. Consequently $[\widetilde{W}]^2 = 16$.

Proof. Let $\varphi : \widetilde{B} \rightarrow B$ be the blowup and $\psi : \Delta \rightarrow \Xi \cap \Xi_\beta$ its restriction to Δ . By standard properties of blowing up [[Bea77b](#), 0.1.3.ii]

$$[\widetilde{W}] = (\varphi_*[\widetilde{W}], \psi_*([\widetilde{W}]|_\Delta)) = ([W], [V_{d+e+f}]) = (\xi^2, \xi - \omega).$$

Therefore, by [Proposition 3.4](#),

$$[\widetilde{W}]^2 = \int_B \xi^4 + \int_\Delta c_1(\mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta))\psi^*(\xi - \omega)^2 = 4! - \int_{\Xi \cap \Xi_\beta} \xi^2 - 2\xi\omega + \omega^2 = 2 \int_B \frac{\xi^4}{3} = 16,$$

as required. □

7. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proposition 7.1. If $t \in \tilde{T}$ and Θ_t is smooth, then $[\mathcal{V}_t]^2 = 16$.

Proof. The class $[\mathcal{V}] \in \text{CH}_3(\Theta_{\tilde{T}})$ defines a family of 0-cycle classes (i.e., a 1-cycle) $[\mathcal{V}]^2 \in \text{CH}_1(\Theta_{\tilde{T}})$ over \tilde{T} , and $[\mathcal{V}_t]^2$ is the degree of the specialization of $[\mathcal{V}]^2$ at t [Ful84, 10.1]. Since $\Theta_{\tilde{T}} \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ is flat, specialization at t is the same as restricting to Θ_t , for any $t \in \tilde{T}$. We can specialize $[\mathcal{V}]^2$ to the central fiber, but since Θ_0 is singular the meaning of $[V]^2$ is not clear.

To remedy this, we pass to the operational Chow rings $\text{CH}^*(\Theta_D)$ and $\text{CH}^*(\Theta_{\tilde{T}})$, which act on $\text{CH}_*(\Theta_D)$ and $\text{CH}_*(\Theta_{\tilde{T}})$ via cap product. There is a unique ‘‘Poincaré dual’’ $\nu \in \text{CH}^2(\Theta_{\tilde{T}})$ such that $\nu \cap [\Theta_{\tilde{T}}] = [\mathcal{V}]$ [Ful84, 17.4]. Using the cap product on Chow groups [Ful84, 8.1] for the inclusion $\iota : \Theta_0 = \Theta_D \hookrightarrow \Theta_{\tilde{T}}$, one checks that $\iota^* \nu \cap [\Theta_D] = [V]$ and $\iota^* \nu^2 \cap [\Theta_D] = \iota^* [\mathcal{V}]^2$. It follows that

$$\int_{\Theta_t} [\mathcal{V}_t]^2 = \int_{\Theta_D} \iota^* [\mathcal{V}]^2 = \int_{\Theta_D} \iota^* \nu \cap [V] = \int_{\Theta_D} \iota^* \nu \cap \psi_* [\widetilde{W}] = \int_{\tilde{B}} \psi^* \iota^* \nu \cap [\widetilde{W}] = \int_{\tilde{B}} (\iota\psi)^* [\mathcal{V}] \cdot [\widetilde{W}],$$

where $\psi : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \Theta_0$ denotes the normalization. If $\delta := (\iota\psi)^* [\mathcal{V}] - [\widetilde{W}]$ then

$$\psi_* \delta = \psi_* (\psi^* \iota^* \nu \cap [\tilde{B}]) - \psi_* [\widetilde{W}] = \iota^* \nu \cap [\Theta_D] - [V] = 0.$$

Let $U := \tilde{B} \setminus (\tilde{\Xi} \amalg \tilde{\Xi}_\beta)$ be the smooth locus of Θ_0 , and consider the localization sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \text{CH}(U, 1) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}(\tilde{\Xi} \amalg \tilde{\Xi}_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}(\tilde{B}) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}(U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_{\Xi*} & & \downarrow \psi_* & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{CH}(U, 1) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}(\Xi) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}(\Theta_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}(U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

(here $\text{CH}(U, 1)$ is one of Bloch’s higher Chow groups [Blo94], but all we need is a group depending only on U , which is easy to construct with a little thought). Since $\psi_* \delta = 0$, the diagram implies $\delta|_U = 0$, hence one can find $\gamma \in \text{CH}_2(\tilde{\Xi} \amalg \tilde{\Xi}_\beta)$ mapping to $\delta \in \text{CH}_2(\tilde{B})$. After possibly subtracting an element of $\text{CH}(U, 1)$, we may assume that $\psi_{\Xi*} \gamma = 0 \in \text{CH}_2(\Xi)$. Lemma 6.2 implies that

