## Section 3.3

1. (Problem 20) Let $R$ be a commutative ring.
(a)
(b) Prove that if $R$ is finite, then every prime ideal of $R$ is maximal.

Solution: Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $R$. Then $R / P$ is an integral domain, but $R / P$ is finite because $R$ is finite, so we can invoke the fact that finite integral domains are fields (Section 3.2 Theorem 3) to see that $R / P$ is a field. Thus we conclude $P$ is maximal.
(c) Is every prime ideal of $\mathbf{Z}$ maximal?

Solution: No, because $\langle 0\rangle$ is a prime ideal of $\mathbf{Z}$ (for instance, because $\mathbf{Z}$ is an integral domain) but it is not maximal because we have proper inclusions $\langle 0\rangle \subset\langle 2\rangle \subset \mathbf{Z}$.

## Section 3.4

2. (Problem 33) Prove the Third Isomorphism Theorem: If $A \subseteq B \subseteq R$, where $A$ and $B$ are ideals of $R$, then $B / A=\{b+A \mid b \in B\}$ is an ideal of $R / A$ and $(R / A) /(B / A) \cong R / B$.

Solution: Consider the projection homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow R / B$, i.e. $\varphi(r)=r+B$. We have $B=\operatorname{ker} \varphi$, and in particular $A \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \varphi$, so the universal property of quotients implies that there is an induced homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}: R / A \rightarrow R / B$ satisfying $\varphi=\bar{\varphi} \circ \pi$ (where $\pi: R \rightarrow R / A$ is the projection $\pi(r)=r+A$ ), explicitly given by $\bar{\varphi}(r+A)=r+B$. Notice $\bar{\varphi}$ is clearly surjective, and it is simple to check the kernel is exactly $B / A$ (in particular, $B / A$ is an ideal of $R / A$ ); now the first isomorphism theorem implies $(R / A) /(B / A) \cong R / B$.
3. (Problem 44(a)) Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be ideals of $R$ and write $A=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$. Prove that $R / A$ is isomorphic to a subring of $R / A_{1} \times \cdots \times R / A_{n}$.

Solution: Define $\varphi: R \rightarrow R / A_{1} \times \cdots \times R / A_{n}$ by $\varphi(r)=\left(r+A_{1}, \ldots, r+A_{n}\right)$. It is straightfoward to check this is a ring homomorphism, for instance for additivity we have if $r, r^{\prime} \in R$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\mathrm{rr}^{\prime}\right) & =\left(r r^{\prime}+A_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{rr}^{\prime}+A_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(r+A_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{r}^{\prime}+A_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(r+A_{n}\right)\left(r^{\prime}+A_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(r+A_{1}, \ldots, r+A_{n}\right) \cdot\left(r^{\prime}+A_{1}, \ldots, r^{\prime}+A_{n}\right) \\
& =\varphi(r) \varphi\left(r^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we calculate the kernel as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ker} \varphi & =\left\{r \in R \mid\left(r+A_{1}, \ldots, r+A_{n}\right)=\left(0+A_{1}, \ldots, 0+A_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{r \in R \mid r+A_{i}=0+A_{i} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n\right\} \\
& =\left\{r \in R \mid r \in A_{i} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n\right\} \\
& =\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \\
& =A .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Section 4.1

4. (Problem 24)
(a) Show that $x^{p}-x$ annihilates $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$.
(c) If $p \neq 2$ is prime, show $x^{p}-x$ annihilates $\mathbf{Z}_{2 p}$.

## Solution:

(a) If $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, write $\bar{a}$ for its corresponding element of $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$. Recall if $a$ is an integer then Fermat's little theorem tells us that $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$; thus $\bar{a}^{p}=\overline{a^{p}}=\bar{a}$ for all $\bar{a} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. Subtracting we see $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathfrak{p}}-\overline{\mathrm{a}}=0$ for any $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}$, and this shows $x^{p}-x$ annihilates $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$.
(c) Recall because 2 and $p$ are coprime, there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: \mathbf{Z}_{2 p} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. Now using the fact that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism we have for $z \in \mathbf{Z}_{2 p}$

$$
z^{p}-z=0 \Longleftrightarrow \varphi\left(z^{p}-z\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \varphi(z)^{p}-\varphi(z)=0
$$

so to show $x^{p}-x$ annihilates $\mathbf{Z}_{2 p}$ is the same as showing that $x^{p}-x$ annihilates $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. But if $(a, b) \in \mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ then $a^{p}-a=0$ (the only possibilities are $a=0$ and $a=1$, so $a^{p}=a$ regardless), and we have $b^{p}-b=0$ by part (a), so we have

$$
(a, b)^{p}-(a, b)=\left(a^{p}, b^{p}\right)-(a, b)=\left(a^{p}-a, b^{p}-b\right)=(0,0)
$$

which shows $x^{p}-x$ annihilates ( $a, b$ ). Since ( $a, b$ ) was an arbitrary element of $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ this proves $\chi^{p}-x$ annihilates $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ and we are done.
5. (Problem 26) Show that $\sqrt[n]{m}$ is not rational unless $m=k^{n}$ for some integer $k$ (where $n$ and $m$ are integers and $n$ is positive).

Solution: Suppose $q=\sqrt[n]{m}$ is rational; then $q$ is a root of the polynomial $x^{n}-m$. If we write $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ where a and b are coprime integers, then we can use the Rational Root Theorem to deduce that a divides the constant term of $x^{n}-m$ and $b$ divides the leading coefficient. But the leading coefficient of $x^{n}-m$ is 1 , so $b \mid 1$, or in other words $b= \pm 1$, and thus $q= \pm a$, which is an integer, so taking $k=q \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $m=k^{n}$ as desired.

