

17.5.4(d):

$$(c) \gcd(p(x), q(x)) = 1 = p(x)(4x^2 + x) + q(x)(x^2 + 2)$$

Process:

$$p(x) = q(x)(1) + (x^2 + 3x + 1)$$

$$q(x) = (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x + 2) + (x + 1)$$

$$(x^2 + 3x + 1) = (x + 1)(x + 2) + 4$$

$$(x + 1) = 4(4x + 4) + 0$$

Rewriting:

$$\begin{aligned} 4 &= (x^2 + 3x + 1) - (x + 1)(x + 2) \\ &= p(x) - q(x) - (q(x) - (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x + 2))(x + 2) \\ &= p(x) - q(x)(x + 3) + (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x + 2)^2 \\ &= p(x) - q(x)(x + 3) + (p(x) - q(x))(x + 2)^2 \\ &= p(x)(1 + (x + 2)^2) + q(x)(-x - 3 - (x + 2)^2) \\ &= p(x)(x^2 + 4x) + q(x)(-x^2 - 2) \\ 1 &= p(x)(4x^2 + x) + q(x)(x^2 + 2) \end{aligned}$$

c) $p(x) = q(x) \cdot 1 + (x^2 + 3x + 1)$
 $q(x) = (x^2 + 3x + 1) \cdot (x + 2) + (x - 4)$
 $x^2 + 3x + 1 = (x - 4) \cdot (x + 2) + 4$
 $x - 4 = 4 \cdot (4x - 1)$
 Thus, $\gcd(p(x), q(x)) = 4$
 or $= 1$

	$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ x^3 + 3x - 2 \overline{) x^3 + x^2 - 4x + 4} \\ \underline{x^3 - 2} \\ x^2 + 3x + 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} x + 2 \\ x^2 + 3x + 1 \overline{) x^3 + 0x^2 + 3x - 2} \\ \underline{x^2 + 3x + 1} \\ 2x^2 + 2x - 2 \\ \underline{2x^2 + x + 2} \\ x - 4 \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{r} x + 2 \\ x - 4 \overline{) x^2 + 3x + 1} \\ \underline{x^2 - 4x} \\ 2x + 1 \\ \underline{2x + 2} \\ 4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4x - 1 \\ 4 \overline{) x - 4} \\ \underline{x} \\ -4 \\ \underline{-4} \\ 0 \end{array}$

$4 = (x^2 + 3x + 1) - (x - 4)(x + 2)$
 $= (x^2 + 3x + 1) - [q(x) - (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x + 2)](x + 2)$
 $= (-x - 2)q(x) + (x^2 + 3x + 1)(1 + (x + 2)^2)$
 $= (-x - 2)q(x) + (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x^2 + 4x)$
 $= (-x - 2)q(x) + [p(x) - q(x)](x^2 + 4x)$
 $= (x^2 + 4x)p(x) + (-x - 2 - (x^2 + 4x))q(x)$
 $= (x^2 + 4x)p(x) + (-x^2 - 2)q(x)$

(c) $p(x) = x^3 + x^2 - 4x + 4$ and $q(x) = x^3 + 3x - 2$, where $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$

(d) $p(x) = x^3 - 2x + 4$ and $q(x) = 4x^3 + x + 3$, where $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$

(0)

$$\begin{array}{r} x^3 + 3x - 2 \quad \bigg| \quad x^3 + x^2 - 4x + 4 \\ \underline{x^3 + 0x^2 + 3x - 2} \\ x^2 - 7x + 6 \end{array}$$

$$p(x) = q(x) \cdot 1 + x^2 + 3x + 1$$

$$q(x) = (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x - 3) + x + 1$$

$$x^2 + 3x + 1 = (x + 1)(x + 2) - 1$$

$$\begin{array}{r} (x-3)(x+2) \\ \hline x^2 - x + 6 \end{array}$$

$$(x+1) = -1(-x-1)$$

$$\begin{array}{r} x^2 + 3x + 1 \quad \bigg| \quad x^3 + 0x^2 + 3x - 2 \\ \underline{x^3 + 3x^2 + x} \\ -3x^2 + 2x - 2 \end{array}$$