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \delta \cdot [\widetilde{W}] = \int_{\tilde{\Xi} \amalg \tilde{\Xi}_\beta} \gamma \cdot [\widetilde{W}]|_{\tilde{\Xi} \amalg \tilde{\Xi}_\beta} = \int_{\Xi} \psi_{\Xi*} \gamma \cdot [W_d] = 0.$$

Therefore $[\mathcal{V}_t]^2 = [\widetilde{W}]^2 = 16$, as required. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. For a very general ppav (A, Θ) , one constructs a degeneration as above to get $[\tilde{X}_\lambda]^2 = 16$ for every Prym-curve X . The other intersection numbers are given by Theorem 2.1.

If X and Y are Prym-curves corresponding to lines E and F on a cubic surface, then Theorem 2.1 says that $[\tilde{X}_\lambda] \cdot [\tilde{Y}_\lambda] = 2(7 - E \cdot F)$. Choose mutually unrelated Prym-curves X_1, \dots, X_6 and another one Y related to two of the X_i . The argument of Corollary 3.5 shows that the lattice \mathbb{L} spanned by classes of the form $[\tilde{X}_{i\lambda}] - [\tilde{Y}_\lambda]$ is isometric to $\mathbf{E}_6(2)$, and therefore spans $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$. It is straightforward to

check, e.g., by the nondegeneracy of the pairing, that \mathbb{L} contains $[\tilde{X}_\lambda] - [\tilde{Z}_\lambda]$ for any two Prym-curves X and Z . The \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned by the $[\tilde{X}_\lambda]$ is at least 7-dimensional, as $[\tilde{X}_\lambda] \notin \mathbb{K}$, so it has to be $\mathbb{Q}[\Theta]^2 + \mathbb{K}_\mathbb{Q}^+$. \square

8. APPENDIX

8.1. Cohomological Calculations. Let X be a smooth curve of genus 6 and $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ an étale double cover.

Lemma 8.1. Let $\eta, \theta \in H^2(X^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z})$ be, respectively, the class of $X^{(5)}$ (plus a point), and the class of the polarization inherited from $\text{Pic}^6(X)$. If $\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\theta} \in H^2(\tilde{X}^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z})$ are the corresponding classes for \tilde{X} , then $\pi_*^{(6)} : H^*(\tilde{X}^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(X^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z})$ has the following description:

α	$\tilde{\eta}$	$\tilde{\theta}$	$\tilde{\eta}^2$	$\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\theta}$	$\tilde{\theta}^2$
$\pi_*^{(6)}\alpha$	32η	$32(5\eta + \theta)$	$16\eta^2$	$16\eta(5\eta + \theta)$	$16(20\eta^2 + 10\eta\theta + \theta^2)$

Proof. Let $(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_6, \mu_6)$ be a symplectic basis for $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ (with $\lambda_i \cdot \mu_i = 1$ for all i). Using the picture in [BL04, Proposition 12.4.2] we can construct a symplectic basis

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\mu}_1, \lambda_2^+, \mu_2^+, \lambda_2^-, \mu_2^-, \dots, \lambda_6^+, \mu_6^+, \lambda_6^-, \mu_6^-$$

for $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\pi_* : H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ sends $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \mapsto 2\lambda_1$ and $\tilde{\mu}_1 \mapsto \mu_1$, while the λ_i^\pm and μ_i^\pm are sent to λ_i and μ_i respectively. Let $\rho_k : X^6 \rightarrow X$ be the projections, and note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^6 \rho_k^* \lambda_i, \sum_{k=1}^6 \rho_k^* \mu_i \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^6 \rho_k^* (\lambda_i \mu_i)$$

all descend to classes in $H^*(X^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z})$. We denote the first two by ξ_i and ζ_i respectively; the latter is independent of i and descends to η [Mac62, (3.1) and (14.2)]. Moreover $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^6 \sigma_i$, where $\sigma_i := \xi_i \zeta_i$. Similarly $\tilde{\theta}$ is the sum of $\tilde{\sigma}_1 := \tilde{\xi}_1 \tilde{\zeta}_1$ and the $\sigma_i^\pm := \xi_i^\pm \zeta_i^\pm$.

