Numerical Analysis Qualifying Examination

Instructor: Randolph E. Bank

September 9, 2004

		· · ·	
	#1	25	
	#2	25	
	#3	25	
NAME	#4	25	
Signature	#5	25	
	#6	25	

Total 150

Question 1. Let A be a $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix. Prove by induction that PA = LU, where P is a permutation matrix, L is unit lower triangular, and U is upper triangular.

2

Question 2. Let A and B be symmetric, positive definite $N \times N$ matrices. Assume there exist positive constants α and β such that

$$\alpha \leq \frac{x^{t}Ax}{x^{t}Bx} \leq \beta$$

for all $x \neq 0$. Consider the solution of Ax = b by the iterative method:

$$B(x_{k+1} - x_k) = \omega(b - Ax_k)$$

where x_0 is given and $\omega = 2/(\alpha + \beta)$.

a. Derive the error propagator G for this iteration.

b. Prove:

$$\|e_k\|_A \leq \left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta+\alpha}\right)^k \|e_0\|_A$$

where $e_k = x - x_k$.

Question 3. Let $\phi(\vec{x})$ be a scalar function of the vector variable \vec{x} . Suppose $\phi(\vec{x})$ is continuous with continuous first and second partial derivatives, and suppose that the Hessian is symmetric and uniformly positive definite.

- 1. Formally define Newton's method with line search for solving the optimization problem $\min_{\vec{x}} \phi(\vec{x})$.
- 2. Let \vec{p}_k be the Newton search direction. Show that $\partial \phi(\vec{x}_k + \alpha \vec{p}_k)/\partial \alpha < 0$ at $\alpha = 0$. Why is this fact significant for the line search?

Question 4. Consider the fundamental quadrature formula (Simpson's Rule)

$$\mathcal{I}(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) \, dx, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{Q}(f) = \frac{f(0) + 4f(1/2) + f(1)}{6}.$$

a. Using the Peano Kernel Theorem, prove

$$|\mathcal{E}(f)| = |\mathcal{I}(f) - \mathcal{Q}(f)| \le \frac{|f^{iv}|_{\infty[0,1]}}{2880}.$$

You may prove this result for generic C rather than C = 1/2880.

b. Derive the Composite Simpson's Rule $\mathcal{Q}_{c}(f)$ for approximating

$$\int_a^b f(x)\,dx$$

with a uniform mesh of size h.

c. Using part a, prove

$$\left|\int_a^b f(x)\,dx-\mathcal{Q}_c(f)\right|\leq \frac{h^4\,|b-a|\,\|f^{iv}\|_{\infty[a,b]}}{2880}.$$

Question 5. Consider the initial value problem:

$$y' = f(y)$$
$$y(x_0) = y_0$$

and the consider the second backward difference formula:

$$y_{k+1} = \alpha_1 y_k + \alpha_2 y_{k-1} + h\beta_0 f(y_{k+1})$$

a. Find α_1 , α_2 and β_0 to maximize the order.

b. Find the local truncation error.

c. Find the region of absolute stability for the method. Is the method A-stable? L-stable?

6

Question 6. Consider the 2-point boundary value problem -u'' + u = f, u(0) = u(1) = 0, and its Ritz formulation: Find $u \in H_0^1$ such that

$$a(u, u) - 2(f, u) = \min_{v \in H_0^1} a(v, v) - 2(f, v)$$
$$a(u, v) = \int_0^1 u'v' + uv \, dx \qquad (f, v) = \int_0^1 fv \, dx$$
$$\|u\|^2 = a(u, u) \qquad \|u\|^2 = (u, u)$$

Let $S_0 \subset H_0^1$ be the space of continuous piecewise linear polynomials and let $u_h \in S_0$ be the finite element approximation satisfying

$$a(u_h, u_h) - 2(f, u_h) = \min_{v \in S_0} a(v, v) - 2(f, v).$$

a. Prove the Ritz formulation is equivalent to the Galerkin formulation: Find $u \in H_0^1$ such that

$$a(u,v) = (f,v)$$

for all $v \in H_0^1$. A similar result holds for u_h ; you may assume that result and need not prove it.

b. Using part a, prove the best approximation result

$$u-u_h=\min_{v\in S_0}|u-v|.$$

Hint: the important step is to show u_h is an orthogonal projection, $a(u - u_h, v) = 0$ for all $v \in S_0$.