$$-1 = (x^2 + 3x + 1) - (x + 1)(x + 2)$$

$$-1 = (x^2 + 3x + 1) - [q(x) - (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x - 3)](x + 2)$$

$$= p(x) - q(x) - [q(x) - (p(x) - q(x))(x - 3)](x + 2)$$

$$= p(x) - q(x) - [q(x) - p(x)(x - 3) + q(x)(x - 3)](x + 2)$$

$$= p(x) - q(x) - [q(x)(x + 2) - p(x)(x - 3)(x + 2) + q(x)(x - 2)(x + 2)]$$

$$= p(x) - q(x) - q(x)(x + 2) + p(x)(x^2 - x - 6) - q(x)(x^2 - x - 6)$$

$$= p(x)(2 + x^2 - x - 6) + q(-1 - x - 2 - x^2 + x + 6) \quad -2$$

$$= p(x)(x^2 - x - 4) + q(x)(-x^2 - x + 3)$$

$$\perp = -p(x)(x^2 - x) + q(x)(x^2 + 2)$$

In this question, some students calculated the wrong gcd.

17.5.12:

12.

Proposition 2. *If F is a field, then $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an integral domain.*

Proof. Suppose F is a field.

We will proceed with proof by induction on n .

Base Case:

We know that $F[x]$ is an integral domain as F is an integral domain, and Proposition 17.4 gives us that a polynomial ring over an integral domain is an integral domain.

Inductive Step:

Suppose for some $n \geq 1$ that $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an integral domain.

Let $R = F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Then, we know that $F[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] \cong F[x_1, \dots, x_n][x_{n+1}] = R[x_{n+1}]$.

Furthermore, Proposition 17.4 gives us that $R[x_{n+1}]$ is an integral domain because R is an integral domain by the inductive hypothesis.

Hence, we have that $F[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ is an integral domain.

Therefore, we have shown by induction on n that $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an integral domain for all $n \geq 1$. \square

12.

Proposition. *If F is a field, then $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an integral domain.*

Proof. Let F be a field.

We will show that $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an integral domain for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Theorem 17.4, we know if F is an integral domain, then $F[x]$ is an integral domain.

Thus, **our base case:**

when $n=1$, $F[x_1]$ is an integral domain since F is a field, i.e. F is an integral domain.

When $n=2$, $F[x_1, x_2]$ is also an integral domain as $(F[x_1])[x_2]$, the ring of polynomials in two indeterminates x_1 and x_2 with coefficient F as what's in the textbook.

Then, **Our Induction steps:**

Assume $F[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]$ is an integral domain for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will show that $F[x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}]$ is also an integral domain.

Since $F[x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}] = (F[x_1, \dots, x_k])[x_{k+1}]$ as ring of polynomials in $k+1$ indeterminates with coefficients in F , we know it is an integral domain.

Therefore, we can conclude that $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an integral domain for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Q12. Prop. If F is a field, then $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is an integral domain.

Proof. Let F be a field, then F must be an integral domain.

Proof by induction:

Base case: $n=1$, WTS $F[X]$ is an integral domain.

Since F is an integral domain, then F is commutative and F doesn't have zero divisors.

Let $p(x), q(x)$ be 2 arbitrary nonzero polynomials in $F[X]$.

$$\text{Let } p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \neq 0.$$

$$q(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_mx^m \neq 0.$$

$$p(x) \cdot q(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{m+n}x^{m+n} \text{ where}$$

$$c_i = \sum_{k=0}^i a_k b_{i-k}$$

$$q(x) \cdot p(x) = c'_0 + c'_1x + \dots + c'_{m+n}x^{m+n} \text{ where}$$

$$c'_i = \sum_{k=0}^i b_k a_{i-k} = \sum_{k=0}^i a_{i-k} b_k = a_i b_0 + a_{i-1} b_1 + \dots + a_0 b_i$$

$$= a_0 b_i + a_1 b_{i-1} + \dots + a_i b_0$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^i a_k b_{i-k} = c_i$$

$$\text{So } p(x) \cdot q(x) = q(x) \cdot p(x) \text{ in } F[X],$$

then $F[X]$ is commutative.