Since $H^*(X^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free [Mac62, (12.3)], while $H^*(X^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^*(X^6, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(X^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z})$ is multiplication by $6!$ (and likewise for \tilde{X}), we can compute $\pi_*^{(6)}$ using

$$\pi_*^{\times 6} : H^*(\tilde{X}^6, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(X^6, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that the cross product is natural for morphisms of even relative (real) dimension (up to a sign in general) [Spa94, 5.3.10 and 5.6.21]. In particular $\pi_*^{(6)} \tilde{\eta}$ corresponds to

$$\sum_{k=1}^6 \pi_*^{\times 6} \tilde{\rho}_k^* (\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\mu}_1) = \sum_{k=1}^6 \rho_1^* (\pi_* 1) \cdots \rho_k^* (\pi_* (\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\mu}_1)) \cdots \rho_6^* (\pi_* 1) = 32 \sum_{k=1}^6 \rho_k^* (\lambda_1 \mu_1),$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_k : \tilde{X}^6 \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ are the projections. Therefore $\pi_*^{(6)}\tilde{\eta} = 32\eta$. Similarly $\pi_*^{(6)}\tilde{\sigma}_1 = 32\sigma_1$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{l=1}^6 \pi_*^{\times 6}(\tilde{\rho}_k^* \tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\rho}_l^* \tilde{\mu}_1) &= \sum_{k=1}^6 \left(\pi_*^{\times 6} \tilde{\rho}_k^*(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\mu}_1) + \sum_{l \neq k} \pi_*^{\times 6}(\tilde{\rho}_k^* \tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\rho}_l^* \tilde{\mu}_1) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^6 \left(32 \rho_k^*(\lambda_1 \mu_1) + 16 \sum_{l \neq k} \rho_k^*(2\lambda_1) \rho_l^* \mu_1 \right) \\ &= 32 \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{l=1}^6 \rho_k^* \lambda_1 \rho_l^* \mu_1. \end{aligned}$$

The calculation for $\pi_*^{(6)}\sigma_i^\pm = 16(\eta + \sigma_i)$ is almost the same, but the terms with $l = k$ and $l \neq k$ have different coefficients (since $2\lambda_1$ becomes λ_i). The sum of the $l \neq k$ terms with $\frac{1}{2}$ times the $l = k$ terms descends to $16\sigma_i$. We are left with

$$16 \sum_{k=1}^6 \rho_k^*(\lambda_i \mu_i),$$

which descends to 16η . Adding these up for all i gives the required formula for $\pi_*^{(6)}\tilde{\theta}$.

The projection formula implies that $\pi^*(\lambda_1 \mu_1) = 2\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\mu}_1$, so $\pi^{(6)*}\eta = 2\tilde{\eta}$ by definition. Therefore

$$\pi_*^{(6)}(2\tilde{\eta}^2) = \pi_*^{(6)}(\tilde{\eta} \pi^{(6)*}\eta) = 32\eta^2,$$

giving the desired formula for $\pi_*^{(6)}(\tilde{\eta}^2)$. The calculation for $\pi_*^{(6)}(\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\theta})$ is similar.

Computing $\pi_*^{(6)}(\tilde{\theta}^2)$ is more complicated. If $i \neq j$ then $\sigma_i^\pm \sigma_j^\pm$ corresponds to

$$(8.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{l=1}^6 \sum_{m=1}^6 \sum_{n=1}^6 \tilde{\rho}_k^* \lambda_i^\pm \tilde{\rho}_l^* \mu_i^\pm \tilde{\rho}_m^* \lambda_j^\pm \tilde{\rho}_n^* \mu_j^\pm.$$

Let \tilde{S} be the sum of the terms in (8.1) whose indices are distinct. Also let \tilde{T} , \tilde{U} and \tilde{V} the sums of those for which $k = l$, $m = n$, or both (with all the other pairs distinct). The remaining terms of (8.1) all vanish (e.g. when $k = m$, such a term contains $\rho_k^*(\lambda_i^\pm \lambda_j^\pm) = 0$), so $\tilde{S} + \tilde{T} + \tilde{U} + \tilde{V}$ descends to $\sigma_i^\pm \sigma_j^\pm$. Moreover, for degree reasons

$$\tilde{V} = \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{m \neq k} \tilde{\rho}_k^*(\lambda_i^\pm \mu_i^\pm) \tilde{\rho}_m^*(\lambda_j^\pm \mu_j^\pm) = \sum_{k=1}^6 \sum_{m=1}^6 \tilde{\rho}_k^*(\lambda_i^\pm \mu_i^\pm) \tilde{\rho}_m^*(\lambda_j^\pm \mu_j^\pm)$$

descends to $\tilde{\eta}^2$. Similarly, $\tilde{T} + \tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{U} + \tilde{V}$ correspond to $\tilde{\eta}\sigma_i^\pm$ and $\sigma_i^\pm \tilde{\eta}$ respectively.

Define $S, T, U, V \in H^4(X^{(6)}, \mathbb{Z})$ in an analogous way. Since each term in \tilde{S} involves four distinct projections (with two missing), $\pi_*^{\times 6}\tilde{S} = 4S$. Applying this reasoning to the other sums gives

$$\pi_*^{\times 6}(\tilde{S} + \tilde{T} + \tilde{U} + \tilde{V}) = 4S + 8(T + U) + 16V = 4((S + T + U + V) + (T + V) + (U + V) + V),$$

and hence

$$\pi_*^{(6)}(\sigma_i^\pm \sigma_j^\pm) = 4(\sigma_i \sigma_j + \eta \sigma_j + \sigma_i \eta + \eta^2) = 4(\eta + \sigma_i)(\eta + \sigma_j).$$

The same formula clearly works for $\sigma_i^\pm \sigma_j^\mp$. However, it breaks down for $\sigma_i^\pm \sigma_i^\mp$, because the corresponding class in $H^4(X^6, \mathbb{Z})$ has extra terms with $k = n$ and $l = m$ (so $S + T + U + V$ does not correspond to σ_i^2). In this case (i.e., when $i = j$), swapping k and m takes each term of S to its negative, so $S = 0$. On the other hand, $T + V$ and $U + V$ both correspond to $\eta\sigma_i$. It follows that

$$\pi_*^{(6)}(\sigma_i^\pm \sigma_i^\mp) = 8\eta\sigma_i + 8\eta\sigma_i = 16\eta\sigma_i,$$

because it corresponds to $8(T + U) + 16V = 8(T + V) + 8(U + V)$.

The calculation for $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \sigma_j^\pm$ is also a little different, because S and U pick up a factor of two when pushing forward $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ (T and V do not because they involve $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\mu}_1$ instead). In other words

$$\pi_*^{\times 6}(\tilde{S} + \tilde{T} + \tilde{U} + \tilde{V}) = 8(S + T) + 16(U + V) = 8((S + T + U + V) + (U + V))$$

and hence

$$\pi_*^{(6)}(\tilde{\sigma}_1 \sigma_j^\pm) = 8(\sigma_1 \sigma_j + \sigma_1 \eta) = 8\sigma_1(\eta + \sigma_j).$$

The formula for $\pi_*^{(6)}(\tilde{\theta}^2)$ can be found by adding all of these terms. □

Remark 8.2. The general formula, for a curve X of genus g , is

$$\pi_*^{(d)}(\tilde{\eta}^p \tilde{\theta}^q) = 2^{d-p-q} \sum_{l=0}^q \binom{g-1}{q-l} \frac{q!}{l!} \eta^{p+q-l} \theta^l.$$

This can be proved by generalizing the above argument (see [Con19] for details).

8.2. The ranks of \mathbb{K}^+ and \mathbb{K}^- . Although the results of this Paragraph follow from [Paragraph 8.3](#), we feel that it is worth including because the proof here is considerably simpler than the general calculation of Hodge numbers in [Paragraph 8.3](#) and also provides an independent verification of [Paragraph 8.3](#).

We know that $\Theta \hookrightarrow A$ satisfies the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for $H^*(-, \mathbb{Q})$. Since pullback and Gysin maps on cohomology are (-1) -equivariant, the theorem also holds for $H^*(-, \mathbb{Q})^+$:

Proposition 8.3. (1) For $n < g - 1$, $H^n(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})^+ \leftarrow H^n(A, \mathbb{Q})^+$ is an isomorphism.

(2) For $g - 1 < n \leq 2g - 2$, $H^n(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})^+ \rightarrow H^{n+2}(A, \mathbb{Q})^+$ is an isomorphism.

(3) For $n = g - 1$, the pullback $H^{g-1}(A, \mathbb{Q})^+ \rightarrow H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})^+$ is an injection. □

Let $A[2]$ be the set of 2-torsion points of A , and put $\Theta[2] := A[2] \cap \Theta$. We have $\#A[2] = 2^{2g}$ and, since Θ is smooth, $\#\Theta[2] = 2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$. Also let $A \xrightarrow{\pi} A^+$ and $\Theta \xrightarrow{\pi} \Theta^+$ be the quotients of A and Θ by -1 .

Lemma 8.4. $\chi(A^+) = 2^{2g-1}$ and $\chi(\Theta^+) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{g-1}g! + 2^{g-2}(2^g - 1)$

Proof. It is well-known that $\chi(A) = 0$ and $\chi(\Theta) = (-1)^{g-1}g!$. Since A is a double cover of A^+ ramified at 2^{2g} points, $\chi(A) = 2\chi(A^+) - 2^{2g}$ and hence $\chi(A^+) = 2^{2g-1}$. Similarly

$$\chi(\Theta^+) = \frac{1}{2}(\chi(\Theta) + \#\Theta[2]) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{g-1}g! + 2^{g-2}(2^g - 1). \quad \square$$