Note that since F has no zero divisors,

$$\text{WLOG, let } p(x) \cdot q(x) = a_0 b_0 + (a_1 b_1 + a_0 b_2)x + \dots + (a_n b_m)x^{n+m}$$

$$\text{WTS } p(x) \cdot q(x) = 0 \implies p(x) = 0 \text{ or } q(x) = 0:$$

proof by induction:

Base Case: For $n+m=0$, $p(x)q(x) = a_0 b_0 = 0$.

WLOG, $p(x) = a_0 = 0$, $q(x) = b_0 \neq 0$.

Inductive step: Assume for $n+m=k$, $p(x)q(x) = 0$, and $p(x) = 0$, $q(x) \neq 0$.

Then for $n+m=k+1$, let $p(x)q(x) = 0$. It must still be true that $p(x) = 0$ since all coefficients in $p(x)$ & $q(x)$ are nonzero divisors.

So, if $p(x) \cdot q(x) = 0$, $p(x) = 0$ or $q(x) = 0$.

Thus, we proved that $F[X]$ is an integral domain.

Inductive step: Assume for $n=k$, $F[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ is an integral domain (IH).

$$\text{Then for } n=k+1, \text{ we have } F[X_1, \dots, X_k, X_{k+1}]$$

$$= F[X_1, \dots, X_k][X_{k+1}]$$

Since $F[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ is an integral domain, $F[X_1, \dots, X_k][X_{k+1}] = F[X_1, \dots, X_k, X_{k+1}]$ is also an integral domain. \square

Only a few of the students use the proof by induction, and I give some partial credits to students who tried hard to solve the problem and let them see the solution.

17.5.25(d):

(d)

Proposition 6.

$$(fg)'(x) = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$$

Proof. Suppose $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$, where the coefficients of $f(x)$ are labeled a_i and the coefficients of $g(x)$ are labeled b_i .

Then, we have that $(fg)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} c_k x^k$ where $c_k = \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j$.

Thus by (a), we have

$$(fg)'(x) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m+n} c_k x^k \right)' = \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} k c_k x^{k-1}$$

Now, we also have $f'(x)g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} c'_k x^{k-1}$ where $c'_i = \sum_{i+j=k} i a_i b_j$.

Similarly, we have $f(x)g'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} c''_k x^{k-1}$ where $c''_i = \sum_{i+j=k} j a_i b_j$.

Hence, adding these together, we get

$$f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} (c'_k + c''_k) x^{k-1}$$

To show this is equivalent to $(fg)'(x)$ from above, it suffices to show that $c'_k + c''_k = k c_k$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} c'_k + c''_k &= \sum_{i+j=k} i a_i b_j + j a_i b_j \\ &= \sum_{i+j=k} (i+j) a_i b_j \\ &= k \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \\ &= k c_k \end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that the sums are identical, and therefore

$$(fg)'(x) = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$$

□

(d)

Proposition 5. $(fg)'(x) = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$

Proof. First let $f(x) = a_n x^n$ and $g(x) = b_m x^m$

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)'(x) &= ((a_n x^n)(b_m x^m))' \\ &= n a_n x^{n-1} (b_m x^m) + (a_n x^n) m b_m x^{m-1} \\ &= f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)\end{aligned}$$

For monomials this is true now let $f(x) = a_n x^n$ and $g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m$

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)'(x) &= ((a_n x^n)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m))' \\ &= n a_n x^{n-1} (b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) + a_n x^n (b_1 + 2b_2 x + \dots + m b_m x^{m-1}) \\ &= f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that if we have one monomial and one polynomial this is true now let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ and $g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m$

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)'(x) &= ((a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m))' \\ &= (a_1 + 2a_2 x + \dots + n a_n x^{n-1})(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) \\ &\quad + (a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n)(b_1 + 2b_2 x + \dots + m b_m x^{m-1}) \\ &= f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)\end{aligned}$$

Lastly we have shown that $f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$ also holds when we have two polynomials which means that we have shown that $f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$ is always true. \square

Many students did the proof without using monomial for $f(x)$, and I gave them a little partial credit due to their hard work.