Proposition 8.5. We have

$$\dim \frac{H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})^+}{H^{g-1}(A, \mathbb{Q})^+} = \frac{g!}{2} + (-1)^g 2^{g-2}(2^g + 1) + \begin{cases} -\binom{2g}{g} & g \text{ even} \\ \binom{2g}{g+1} & g \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By [Mac62, (1.2)] and the injectivity of Proposition 8.3,

$$\dim \frac{H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})^+}{H^{g-1}(A, \mathbb{Q})^+} = h^{g-1}(\Theta^+) - h^{g-1}(A^+).$$

We have $2(\chi(A^+) - \chi(\Theta^+)) = \#A[2] - \#\Theta[2] + (-1)^g g! = 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) + (-1)^g g!$. By Proposition 8.3, we can match up all cohomology groups of Θ^+ with isomorphic cohomology groups of A^+ , with the exception of degree $g-1$ on the Θ^+ side and degrees $g-1, g, g+1$ on the A^+ side. Thus

$$\chi(A^+) - \chi(\Theta^+) = (-1)^g (h^{g-1}(\Theta^+) - h^{g-1}(A^+) + h^g(A^+) - h^{g+1}(A^+)),$$

which means

$$h^{g-1}(\Theta^+) - h^{g-1}(A^+) = (-1)^g \frac{2^{g-1}(2^g + 1) + (-1)^g g!}{2} + (-1)^g (h^{g+1}(A^+) - h^g(A^+)).$$

Finally note that $h^n(A^+) = 0$ for n odd and $h^n(A^+) = \binom{2g}{n}$ for n even. \square

Proposition 8.6.

$$\dim \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^- = \frac{g!}{2} + (-1)^{g-1}(2^{g-2}(2^g + 1)) + \begin{cases} \binom{2g}{g+1} & g \text{ even} \\ -\binom{2g}{g} & g \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

$$\dim \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^+ = \frac{g!}{2} + (-1)^g(2^{g-2}(2^g + 1)) + \begin{cases} -\binom{2g}{g} & g \text{ even} \\ \binom{2g}{g+1} & g \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Taking -1 invariants of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{g-1}(A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow 0$$

gives an isomorphism between the -1 invariant component of \mathbb{K} and $\frac{H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})^+}{H^{g-1}(A, \mathbb{Q})^+}$. On the other hand, $\dim \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}} = g! + \binom{2g}{g+1} - \binom{2g}{g}$. After subtracting and using the previous formula we get the statement. \square

8.3. The Hodge numbers of \mathbb{K}^+ and \mathbb{K}^- .

Proposition 8.7. The Hodge numbers are

$$h^{p,g-1-p}(\mathbb{K}) = \left\langle \begin{matrix} g \\ p \end{matrix} \right\rangle - \binom{g}{p} \binom{g-1}{p} + \binom{g}{p+1} \binom{g-1}{p-1},$$

where $\left\langle \begin{matrix} g \\ p \end{matrix} \right\rangle := \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{g+1}{k} (-1)^k (p+1-k)^g$ is an eulerian number (see [GKP94, (6.38)]).

Proof. The Hodge number $h^{p,g-1-p}(\mathbb{K})$ appears in

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) &= \sum_{k=0}^{g-1} (-1)^k h^{p,k}(\Theta) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{g-1-p} (-1)^k h^{p,k}(A) + (-1)^{g-1-p} h^{p,g-1-p}(\mathbb{K}) + \sum_{k=g-p}^{g-1} (-1)^k h^{p+1,k+1}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Using a standard identity [GKP94, (5.16)], the first term simplifies to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{g-1-p} (-1)^k \binom{g}{p} \binom{g}{k} = (-1)^{g-1-p} \binom{g}{p} \binom{g-1}{p},$$

and similarly $\sum_{k=g-p}^{g-1} (-1)^k h^{p+1,k+1}(A) = (-1)^{g-p} \binom{g}{p+1} \binom{g-1}{p-1}$. Next, we will compute $\chi(\Omega_{\Theta}^p)$. The conormal bundle sequence for $\Theta \subset A$ induces exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\Theta}^{p-1}(-\Theta) \rightarrow \Omega_A^p|_{\Theta} \rightarrow \Omega_{\Theta}^p \rightarrow 0$$

for all $p \in \{1, \dots, g\}$, so by induction (and the triviality of Ω_A)

$$\text{ch}(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{g}{k} (-e^{-\theta})^{p-k} |_{\Theta}.$$

Moreover $\text{td}(\Theta) = \text{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Theta}(\Theta))^{-1} = \frac{1-e^{-\theta}}{\theta} |_{\Theta}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) &= \int_{\Theta} \text{ch}(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) \text{td}(\Theta) \\ &= \int_A \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{g}{k} (-e^{-\theta})^{p-k} (1 - e^{-\theta}) \\ &= \int_A \left(\sum_{k=0}^p \binom{g+1}{k} (-e^{-\theta})^{p+1-k} + \binom{g}{p} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{g+1}{k} (-1)^{p+1-k} (k-p-1)^g, \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_A \frac{\theta^g}{g!} = \chi(\mathcal{O}_A(\Theta)) = 1$. After some rearranging, this gives the required formula. \square

A similar argument can be used to compute the Hodge numbers of \mathbb{K}^+ , as follows. Let $\tilde{\Theta} \xrightarrow{\beta} \Theta$ be the blowup of Θ at its 2-torsion points, with $\Delta \subset \tilde{\Theta}$ the exceptional divisor. If $\tilde{\Theta} \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{\Theta}^+$ is the quotient of $\tilde{\Theta}$ by the induced action of -1 , then $\pi^* : H^*(\tilde{\Theta}^+, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(\tilde{\Theta}, \mathbb{Q})^+$ is an isomorphism [Mac62, (1.2)]. Set $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(-\Delta)$. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow (\beta^* \Omega_{\Theta}^p)(1-p) \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\Theta}}^p \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta}^p \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_{\tilde{\Theta}^+}^{p+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\Theta}}^{p+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta}^p(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is straightforward to verify this by local calculations (for coordinate-free proofs, see [Con19, §1.2]). The following “dual” sequences will also be useful:

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\tilde{\Theta}} \rightarrow \beta^* T_{\Theta} \rightarrow T_{\Delta}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\tilde{\Theta}} \rightarrow \pi^* T_{\tilde{\Theta}^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 8.8. The Hodge numbers $h^{p,g-1-p}(\mathbb{K}^+)$ of \mathbb{K}^+ are

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{matrix} g \\ p \end{matrix} \right\rangle + (-1)^g \left(\binom{g}{p} \sum_{q=0}^{g-1-p} \binom{g}{q} \epsilon_{p+q} + \binom{g}{p+1} \sum_{q=g-p}^{g-1} \binom{g}{q+1} \epsilon_{p+q} - \binom{g-1}{p} \frac{2^g - 1}{2} \right),$$

where $\epsilon_k := \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^k)$ is one (resp. zero) if k is even (resp. odd).

Proof. If $p = 0$ then $h^{p,g-1-p}(\mathbb{K}^+) = h^{p,g-1-p}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. Otherwise, by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch and the above sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(\Omega_{\tilde{\Theta}^+}^p) &= 2 \int_{\tilde{\Theta}^+} \text{ch}(\Omega_{\tilde{\Theta}^+}^p) \text{td}(\tilde{\Theta}^+) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} \pi^* (\text{ch}(\Omega_{\tilde{\Theta}^+}^p) \text{td}(\tilde{\Theta}^+)) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} (\text{ch}(\Omega_{\tilde{\Theta}}^p) - \text{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^{p-1}(1))) \text{td}(\tilde{\Theta}) \text{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-2)) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} (\text{ch}(\beta^* \Omega_{\Theta}^p) \text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(1-p)) + \text{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^p) - \text{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^{p-1}(1))) \text{td}(\tilde{\Theta}) \text{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-2)). \end{aligned}$$

The first term can be computed on Θ . To be specific:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &:= \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} \text{ch}(\beta^* \Omega_{\Theta}^p) \text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(1-p)) \text{td}(\tilde{\Theta}) \text{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-2)) \\ &= \int_{\Theta} \text{ch}(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) \text{td}(\Theta) \cdot \beta_* \left(\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(1-p)) \frac{\text{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-2))}{\text{td}(T_{\Delta}(-1))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The Euler sequence and the ideal sheaf sequence for $\Delta \subset \tilde{\Theta}$ imply that

$$\mathrm{td}(T_{\Delta}(-1)) = \frac{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta})^{g-1}}{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-1))} = \frac{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(1))^{1-g}}{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(-1))},$$

and similarly $\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-2)) = \mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(-2)) \mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(-1))^{-1}$, so

$$\chi_1 = \int_{\Theta} \mathrm{ch}(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) \mathrm{td}(\Theta) \cdot \beta_*(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(1-p)) \mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(1))^{g-1} \mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(-2))).$$

Everything inside β_* is a polynomial in $h := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(1))$. Since β contracts Δ the only powers of h which survive are h^0 and h^{g-1} . Therefore

$$\chi_1 = \chi(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) - \binom{g-1}{p} \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} e^{(1-p)h} \left(\frac{h}{1-e^{-h}} \right)^{g-1} \frac{2h}{1-e^{2h}}.$$

Since $-h$ is the class of $\Delta \subset \tilde{\Theta}$, which has $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ components,

$$\chi_1 = \chi(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) - \binom{g-1}{p} 2^{g-1}(2^g - 1) \chi_2,$$

where χ_2 is the residue of

$$\frac{e^{(1-p)z}}{(1-e^{-z})^{g-1}} \cdot \frac{2}{1-e^{2z}} = -\frac{e^{(g-p)z}}{(e^z-1)^{g-1}} \cdot \frac{2}{(1-e^z)(1+e^z)} = \frac{2e^{(g-p)z}}{(e^z-1)^g(e^z+1)}$$

at 0, or equivalently (making the change of variables $w := e^z - 1$), the residue of

$$(8.2) \quad \frac{2(w+1)^{g-1-p}}{w^g(w+2)}$$

at 0. Since $\frac{2}{w+2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{w}{2}\right)^k$, taking the Laurent expansion of (8.2) gives

$$\chi_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{g-1-p} \binom{g-1-p}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{g-1-k} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{g-1-p} = \frac{(-1)^p}{2^{g-1}}.$$

Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch allows us to compute the remaining terms on Δ :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_3 &:= \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} (\mathrm{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^p) - \mathrm{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^{p-1}(1))) \mathrm{td}(\tilde{\Theta}) \frac{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(-2))}{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Theta}}(-1))} \\ &= \int_{\Delta} (\mathrm{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^p) - \mathrm{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^{p-1}(1))) \mathrm{td}(\Delta) \frac{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-2))}{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-1))}. \end{aligned}$$

The following exact sequence arises from the Euler sequence on Δ :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-p)^{\oplus \binom{g-1}{p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta}^{p-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

It implies that $\mathrm{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^p) = \binom{g-1}{p} \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(-p)) - \mathrm{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^{p-1})$, so by induction

$$\mathrm{ch}(\Omega_{\Delta}^p) = \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^{p-k} \binom{g-1}{k} e^{-kh},$$

where $h := c_1(\mathcal{O}_\Delta(1))$. Since

$$\frac{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_\Delta(-2))}{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{O}_\Delta(-1))} = \frac{2h}{1 - e^{2h}} \cdot \frac{1 - e^h}{h} = \frac{2}{e^h + 1},$$

it follows that $\chi_3 = 2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)\chi_4$, where χ_4 is the residue of

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^{p-k} \binom{g-1}{k} e^{-kz} + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{p-k} \binom{g-1}{k} e^{(1-k)z} \right) \frac{2}{(1 - e^{-z})^{g-1}(e^z + 1)} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^{p-k} \binom{g-1}{k} e^{(g-1-k)z} + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{p-k} \binom{g-1}{k} e^{(g-k)z} \right) \frac{2}{(e^z - 1)^{g-1}(e^z + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

at 0. The above residue calculations, with some minor adjustments for the extremal terms in the sums, can be used to show that

$$\chi_4 = \sum_{k=0}^p \frac{(-1)^p}{2^{g-2}} \binom{g-1}{k} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^p}{2^{g-2}} \binom{g-1}{k} + 2(-1)^p \delta_p = \frac{(-1)^p}{2^{g-2}} \binom{g-1}{p} + 2(-1)^p \delta_p,$$

where $\delta_0 := 0$, $\delta_{g-1} = 0$ and $\delta_p := 1$ for $0 < p < g - 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(\Omega_{\Theta^+}^p) &= \chi_1 + \chi_3 \\ &= \chi(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) - (-1)^p \binom{g-1}{p} (2^g - 1) + (-1)^p \binom{g-1}{p} 2(2^g - 1) + (-1)^p 2^g (2^g - 1) \delta_p \\ (8.3) \quad &= \chi(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) + (-1)^p (2^g - 1) \left(\binom{g-1}{p} + 2^g \delta_p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Decomposing $H^*(\tilde{\Theta}, \mathbb{Q})$ as in [Bea77b, 0.1.3.ii] gives

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\Omega_{\Theta^+}^p) &= \sum_{q=0}^{g-1} (-1)^q \dim(H^{p,q}(\tilde{\Theta}, \mathbb{Q})^+) \\ (8.4) \quad &= \sum_{q=0}^{g-1} (-1)^q \dim(H^{p,q}(\Theta, \mathbb{Q})^+) + (-1)^p 2^{g-1} (2^g - 1) \delta_p. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (8.3) and (8.4) allows us to express $(-1)^{g-1-p} h^{p,g-1-p}(\mathbb{K}^+)$ as

$$\frac{1}{2} \chi(\Omega_{\Theta}^p) + (-1)^p \frac{2^g - 1}{2} \binom{g-1}{p} - \sum_{q=0}^{g-1-p} (-1)^q h^{p,q}(A) \epsilon_{p+q} - \sum_{q=g-p}^{g-1} (-1)^q h^{p+1,q+1}(A) \epsilon_{p+q},$$

as required. \square

REFERENCES

- [ACG11] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, and P.A. Griffiths, *Geometry of algebraic curves, volume II with a contribution by J.D. Harris*, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [ACGH85] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths, and J.D. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves, volume I*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

- [AFS15] E. Arbarello, A. Ferretti, and G. Sacca, *Relative Prym varieties associated to the double cover of an Enriques surface*, J. Differential Geom. **100** (2015), no. 2, 191–250.
- [BD87] A. Beauville and O. Debarre, *Sur le problème de Schottky pour les variétés de Prym*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **14** (1987), no. 4, 613–623.
- [Bea77a] A. Beauville, *Prym varieties and the Schottky problem*, Inventiones Math. **41** (1977), 149–196.
- [Bea77b] ———, *Variétés de Prym et jacobiniennes intermédiaires*, Annales Sc. de l'École Norm. Sup., 4ème série **10** (1977), 309–391.
- [Bea82] ———, *Sous-variétés spéciales des variétés de Prym*, Compositio Math. **45**, Fasc. **3** (1982), 357–383.
- [BL04] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange, *Complex abelian varieties*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 302, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [Blo94] S. Bloch, *The moving lemma for higher Chow groups*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994), no. 3, 537–568. MR 1269719
- [Con19] J. Conder, *Geometric links between exceptional root lattices and the cohomology of theta divisors*, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, San Diego, June 2019.
- [DH88] S. Diaz and J. Harris, *Geometry of the Severi variety*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988), no. 1, 1–34. MR 957060
- [Dol12] I. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry. a modern view*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2012.
- [Don92] R. Donagi, *The fibers of the Prym map*, Curves, Jacobians, and Abelian Varieties (Amherst, MA 1990), Contemp. Math., vol. 136, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992, pp. 55–125.
- [DS81] R. Donagi and R. Smith, *The structure of the Prym map*, Acta Math. **146** (1981), 25–102.
- [Ful84] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [GKP94] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics, a foundation for computer science*, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1994.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [IS95] E. Izadi and D. van Straten, *The intermediate jacobians of the theta-divisors of four-dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **4** (1995), no. 3, 557–590.
- [ITW17] E. Izadi, Cs. Tamas, and J. Wang, *The primitive cohomology of the theta divisor of an abelian fivefold*, J. Algebraic Geom. **26** (2017), 107–175.
- [IW15] E. Izadi and J. Wang, *The primitive cohomology of theta divisors*, Proceedings of the conference on Hodge theory and classical algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 647, AMS, Providence, RI, 2015, pp. 79–90.
- [IW18] E. Izadi and J. Wang, *The irreducible components of the primal cohomology of the theta divisor of an abelian fivefold*, Amer. J. Math. (To appear).
- [Iza95] E. Izadi, *The geometric structure of \mathcal{A}_4 , the structure of the Prym map, double solids and Γ_{00} -divisors*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **462** (1995), 93–158.
- [Krä15] T. Krämer, *On a family of surfaces of general type attached to abelian four-folds and the Weyl group $W(E_6)$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 24, 13062–13105. MR 3436138
- [Mac62] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric products of an algebraic curve*, Topology **1** (1962), 319–343.
- [MM83] S. Mori and S. Mukai, *The uniruledness of the moduli space of curves of genus 11*, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 334–353.

- [Mum74] D. Mumford, *Prym varieties I*, Contributions to Analysis (L.V. Ahlfors, I. Kra, B. Maskit, and L. Nirenberg, eds.), Academic Press, 1974, pp. 325–350.
- [OS79] T. Oda and C. S. Seshadri, *Compactifications of the generalized Jacobian variety*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **253** (1979), 1–90. MR 536936
- [Rec74] S. Recillas, *Jacobians of curves with a g_4^1 are Prym varieties of trigonal curves*, Bol. Soc. Math. Mexicana **19** (1974), 9–13.
- [Spa94] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, [1994], Corrected reprint of the 1966 original. MR 1325242
- [Wel81] G. E. Welters, *Abel-Jacobi isogenies for certain types of fano threefolds*, Mathematical Centre Tracts, CWI Tracts **141** (1981), 1–139.
- [Wel85] ———, *A theorem of Gieseker-Petri type for Prym varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **18** (1985), no. 4, 671–683. MR 839690

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE # 0112, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112, USA

E-mail address: jconder@math.ucsd.edu, jonno.conder@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE # 0112, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112, USA

E-mail address: ehdewey@math.ucsd.edu, ed.dewey@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE # 0112, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112, USA

E-mail address: eizadi@math.ucsd.edu